arXiv:1607.04181v2 [math.AG] 25 Apr 2017

PROJECTIVE COMPLETIONS OF GRADED UNIPOTENT QUOTIENTS

GERGELY BÉRCZI, BRENT DORAN, THOMAS HAWES, FRANCES KIRWAN

ABSTRACT. The aim of this paper is to show that classical geometric invariant theory has an effective analogue for linear actions of a non-reductive algebraic group H with graded unipotent radical on a projective variety X. Here the linear action of H is required to extend to a semi-direct product $\hat{H}$ with a one-parameter group which acts on the Lie algebra of the unipotent radical U of H with all weights strictly positive, and which centralises H/U.

We show that X has an H-invariant open subvariety (the 'hat-stable subset') which has a geometric quotient by the H-action. This geometric quotient has a projective completion which is a categorical quotient by $\hat{H}$ of an open subvariety of a blow-up of the product of X with the affine line; with additional blow-ups a projective completion which is itself a geometric quotient can be obtained. Furthermore the hat-stable subset of X and the corresponding open subsets of the blow-ups of the product of X with the affine line can be described effectively using Hilbert-Mumford-like criteria combined with the explicit blow-up constructions.

Applications include the construction of moduli spaces of sheaves of fixed Harder–Narasimhan type over a fixed nonsingular projective variety.

Let H be a linear algebraic group acting linearly (with respect to an ample line bundle L) on an irreducible projective variety X over an algebraically closed field $\Bbbk$ of characteristic 0. Let U be the unipotent radical of H and let $R = H/U$. Suppose that the linear action of H on X extends to a semi-direct product $\hat{H} = H \rtimes \mathbb{G}_m$ where the adjoint action of $\mathbb{G}_m$ on the Lie algebra of U has strictly positive weights and its induced conjugation action on $R = H/U$ is trivial. The aim of this paper is to show that we then have an effective analogue of large parts of classical geometric invariant theory (GIT) for the action of H on X. More precisely, X has an H-invariant open subvariety $X^{\hat{s}}$ (the 'hat-stable subset') with a geometric quotient $X^{\hat{s}}/H$ and (if $X^{\hat{s}}$ is nonempty) a projective completion $X\widehat{/\!/}H$ of $X^{\hat{s}}/H$ which is a categorical quotient by $\hat{H}$ of an open subvariety of a blow-up of $X \times \Bbbk$; with additional blow-ups a projective completion $X\widetilde{/\!/}H$ which is itself a geometric quotient can be obtained. Moreover the subset $X^{\hat{s}}$ of X and the corresponding open subvarieties of the blow-ups of $X \times \Bbbk$ can be described effectively using Hilbert–Mumford criteria combined with the explicit blow-up constructions.

One special situation (the 'internal' case) arises when R itself has a central one-parameter subgroup $\mathbb{G}_m$ whose adjoint action on the Lie algebra of U has only strictly positive weights. This situation holds for many non-reductive groups H; examples include any parabolic subgroup of a reductive group, or the automorphism group of any complete simplicial toric variety, or the group of k-jets of biholomorphisms of $(\mathbb{C}^p, 0)$.

The geometric quotient $X^{\hat{s}}/H$ and its projective completions can depend in principle not only on the linearisation of the action of H but also (in the external case) on the choice of semi-direct product $\hat{H} = H \rtimes \mathbb{G}_m$ and extension of the linear action of H to $\hat{H}$ (an 'extended'

Early work on this project was supported by the Engineering and Physical Sciences Research Council [grant numbers GR/T016170/1,EP/G000174/1].

Brent Doran was partially supported by Swiss National Science Foundation Award 200021-138071.

or 'graded' linearisation in the sense of [4]) or (in the internal case) the choice of a central one-parameter subgroup of R whose adjoint action on the Lie algebra of U has only strictly positive weights. It is possible to study the dependence on these choices, and to arrive at a picture similar to classical 'variation of GIT' [13, 39]; this will appear in forthcoming work [6]. In the case $\Bbbk = \mathbb{C}$ one can also use modifications of the symplectic quotient and implosion constructions to describe these quotients in terms of analogues of moment maps [20, 27, 8] and to extend the methods of [25, 26] to study their cohomology [8].

The best known examples of moduli spaces which can be constructed using classical GIT are moduli spaces of stable curves and of (semi)stable sheaves over a fixed nonsingular projective variety. This more general version of GIT can be used (with H the parabolic subgroup associated to a maximally destabilising one-parameter subgroup) to construct moduli spaces of unstable objects with suitable fixed discrete invariants, such as unstable curves [22] or unstable sheaves [5].

Recall that, when $H = R$ is reductive, we can use classical GIT, developed by Mumford in the 1960s [32], to find H-invariant open subvarieties $X^s \subseteq X^{ss}$ (the stable and semistable loci) of X with a geometric quotient X^s/H and projective variety $X/\!/H \supseteq X^s/H$ associated to the algebra of invariants $\bigoplus_{k\geq 0} H^0(X, L^{\otimes k})^H$. Here $X/\!/H$ is the image of a surjective morphism ϕ from the open subvariety X^{ss} of X, determined by the inclusion of the algebra of invariants $\bigoplus_{k\geq 0} H^0(X, L^{\otimes k})^H$ in $\bigoplus_{k\geq 0} H^0(X, L^{\otimes k})$. If $x, y \in X^{ss}$ then $\phi(x) = \phi(y)$ if and only if the closures of the H-orbits of x and y meet in X^{ss} (that is, x and y are 'S-equivalent'). Moreover the loci X^s and X^{ss} can be described using the Hilbert–Mumford criteria for (semi)stability: any $x \in X$ is (semi)stable for the action of H if and only if it is (semi)stable for the action of every one-parameter subgroup $\lambda : \mathbb{G}_m \to H$, and (semi)stability for a one-parameter subgroup is easy to describe in terms of the weights of its action. If $X^{ss} = X^s$ then the projective variety $X/\!/H$ is itself a geometric quotient of X^{ss} via the morphism ϕ; if $X^{ss} \neq X^s \neq \emptyset$ then there is a canonical sequence of blow-ups of X along H-invariant closed subvarieties which results in a projective variety $\tilde{X}$ with a linear H-action such that $\tilde{X}^{ss} = \tilde{X}^s$ inducing a 'partial desingularisation' $\psi_H : \tilde{X}/\!/H \to X/\!/H$ of $X/\!/H$ which is an isomorphism over X^s/H [26]. When $\Bbbk = \mathbb{C}$ the GIT quotient $X/\!/H$ can be identified with the symplectic quotient $\mu^{-1}(0)/K$ where μ is a moment map for the action of a maximal compact subgroup K of H on X [25].

Some aspects of Mumford's GIT have been made to work when H is not reductive (cf. for example [2, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 27, 40]), although GIT cannot be extended directly to non-reductive linear algebraic group actions since the algebra of invariants $\bigoplus_{k\geq 0} H^0(X, L^{\otimes k})^H$ is not necessarily finitely generated as a graded algebra. We can still define (semi)stable loci X^{ss} and X^s, the latter having a geometric quotient X^s/H which is an open subset of an 'enveloping quotient' $X \wr H$ with an H-invariant morphism $\phi : X^{ss} \to X \wr H$, and if the algebra of invariants $\bigoplus_{k\geq 0} H^0(X, L^{\otimes k})^H$ is finitely generated then $X \wr H$ is the associated projective variety [2, 14]. However in general $X \wr H$ is not necessarily projective, the morphism ϕ is not necessarily surjective (indeed its image may not be a subvariety of $X \wr H$) and no obvious analogues of the Hilbert–Mumford criteria for (semi)stability have been found.

In [3] we considered the situation when the unipotent radical U of H has an extension $\hat{U} = U \rtimes \mathbb{G}_m$ by the multiplicative group $\mathbb{G}_m$ of $\Bbbk$ such that the action of $\mathbb{G}_m$ by conjugation on the Lie algebra of U has all its weights strictly positive; we call such a U a graded unipotent group. Given any action of U on a projective variety X extending to an action of $\hat{U}$ which is linear with respect to an ample line bundle on X, it was shown in [3] that, provided we are willing

to replace the line bundle with a tensor power and to twist the linearisation of the action of $\hat{U}$ by a suitable (rational) character of $\hat{U}$, and provided one additional condition is satisfied ('semistability coincides with stability' in the terminology of [3]), then the $\hat{U}$-invariants form a finitely generated algebra, and moreover the natural morphism $\phi : X^{ss,\hat{U}} \to X \mathbin{/\!\!/} \hat{U}$ is surjective and indeed expresses $X \mathbin{/\!\!/} \hat{U}$ as a geometric quotient of $X^{ss,\hat{U}}$. Applying this result with X replaced by $X \times \mathbb{P}^1$ gives us a projective variety $(X \times \mathbb{P}^1) \mathbin{/\!\!/} \hat{U}$ which is a geometric quotient by $\hat{U}$ of a $\hat{U}$-invariant open subset of $X \times \Bbbk$ and contains as an open subset a geometric quotient of a U-invariant open subset $X^{\hat{s},U}$ of X by U, where the subsets $X^{s,\hat{U}} = X^{ss,\hat{U}}$ and $X^{\hat{s},U}$ of X can be described using Hilbert–Mumford criteria.

More generally suppose that H is a linear algebraic group over $\Bbbk$ with graded unipotent radical U, in the sense that there is a semi-direct product $\hat{H} = H \rtimes \mathbb{G}_m$ such that $\mathbb{G}_m$ acts by conjugation on the Lie algebra of U with all weights strictly positive and its induced conjugation action on the reductive group $R = H/U$ is trivial. Suppose also that the linear action of H on X with respect to the ample line bundle L extends to a linear action of $\hat{H}$. Then, provided the 'semistability coincides with stability' condition of [3] is satisfied for the action of $\hat{U}$, we obtain a projective variety $(X \times \mathbb{P}^1) \mathbin{/\!\!/} \hat{H}$ which is a categorical quotient by $\hat{H}$ of a $\hat{H}$-invariant open subset of $X \times \Bbbk$ and contains as an open subset a geometric quotient of an H-invariant open subset $X^{\hat{s},H}$ of X by H. Moreover the geometric quotient $X^{\hat{s},H}/H$ and its projective completion $X \mathbin{\widehat{/\!\!/}} H = (X \times \mathbb{P}^1) \mathbin{/\!\!/} \hat{H}$ can be described using Hilbert–Mumford criteria and an analogue of S-equivalence.

In this paper we will first prove a stronger version of the results of [3] for which the condition that 'semistability coincides with stability' is replaced with a slightly less stringent condition (which can also be regarded as an interpretation in this setting of 'semistability coincides with stability' for the action of the unipotent radical of H). We will then show that, even when this condition is not satisfied we can find a sequence of blow-ups of X along $\hat{H}$-invariant subvarieties (analogous to that of [26] in the reductive case) resulting in a projective variety $\hat{X}$ with an induced linear action of $\hat{H}$ satisfying the modified 'stability coincides with semistability' condition for its unipotent radical. In this way, considering an induced action of $\hat{H}$ on $X \times \mathbb{P}^1$ as above, we obtain a projective variety $X \mathbin{\widehat{/\!\!/}} H = \widehat{X \times \mathbb{P}^1} \mathbin{/\!\!/} \hat{H}$ which is a categorical quotient by $\hat{H}$ of an $\hat{H}$-invariant open subset of a blow-up of $X \times \Bbbk$ and contains as an open subset a geometric quotient of an H-invariant open subset $X^{\hat{s},H}$ of X by H. After further blow-ups along $\hat{H}$-invariant projective subvarieties using the methods of [26], we can obtain another projective completion $X \mathbin{\widetilde{/\!\!/}} H$ of $X^{\hat{s},H}/H$ which is itself a geometric quotient (not just a categorical quotient) by $\hat{H}$ of an $\hat{H}$-invariant open subset of a blow-up of $X \times \Bbbk$. Here the geometric quotient $X^{\hat{s},H}/H$ and its projective completions $X \mathbin{\widehat{/\!\!/}} H$ and $X \mathbin{\widetilde{/\!\!/}} H$ have descriptions in terms of Hilbert–Mumford-like criteria, the explicit blow-up constructions and an analogue of S-equivalence.

In order to describe our results more precisely, let $\mathcal{L}$ be a very ample linearisation with respect to a line bundle $L \to X$ of the action of H on an irreducible projective variety X; we assume that there is an extension of this linearisation to a linearisation (by abuse of notation also denoted by $\mathcal{L}$) of the action of the semi-direct product $\hat{H}$ of H by $\mathbb{G}_m$ acting by conjugation on the Lie algebra of U with all weights strictly positive and whose induced conjugation action on the reductive group $R = H/U$ is trivial. Let $\chi : \hat{H} \to \mathbb{G}_m$ be a character of $\hat{H}$ with kernel

containing H; we will identify such characters χ with integers so that the integer 1 corresponds to the character which defines the exact sequence $H \to \hat{H} \to \mathbb{G}_m$. We can twist the linearisation of the $\hat{H}$-action by multiplying the lift of the $\hat{H}$-action to L by such a character; this will leave the H-linearisation on L and the action of $\hat{H}$ on X unchanged. Note that a linearisation $\mathcal{L}$ of $\hat{H}$ with respect to L induces a linearisation $\mathcal{L}^{\otimes m}$ with respect to the line bundle L, for any integer $m \geq 1$, such that twisting $\mathcal{L}$ by χ corresponds to twisting $\mathcal{L}^{\otimes m}$ by $m\chi$; GIT will be essentially unaffected, so in this way we can work with rational linearisations and rational characters.

Suppose that $\omega_{\min} = \omega_0 < \omega_1 < \cdots < \omega_{\max}$ are the weights with which the one-parameter subgroup $\mathbb{G}_m \leq \hat{U} \leq \hat{H}$ acts on the fibres of the tautological line bundle $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}((H^0(X,L)^*)}(-1)$ over points of the connected components of the fixed point set $X^{\mathbb{G}_m}$ for the action of $\mathbb{G}_m$ on $X \subseteq \mathbb{P}((H^0(X,L)^*)$. Let $V = H^0(X,L)^*$; let $V_{\min}$ be the weight space of weight $\omega_{\min}$ in V. We will assume that there exist at least two distinct weights, since otherwise the action of the unipotent radical U of H on X is trivial, in which case the action of H is via an action of the reductive group $R = H/U$ and we can use classical GIT.

Let χ be a rational character of $\mathbb{G}_m$ (so that $c\chi$ lifts to a character of $\hat{H}$ as above for a sufficiently divisible positive integer c) such that

$$\omega_{\min} = \omega_0 < \chi < \omega_1;$$

we will call rational characters χ with this property *adapted* to the linear action of $\hat{H}$, and we will call the linearisation adapted if $\omega_0 < 0 < \omega_1$; we will call χ *borderline adapted* to the linear action of $\hat{H}$ if $\chi = \omega_0$, and the linearisation borderline adapted if $\omega_0 = 0$. The linearisation of the action of $\hat{H}$ on X with respect to the ample line bundle $L^{\otimes c}$ can be twisted by the character $c\chi$ so that the weight $\omega_{\min}$ is replaced with $c(\omega_{\min} - \chi)$; let $\mathcal{L}_\chi^{\otimes c}$ denote this twisted linearisation, which is adapted in the sense above if $\chi > \omega_{\min}$ is sufficiently close to $\omega_{\min}$.

Let $X^{s,\mathbb{G}_m}_{\min+}$ denote the stable set in X for the linear action of $\mathbb{G}_m$ with respect to the adapted linearisation $L_\chi^{\otimes c}$; by the theory of variation of (classical) GIT [13, 39], $X^{s,\mathbb{G}_m}_{\min+}$ is independent of the choice of adapted rational character χ. Indeed by the Hilbert–Mumford criteria $X^{s,\mathbb{G}_m}_{\min+} = X^0_{\min} \setminus Z_{\min}$ where

$$Z_{\min} = X \cap \mathbb{P}(V_{\min}) = \left\{ \; x \in X \;\middle|\; \begin{array}{c} x \text{ is a } \mathbb{G}_m\text{-fixed point and} \\ \mathbb{G}_m \text{ acts on } L^*|_x \text{ with weight } \omega_{\min} \end{array} \right\}$$

and

$$X^0_{\min} = \{x \in X \mid p(x) \in Z_{\min}\} \quad \text{for} \quad p(x) = \lim_{t \to 0,\ t \in \mathbb{G}_m} t \cdot x$$

is $\hat{U}$-invariant. By assumption X is irreducible, so it is not hard to see that in fact $Z_{\min}$ and $X^0_{\min}$, and thus also $X^{s,\mathbb{G}_m}_{\min+}$, depend only on the action of $\mathbb{G}_m$ on X and not on the linearisation $\mathcal{L}$. Let

$$X^{s,\hat{U}}_{\min+} = \bigcap_{u \in U} u X^{s,\mathbb{G}_m}_{\min+} = X^0_{\min} \setminus U Z_{\min};$$

it follows that $X^{s,\hat{U}}_{\min+}$ depends only on the action of $\hat{U}$ on X.

It is often convenient to strengthen slightly the requirement that the linearisation is adapted. We say that a property holds for a linear action of $\hat{H}$ on X with respect to an ample linearisation twisted by a '*well adapted*' rational character χ if there exists $\epsilon > 0$ such that if χ is any rational

character of $\mathbb{G}_m$ (lifting to $\hat{H}$ with trivial restriction to H) with

$$\omega_{\min} < \chi < \omega_{\min} + \epsilon,$$

then the required property holds for the linearisation twisted by χ. By the theory of variation of GIT [13, 39], the (semi)stable loci in X for the linear action of a maximal torus T of $\hat{H}$ containing $\mathbb{G}_m$, after the linearisation has been twisted by a well adapted rational character χ of $\hat{H}$ with kernel containing H, is independent of the choice of χ; we will denote these loci by $X^{s,T}_{\min +}$ and $X^{ss,T}_{\min +}$. Let

$$X^{s,\hat{H}}_{\min +} = \bigcap_{h\in H} uX^{s,T}_{\min +},$$

and

$$X^{ss,\hat{H}}_{\min +} = \bigcap_{h\in H} uX^{ss,T}_{\min +}.$$

The first theorem proved in this paper requires the following hypothesis, which can be regarded as a version of 'semistability coincides with stability' for an adapted $\hat{U}$-linearisation $L \to X$:

($\mathfrak{C}^*$) $$\mathrm{Stab}_U(z) = \{e\} \text{ for every } z \in Z_{\min}.$$

This is equivalent to the requirement that

$$\mathrm{Stab}_U(x) = \{e\} \text{ for every } x \in X^0_{\min}.$$

Theorem 0.1 (GIT for linear algebraic group actions with graded unipotent radicals for which semistability coincides with stability)**.** *Let H be a linear algebraic group over $\Bbbk$ with unipotent radical U. Let $\hat{H} = H \rtimes \mathbb{G}_m$ be a semidirect product of H by $\mathbb{G}_m$ with subgroup $\hat{U} = U \rtimes \mathbb{G}_m$, where the conjugation action of $\mathbb{G}_m$ on U is such that all the weights of the induced $\mathbb{G}_m$-action on the Lie algebra of U are strictly positive, while the induced conjugation action of $\mathbb{G}_m$ on $R = H/U$ is trivial. Suppose that $\hat{H}$ acts linearly on an irreducible projective variety X with respect to a very ample line bundle L. Suppose also that the linear action of $\hat{U}$ on X satisfies the condition ($\mathfrak{C}^*$). Then*

(i) the open subvariety $X^{s,\hat{U}}_{\min +}$ of X has a geometric quotient $X \wr \hat{U} = X^{s,\hat{U}}_{\min +}/\hat{U}$ by $\hat{U}$ which is a projective variety, while

(ii) the open subvariety $X^{s,\hat{H}}_{\min +}$ of X has a geometric quotient by $\hat{H}$, and $X^{ss,\hat{H}}_{\min +}$ has a categorical quotient $X \wr \hat{H}$ by $\hat{H}$ which is also a projective variety.

If the linearisation is twisted by a well adapted rational character and $c > 0$ is a sufficiently divisible positive integer then

(iii) the algebra of invariants $\oplus_{m=0}^{\infty} H^0(X, L^{\otimes cm})^{\hat{U}}$ is finitely generated, with associated projective variety $X \wr \hat{U}$, and

(iv) the algebra of invariants $\oplus_{m=0}^{\infty} H^0(X, L^{\otimes m})^{\hat{H}} = (\oplus_{m=0}^{\infty} H^0(X, L^{\otimes cm})^{\hat{U}})^R$ is finitely generated, with associated projective variety $X \wr \hat{H}$.

Remark 0.2. Under the hypotheses of Theorem 0.1, $Z_{\min}$ is a geometric quotient for the action of $\hat{U}$ on the H-invariant subvariety $UZ_{\min}$ of X, and its reductive GIT quotient $Z_{\min}//R$ by the action of $R = H/U$ is a categorical quotient for the action of $\hat{H}$ on the $\hat{H}$-invariant open subset $UZ^{ss,R}_{\min}$ of $UZ_{\min}$.

Remark 0.3. The main theorem of [3] is a version of Theorem 0.1 above. It concerns a linear action of a linear algebraic group $\hat{H}$ with graded unipotent radical on a complex projective variety X, which is well adapted in the sense above and satisfies a variant of the condition that 'semistability coincides with stability' for the linear action of $\hat{U}$.

Remark 0.4. In order to obtain Theorem 0.1 (ii) and (iv), the condition $(\mathfrak{C}^*)$ can be weakened to

$$(\mathfrak{C}^*_H) \qquad \mathrm{Stab}_U(z) = \{e\} \text{ for every } z \in Z^{ss,R}_{\min}$$

where $Z^{ss,R}_{\min}$ is the semistable locus for the induced linear action of $R = H/U$ on $Z_{\min}$.

Remark 0.5. The conditions $(\mathfrak{C}^*)$ and $(\mathfrak{C}^*_H)$ can be weakened, when the action of U is such that the stabiliser in U of $x \in X$ is always strictly positive-dimensional. Provided that for every $z \in Z_{\min}$ the dimension of $\mathrm{Stab}_U(z)$ is equal to the generic dimension of $\mathrm{Stab}_U(x)$ for $x \in X$ (which is equivalent to the requirement that $\dim \mathrm{Stab}_U(x)$ is constant for $x \in X^0_{\min}$), and that the same is true for subgroups $U^{(j)}$ appearing in a series $U \geqslant U^{(1)} \geqslant \cdots \geqslant U^{(s)} = \{e\}$ normalised by H with $U^{(j)}/U^{(j+1)}$ abelian (such as the derived series for U), then the conclusions of Theorem 0.1 still hold. Similarly if for every $z \in Z^{ss,R}_{\min}$ the dimension of $\mathrm{Stab}_U(z)$ is equal to the generic dimension of $\mathrm{Stab}_U(x)$ for $x \in X$, and that the same is true for subgroups $U^{(j)}$ appearing in a series $U \geqslant U^{(1)} \geqslant \cdots \geqslant U^{(s)} = \{e\}$ normalised by H with $U^{(j)}/U^{(j+1)}$ abelian, then Theorem 0.1 (ii) and (iv) still hold.

Remark 0.6. Let $X^{\mathbb{G}_m}$ be the $\mathbb{G}_m$–fixed point set in X. We can also weaken the requirement that the rational character χ should be well adapted if we strengthen the condition $(\mathfrak{C}^*)$ to become

$$(\mathfrak{C}^*_j) \qquad \mathrm{Stab}_U(z) = \{e\} \text{ whenever } z \in X^{\mathbb{G}_m} \text{ and } \mathbb{G}_m \text{ acts on } L^*|_z \text{ with weight at most } \omega_j;.$$

then we can allow χ to be any non-weight in $(\omega_{\min}, \omega_j + \epsilon)$ where $\epsilon > 0$ is sufficiently small, provided that we modify $X^{s,\hat{U}}_{\min+}$, $X^{s,\hat{H}}_{\min+}$ and $X^{ss,\hat{H}}_{\min+}$ appropriately, depending on χ.

Applying Theorem 0.1 with X replaced by $X \times \mathbb{P}^1$, with respect to the tensor power of the linearisation $L \to X$ with $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(M)$ for $M >> 1$, gives us a projective variety $X \widehat{\wr} H = (X \times \mathbb{P}^1) \wr \hat{H}$ which is a categorical quotient by $\hat{H}$ of a $\hat{H}$-invariant open subset of $X \times \mathbb{k}$ (the inverse image in $(X \times \mathbb{P}^1)^{s,\hat{U}}_{\min+}$ of the R-semistable subset of $(X \times \mathbb{P}^1) \wr \hat{U} = (X \times \mathbb{P}^1)^{s,\hat{U}}_{\min+}/\hat{U})$. It contains as an open subvariety a geometric quotient by H of an H-invariant open subset $X^{\hat{s},H}$ of X, which is the intersection with $X \times \{[1:1]\}$ of the inverse image in $(X \times \mathbb{P}^1)^{s,\hat{U}}_{\min+}$ of the R-stable subset of

$$(X \times \mathbb{P}^1) \wr \hat{U} = ((X^0_{\min} \times \mathbb{k}^*) \sqcup (X^{s,\hat{U}}_{\min+} \times \{0\}))/\hat{U} \cong (X^0_{\min}/U) \sqcup (X^{s,\hat{U}}_{\min+}/\hat{U}).$$

Here $X^{\hat{s},H} = \{x \in X^0_{\min} | p(x) \in Z^{s,R}_{\min}\}$ where $Z^{s,R}_{\min}$ is the stable locus for the induced linear R-action on $Z_{\min}$.

Thus the geometric quotient $X^{\hat{s},H}/H$ and the projective variety $(X \times \mathbb{P}^1) \wr \hat{H} \supseteq X^{\hat{s},H}/H$ can be described using Hilbert–Mumford criteria and an analogue of S-equivalence, combining the description of $(X \times \mathbb{P}^1) \wr \hat{U}$ as the geometric quotient $(X \times \mathbb{P}^1)^{s,\hat{U}}_{\min+}/\hat{U}$ with classical GIT for the induced linear action on $(X \times \mathbb{P}^1) \wr \hat{U}$ of the reductive group $R = H/U$. If $X^{\hat{s},H}$ is nonempty then $(X \times \mathbb{P}^1) \wr \hat{H}$ is a projective completion of $X^{\hat{s},H}/H$, and there is a surjective $\hat{H}$-invariant

morphism $\hat{\phi}$ onto $(X \times \mathbb{P}^1) /\!\!/ \hat{H}$ from the open subvariety

$$(X \times \Bbbk)^{\hat{s}s,H} = \bigcap_{h \in H} h\ (X \times \Bbbk)^{ss,T}_{\min +}$$

of $X \times \Bbbk$, where $(X \times \Bbbk)^{ss,T}_{\min +}$ is the T-semistable set in the sense of classical GIT for a well adapted linearisation of the action on $X \times \mathbb{P}^1$ with respect to the tensor power of L with $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(M)$ for $M >> 1$. When (x,s) and (y,t) lie in $(X \times \Bbbk)^{\hat{s}s,H}$ then $\hat{\phi}(x,s) = \hat{\phi}(y,t)$ if and only if the closures of their $\hat{H}$-orbits meet in $(X \times \Bbbk)^{\hat{s}s,H}$.

Having proved Theorem 0.1 we will modify the partial desingularisation construction of [26] in the reductive case to prove the following analogue for linear algebraic groups with graded unipotent radical, which applies to any well adapted linear action of $\hat{H}$ for which the generic stabiliser in U is trivial. Recall that when H is a linear algebraic group over $\Bbbk$ then H has a graded unipotent radical U if there is a semi-direct product $\hat{H} = H \rtimes \mathbb{G}_m$ where $\mathbb{G}_m$ acts by conjugation on the Lie algebra of U with all weights strictly positive, and with trivial induced conjugation action on $R = H/U$,

Theorem 0.7 (Projective completions for GIT quotients by linear algebraic group actions with graded unipotent radicals)**.** *Let H be a linear algebraic group over $\Bbbk$ with graded unipotent radical U and $R = H/U$ having maximal torus T, and let $\hat{H} = H \rtimes \mathbb{G}_m$ define the grading. Suppose that $\hat{H}$ acts linearly on an irreducible projective variety X with respect to a very ample line bundle L, and that $\mathrm{Stab}_U(x) = \{e\}$ for generic $x \in X$. Then*
(i) there is a sequence of blow-ups of X along $\hat{H}$-invariant projective subvarieties resulting in a projective variety $\hat{X}$ with a well adapted linear action of $\hat{H}$ (with respect to a power of an ample line bundle given by tensoring the pullback of L with small multiples of the exceptional divisors for the blow-ups) which satisfies the condition that 'semistability coincides with stability' for the action of U in the sense of ($\mathfrak{C}^*$) above, so that Theorem 0.1 applies, giving us a projective geometric quotient

$$\hat{X} /\!\!/ \hat{U} = \hat{X}^{s,\hat{U}}_{\min +}/\hat{U}$$

and a projective categorical quotient $\hat{X} /\!\!/ \hat{H} = (\hat{X} /\!\!/ \hat{U}) /\!\!/ R = (\hat{X} /\!\!/ \hat{U})//R$ of the $\hat{H}$-invariant open subset $\bigcap_{h \in H} h\, \hat{X}^{ss,T}_{\min +}$ of $\hat{X}$;
(ii) there is a sequence of further blow-ups along $\hat{H}$-invariant projective subvarieties resulting in a projective variety $\tilde{X}$ satisfying the same conditions as $\hat{X}$ and in addition the enveloping quotient $\tilde{X} /\!\!/ \hat{H}$ is the geometric quotient by $\hat{H}$ of the $\hat{H}$-invariant open subset $\tilde{X}^{s,\hat{H}}_{\min +}$;
(iii) the blow-down maps $\hat{\psi} : \hat{X} \to X$ and $\tilde{\psi} : \tilde{X} \to X$ are isomorphisms over the open subvariety

$$\{x \in X^0_{\min} \setminus U Z_{\min} \mid \mathrm{Stab}_U(p(x)) = \{e\} \text{ and } p(x) \in Z^{s,R}_{\min}\},$$

and this has a geometric quotient by $\hat{H}$ which can be identified via $\hat{\psi}$ and $\tilde{\psi}$ with open subvarieties of the projective varieties $\hat{X} /\!\!/ \hat{H}$ and $\tilde{X} /\!\!/ \hat{H}$.

Remark 0.8. Here the first step in the construction of $\hat{X}$ from X is to blow X up along the closure of the subvariety of $X^0_{\min}$ where $\dim \mathrm{Stab}_U(x)$ is maximal for $x \in X^0_{\min}$; by repeating this step finitely many times we obtain $\hat{X}$ satisfying ($\mathfrak{C}^*$). In order to construct $\tilde{X}$ from $\hat{X}$ we apply the partial desingularisation construction of [26] to the induced linear action of $R = H/U = \hat{H}/\hat{U}$ on $\hat{X} /\!\!/ \hat{U}$.

Remark 0.9. The condition that $\mathrm{Stab}_U(x) = \{e\}$ for generic $x \in X$ is analogous to the requirement studied in [26] that the stable set should be nonempty for a GIT quotient by a reductive group G. If there are semistable points but no stable points in the reductive case, then the blow-up process of [26] can still be applied, but it will not terminate with $\tilde{X}$ such that $\tilde{X}^{ss} = \tilde{X}^s \neq \emptyset$.

Recall that in Mumford's terminology [32] 'stable' has a slightly weaker meaning than is now standard. For Mumford a point $x \in X$ is stable for a linear action of a reductive group G with respect to an ample line bundle L if there is an invariant section $\sigma \in H^0(X, L^{\otimes m})^G$ for some integer $m > 0$ such that $x \in X_\sigma = \{y \in X | \sigma(y) \neq 0\}$ and the action of G on the affine open X_σ is closed; a stable point in the modern sense (with the additional requirement that $\dim \mathrm{Stab}_G(x) = 0$) was called 'properly stable' by Mumford. If X^{Ms} denotes the stable locus in Mumford's sense, then X^{Ms} has a geometric quotient X^{Ms}/G. If X is irreducible then $X^s \neq \emptyset$ implies that $X^{Ms} = X^s$, but it is possible to have $X^{Ms} \neq \emptyset = X^s$, and in this case the partial desingularisation construction of [26] terminates with $\tilde{X}$ satisfying $\tilde{X}^{ss} = \tilde{X}^{Ms}$ which has a geometric quotient $\tilde{X}//G = X^{Ms}/G$. Likewise (cf. Remark 0.5; see §6 for more details), the condition that $\mathrm{Stab}_U(x) = \{e\}$ for generic $x \in X$ in Theorem 0.7 can be dropped and we can still blow X up to obtain projective varieties $\hat{X}$ and $\tilde{X}$ with enveloping quotients $\hat{X} \sslash \hat{H}$ and $\tilde{X} \sslash \hat{H}$ which are categorical and geometric quotients of open subsets of $\hat{X}$ and $\tilde{X}$.

As before, by applying these results to the action of $\hat{H}$ on $X \times \mathbb{P}^1$ we obtain projective varieties $X \widehat{\sslash} H = \widehat{X \times \mathbb{P}^1} \sslash \hat{H}$ and $X \widetilde{\sslash} H = \widetilde{X \times \mathbb{P}^1} \sslash \hat{H}$ which are categorical (and in the latter case geometric) quotients by $\hat{H}$ of $\hat{H}$-invariant open subsets of blow-ups of $X \times \Bbbk$, and contain as an open subvariety a geometric quotient of an H-invariant open subvariety $X^{\hat{s},H}$ of X by H. If $\mathrm{Stab}_U(z)$ is trivial for generic $z \in Z_{\min}$ then

$$X^{\hat{s},H} = \{x \in X^0_{\min} \mid \mathrm{Stab}_U(p(x)) = \{e\} \text{ and } p(x) \in Z^{s,R}_{\min}\}.$$

If $\mathrm{Stab}_U(z)$ is not trivial for generic $z \in Z_{\min}$ but $\mathrm{Stab}_U(x)$ is trivial for generic $x \in X$ then $X^{\hat{s},H}$ has a more complicated description of a similar form, described in §6. Furthermore if the geometric quotient $X^{\hat{s},H}/H$ is nonempty then its projective completions $X \widehat{\sslash} H$ and $X \widetilde{\sslash} H$ have descriptions in terms of Hilbert–Mumford-like criteria, the blow-up constructions and S-equivalence.

Remark 0.10. Even if the algebra of H-invariant sections of powers of L is finitely generated and condition ($\mathfrak{C}^*$) is satisfied, the projective varieties $X \widehat{\sslash} H = (X \times \mathbb{P}^1) \sslash \hat{H}$ and $X \sslash H$ are not in general isomorphic to each other, although they will be birationally equivalent. When $\Bbbk = \mathbb{C}$ and $H = U$ is a maximal unipotent subgroup of a reductive group G (or more generally the unipotent radical of a parabolic subgroup of G) and the linear action of U on X extends to G, then the algebra of U-invariant sections of powers of L is finitely generated and the enveloping quotient $X \sslash U$ can be described using symplectic implosion [20, 27]. When condition ($\mathfrak{C}^*$) is satisfied, there is a similar symplectic description of $X \widehat{\sslash} U = (X \times \mathbb{P}^1) \sslash \hat{U}$, which is obtained from $X \sslash U$ via a symplectic cutting construction (cf. [23]).

As was observed in [3], the automorphism group H of any complete simplicial toric variety is a linear algebraic group with a graded unipotent radical U such that the grading is defined by a one parameter subgroup $\mathbb{G}_m$ of H acting by conjugation on the Lie algebra of U with all weights strictly positive, and inducing a central one-parameter subgroup of $R = H/U$. Thus the results of this paper can be applied to any linear action of H on an irreducible projective

variety with respect to a very ample linearisation. Similarly it was also shown in [3] that the group $\mathbb{G}_{k,p}$ of k-jets of holomorphic reparametrisations of $(\mathbb{C}^p,0)$ for any $k,p\geq 1$ has a graded unipotent radical $\mathbb{U}_{k,p}$ such that the grading is defined by a one parameter subgroup of $\mathbb{G}_{k,p}$ acting by conjugation on the Lie algebra of $\mathbb{U}_{k,p}$ with all weights strictly positive, and inducing a central one-parameter subgroup of the reductive group $\mathbb{G}_{k,p}/\mathbb{U}_{k,p}$. So the results above also apply to any linear action of the reparametrisation group $\mathbb{G}_{k,p}$. In particular $\mathbb{G}_{k,p}$ acts fibrewise in a natural way on the vector bundle $J_{k,p}(T^*Y)\to Y$ (and associated projective bundle $\mathbb{P}(J_{k,p}(T^*Y))\to Y$) over a complex manifold Y of dimension n with fibre $J_{k,p,x}$ at $x\in Y$ consisting of the k-jets at x of holomorphic maps from $(\mathbb{C}^p,0)$ to (Y,x) (and the associated projective space $\mathbb{P}(J_{k,p,x})$). This action in the case when $p=1$ was the original motivation for our study of graded unipotent group actions (see also [7]).

Remark 0.11. We can also apply the results of this paper even to the Nagata counterexamples, which are linear actions of unipotent groups U on projective space such that the corresponding U-invariants are not finitely generated [31]. In these examples the linear action extends to a linear action of an extension $\hat{U}=U\rtimes\mathbb{G}_m$ by $\mathbb{G}_m$ such that the action of $\mathbb{G}_m$ by conjugation on the Lie algebra of U has all its weights strictly positive, and $\mathrm{Stab}_U(x)=\{e\}$ for generic x, so Theorem 0.7 applies. Thus the quotient $X\mathbin{\widehat{\wr}}U=\widehat{X\times\mathbb{P}^1}\wr\hat{U}$ gives us a projective completion of a geometric quotient by U of a U-invariant open subset of the projective space which can be determined by Hilbert–Mumford-like criteria and the explicit blow-up construction. Here the subalgebra of $\bigoplus_{k\geq 0}H^0(X,L^{\otimes k})^U$ consisting of the U-invariants on the projective space X which extend to $\hat{U}$-invariants on $X\times\mathbb{P}^1$ (for an appropriate choice of linearisation) is finitely generated, even though the algebra $\bigoplus_{k\geq 0}H^0(X,L^{\otimes k})^U$ itself is not finitely generated.

The layout of the paper is as follows. §1 reviews the results of [2, 14] on non-reductive GIT, including preliminary results which will be needed for the proof of Theorem 0.1. §2 outlines the strategy which will be used to prove Theorem 0.1, while §3 studies the U-sweep of $Z_{\min}$ and the locus in $X^0_{\min}$ where the dimension of $\mathrm{Stab}_U(x)$ is maximal. §4 completes the proof of Theorem 0.1. In §5 we recall the partial desingularisation construction of [26] for linear actions of reductive groups on projective varieties, and its non-reductive analogue, Theorem 0.7, is proved in §6. Finally §7 discusses some applications.

1. Non-reductive geometric invariant theory

Let X be an irreducible projective variety over an algebraically closed field $\Bbbk$ of characteristic 0 and let H be a linear algebraic group acting on X with an ample linearisation $\mathcal{L}$ of the action; that is, an ample line bundle L on X and a lift of the action to L.

Definition 1.1. *When $H=G$ is reductive then $y\in X$ is* semistable *(and we will write $y\in X^{ss}$) for this linear action if there exists some $m>0$ and $f\in H^0(X,L^{\otimes m})^G$ not vanishing at y, and y is* stable *($y\in X^s$) if also the action of G on X_f is closed with all stabilisers finite. Note that y is stable in the original sense of Mumford (and we will write $y\in X^{Ms}$) if the action of G on X_f is closed but the stabilisers are not necessarily finite.*

The subalgebra $\bigoplus_{k\geq 0}H^0(X,L^{\otimes k})^G$ of the homogeneous coordinate ring

$$\hat{\mathcal{O}}_L(X)=\bigoplus_{k\geq 0}H^0(X,L^{\otimes k})$$

of X is finitely generated graded because G is reductive, and the GIT quotient $X//G$ is the projective variety $\mathrm{Proj}(\hat{\mathcal{O}}_L(X)^G)$.

The open subvarieties X^{ss} and X^s of X for a linear action of a reductive group G are characterised by the following properties (see [32, Chapter 2] or [33]).

Proposition 1.2. *(Hilbert-Mumford criteria for reductive group actions) (i) A point $x \in X$ is semistable (respectively stable) for the action of G on X if and only if for every $g \in G$ the point gx is semistable (respectively stable) for the action of a fixed maximal torus of G.*
(ii) A point $x \in X$ with homogeneous coordinates $[x_0 : \ldots : x_n]$ in some coordinate system on $\mathbb{P}^n$ is semistable (respectively stable) for the action of a maximal torus of G acting diagonally on $\mathbb{P}^n$ with weights $\alpha_0, \ldots, \alpha_n$ if and only if the convex hull

$$\mathrm{Conv}\{\alpha_i : x_i \neq 0\}$$

contains 0 *(respectively contains* 0 *in its interior).*

Remark 1.3. When X is quasi-projective but not projective, then we define X^s, X^{Ms} and X^{ss} as in Definition 1.1 above with the extra requirement that X_f should be affine, which is automatically satisfied when X is projective (cf. [32] Definition 1.7).

Now let H be any linear algebraic group, with unipotent radical U, acting linearly on a complex projective variety X with respect to an ample line bundle L. Then $\bigoplus_{k\geq 0} H^0(X, L^{\otimes k})^H$ is not necessarily finitely generated as a graded algebra, so it is not obvious how to extend GIT to this situation. One approach, adopted in [14], is to reduce to studying the linear action of the unipotent radical U on X; if we can find a sufficiently natural quotient for this U-action such that it inherits an induced linear action of the reductive group $R = H/U$ with respect to an induced ample linearisation, then we can apply classical GIT to this R-action to obtain a quotient for the original H-action on X.

Definition 1.4. *(See* [14] *§4). Let $I = \bigcup_{m>0} H^0(X, L^{\otimes m})^U$ and for $f \in I$ let X_f be the U-invariant affine open subset of X where f does not vanish, with $\mathcal{O}(X_f)$ its coordinate ring. A point $x \in X$ is called* naively semistable *if there exists some $f \in I$ which does not vanish at x, and the set of naively semistable points is denoted $X^{nss} = \bigcup_{f\in I} X_f$. The* finitely generated semistable set *of X is $X^{ss,fg} = \bigcup_{f\in I^{fg}} X_f$ where*

$$I^{fg} = \{f \in I \mid \mathcal{O}(X_f)^U \text{ is finitely generated }\}.$$

The set of naively stable *points of X is $X^{ns} = \bigcup_{f\in I^{ns}} X_f$ where*

$$I^{ns} = \{f \in I^{fg} \mid q : X_f \longrightarrow \mathrm{Spec}(\mathcal{O}(X_f)^U) \text{ is a geometric quotient}\},$$

and the set of locally trivial stable *points is $X^{lts} = \bigcup_{f\in I^{lts}} X_f$ where*

$$I^{lts} = \{f \in I^{fg} \mid q : X_f \longrightarrow \mathrm{Spec}(\mathcal{O}(X_f)^U) \text{ is a locally trivial geometric quotient}\}.$$

The enveloped quotient *of $X^{ss,fg}$ is $q : X^{ss,fg} \to q(X^{ss,fg})$, where $q : X^{ss,fg} \to \mathrm{Proj}(\hat{\mathcal{O}}_L(X)^U)$ is the natural morphism of schemes and $q(X^{ss,fg})$ is a dense constructible subset of the* enveloping quotient

$$X \wr U = \bigcup_{f\in I^{ss,fg}} \mathrm{Spec}(\mathcal{O}(X_f)^U)$$

of $X^{ss,fg}$.

Remark 1.5. We call a point $x \in X$ *stable* for the linear U-action if $x \in X^{lts}$ and *semistable* if $x \in X^{ss,fg}$, writing X^s (or $X^{s,U}$ or $X^{s(U)}$ or $X^{s,U,L}$ or $X^{s,U,\mathcal{L}}$ or similar) for X^{lts}, and X^{ss} (or $X^{ss,U}$ etc.) for $X^{ss,fg}$ (cf. Theorem 1.16 below and [14] 5.3.7).

Remark 1.6. Here $q(X^{ss})$ is a constructible subset of $X \sslash U$ but not necessarily a subvariety (cf. [14] §6). However $q(X^s)$ is an open subvariety of $X \sslash U$ and $q(X^s) = X^s/U$ is a geometric quotient of X^s by U.

Remark 1.7. If $\hat{\mathcal{O}}_L(X)^U$ is finitely generated then $X \sslash U$ is the projective variety $\mathrm{Proj}(\hat{\mathcal{O}}_L(X)^U)$.

Remark 1.8. The enveloping quotient $X \sslash U$ has quasi-projective open subvarieties ('inner enveloping quotients' $X/\!\!/_\circ H$) which contain the enveloped quotient $q(X^{ss})$ and have ample line bundles pulling back to positive tensor powers of L under the natural map $q : X^{ss} \to X \sslash U$ (see [2] for details, and note that the justification for the claim in [14] that the enveloping quotient $X \sslash U$ is itself quasi-projective is incorrect; cf. [2] Remark 2.3.4). When $\hat{\mathcal{O}}_L(X)^U$ is finitely generated then the enveloping quotient $X \sslash U$ is the unique inner enveloping quotient.

Even when $\hat{\mathcal{O}}_L(X)^U$ is finitely generated the quotient map $q : X^{ss} \to X \sslash U$ is not in general surjective, and it is not immediately clear how to study the geometry of the enveloping quotient $X \sslash U$.One way to do this, developed in [14], is via the concept of a reductive envelope, given in Definition 1.13 below. For this, suppose that G is a complex reductive group with the unipotent group U as a closed subgroup, let $G \times^U X$ denote the quotient of $G \times X$ by the free action of U defined by $u(g, x) = (gu^{-1}, ux)$ for $u \in U$; this is a quasi-projective variety by [35] Theorem 4.19. There is an induced G-action on $G \times^U X$ given by left multiplication of G on itself, and if the action of U on X extends to an action of G there is an isomorphism of G-varieties

$$G \times^U X \cong (G/U) \times X, \quad [g, x] \mapsto (gU, gx).$$

If U acts linearly on X with respect to a very ample line bundle L and linearisation $\mathcal{L}$ inducing a U-equivariant embedding of X in $\mathbb{P}^n$, and if G is a reductive subgroup of $SL(n+1;\mathbb{C})$, then the inclusions

$$G \times^U X \hookrightarrow G \times^U \mathbb{P}^n \cong (G/U) \times \mathbb{P}^n,$$

and the trivial bundle on the quasi-affine variety G/U induce a very ample G-linearisation on $G \times^U X$, such that

$$\bigoplus_{m \geq 0} H^0(G \times^U X, L^{\otimes m})^G \cong \bigoplus_{m \geq 0} H^0(X, L^{\otimes m})^U = \hat{\mathcal{O}}_L(X)^U.$$

Note that if a linear algebraic group H with unipotent radical U is a subgroup of a reductive group G then there is an induced right action of R on G/U which commutes with the left action of G. Similarly if H acts on a projective variety X then there is an induced action of $G \times R$ on $G \times_U X$ with an induced $G \times R$-linearisation. The same is true if we replace the requirement that H is a subgroup of G with the existence of a group homomorphism $H \to G$ whose restriction to U is injective.

Definition 1.9. *A group homomorphism $H \to G$ from a linear algebraic group H with unipotent radical U to a reductive group G will be called U-faithful if its restriction to U is injective.*

Note also that it is crucial here that G/U is quasi-affine; this is true for any unipotent closed subgroup U of the reductive group G, but is not true for an arbitrary closed subgroup H of G.

Definition 1.10. *(See* [14] §5*). The sets of* Mumford stable points *and* Mumford semistable points *in X are $X^{ms} = i^{-1}((G \times^U X)^s)$ and $X^{mss} = i^{-1}((G \times^U X)^{ss})$ where $i : X \to G \times^U X$ is the inclusion given by $x \mapsto [e, x]$ for e the identity element of G. Here $(G \times^U X)^s$ and $(G \times^U X)^{ss}$ are defined as in Remark 1.3 for the induced linear action of G on the quasi-projective variety $G \times^U X$.*

Remark 1.11. It is claimed in [14] that X^{ms} and X^{mss} are equal and independent of the choice of G, but unfortunately there is an error in the proof of [14] Lemma 5.1.7 that $X^{mss} = X^{ms}$, which is related to the difference between stability in Mumford's original sense and in the modern sense (see Definition 1.1 above). Let $X^{Ms} = i^{-1}((G \times^U X)^{Ms})$ where $i : X \to G \times^U X$ is the inclusion given by $x \mapsto [e, x]$ for e the identity element of G and $((G \times^U X)^{Ms}$ is defined as in Remark 1.3 for the induced linear action of G on the quasi-projective variety $G \times^U X$. Then $X^s \subseteq X^{Ms}$; moreover if X is irreducible and G is connected so that $G \times^U X$ is irreducible, then $X^s \neq \emptyset$ implies $X^{Ms} = X^s$. However it can happen that $X^s = \emptyset \neq X^{Ms}$.

The proof of [14] Lemma 5.1.7 shows in fact that $X^{mss} = X^{Ms}$. Proposition 5.1.9 of [14] proves that X^{mss} is independent of the choice of G, while [14] Proposition 5.1.10 proves that $X^{ms} = X^s$ and therefore is independent of the choice of G; in neither case is [14] Lemma 5.1.7 used. Thus we can still deduce that X^{ms} and $X^{mss} = X^{Ms}$ are independent of the choice of G.

Definition 1.12. *A* finite separating set of invariants *for the linear action of U on X is a collection of invariant sections $S = \{f_1, \dots, f_n\}$ of positive tensor powers of L such that $X^{\mathrm{nss}} = \bigcup_{f \in S} X_f$ and the set S is* separating*: whenever $x, y \in X^{\mathrm{nss}}$ are distinct points and there exist U-invariant sections $g_0, g_1 \in H^0(X, L^{\otimes r})^U$ (for some $r > 0$) such that both $[g_0(x) : g_1(x)]$ and $[g_0(y) : g_1(y)]$ are defined and distinct points of $\mathbb{P}^1$, then there are sections $f_0, f_1 \in S$ of some common tensor power of L such that $[f_0(x) : f_1(x)]$ and $[f_0(y) : f_1(y)]$ are defined and distinct points of $\mathbb{P}^1$. If G is any reductive group containing U, a finite separating set S of invariant sections of positive tensor powers of L is a* finite fully separating set of invariants *for the linear U-action on X if*

(i) for every $x \in X^{ms}$ there exists $f \in S$ with associated G-invariant F over $G \times^U X$ (under the isomorphism (1)) such that $x \in (G \times^U X)_F$ and $(G \times^U X)_F$ is affine; and

(ii) for every $x \in X^{ss}$ there exists $f \in S$ such that $x \in X_f$ and $\mathcal{O}(X_f)^U \cong \Bbbk[S]_{(f)}$ (where $\Bbbk[S]$ is the graded subalgebra of $\oplus_{k \geq 0} H^0(X, L^{\otimes k})^U$ generated by S).

This definition is also independent of the choice of G by [14] Remark 5.2.3.

Definition 1.13. *(See* [14] §5*). Let X be a quasi-projective variety with a linear U-action with respect to an ample line bundle L on X, and let G be a complex reductive group containing U as a closed subgroup. A G-equivariant projective completion $\overline{G \times^U X}$ of $G \times^U X$, together with a G-linearisation with respect to a line bundle L which restricts to the given U-linearisation on X, is a* reductive envelope *of the linear U-action on X if every U-invariant f in some finite fully separating set of invariants S for the U-action on X extends to a G-invariant section of a tensor power of L over $\overline{G \times^U X}$. If moreover there exists such an S for which every $f \in S$ extends to a G-invariant section F over $\overline{G \times^U X}$ such that $(\overline{G \times^U X})_F$ is affine, then $(\overline{G \times^U X}, L')$ is a* fine reductive envelope*, and if L is ample (in which case $(\overline{G \times^U X})_F$ is always affine) it is an* ample reductive envelope*. If every $f \in S$ extends to a G-invariant F over $\overline{G \times^U X}$ which vanishes on each codimension 1 component of the boundary of $G \times^U X$ in $\overline{G \times^U X}$, then a reductive envelope for the linear U-action on X is called a* strong *reductive envelope.*

Remark 1.14. In order to find projective completions of quotients of open subvarieties of X by the action of H, we should consider reductive envelopes $\overline{G \times^U X}$ which are $G \times R$-equivariant

projective completions of $G \times^U X$ equipped with $G \times R$-linearisations restricting to the given linearisation on X.

Definition 1.15. *(See* [14] §5 *and* [27] §3*). Let X be a projective variety with a linear U-action and a reductive envelope $\overline{G \times^U X}$. The set of* completely stable points *of X with respect to the reductive envelope is*

$$X^{\overline{s}} = (j \circ i)^{-1}(\overline{G \times^U X}^s)$$

and the set of completely semistable points *is*

$$X^{\overline{ss}} = (j \circ i)^{-1}(\overline{G \times^U X}^{ss}),$$

where $i : X \hookrightarrow G \times^U X$ and $j : G \times^U X \hookrightarrow \overline{G \times^U X}$ are the inclusions, and $\overline{G \times^U X}^s$ and $\overline{G \times^U X}^{ss}$ are the stable and semistable sets for the linear G-action on $\overline{G \times^U X}$. Let

$$X^{\overline{nss}} = (j \circ i)^{-1}(\overline{G \times^U X}^{nss})$$

where $y \in \overline{G \times^U X}$ belongs to the subset $\overline{G \times^U X}^{nss}$ of naively semistable *points for the linear action of G) if there exists some $m > 0$ and $f \in H^0(X, L^{\otimes m})^G$ not vanishing at y.*

Note that $X^{\overline{nss}} = X^{\overline{ss}}$ provided that the reductive envelope is ample.

The following result combines [14] 5.3.1 and 5.3.5, corrected as at Remark 1.11.

Theorem 1.16. *Let X be a normal projective variety with a linear U-action, for U a connected unipotent group, and let $(\overline{G \times^U X}, L)$ be any fine reductive envelope. Then*

$$X^{\overline{s}} \subseteq X^s = X^{ms} \subseteq X^{Ms} = X^{mss} \subseteq X^{ns} \subseteq X^{ss} \subseteq X^{\overline{ss}} = X^{nss} \subseteq X^{\overline{nss}}.$$

The stable sets $X^{\overline{s}}$, $X^s = X^{ms}$, $X^{Ms} = X^{mss}$ and X^{ns} admit quasi-projective geometric quotients, given by restrictions of the quotient map $q = \pi \circ j \circ i$ where

$$\pi : (\overline{G \times^U X})^{ss} \to \overline{G \times^U X}//G$$

is the classical GIT quotient map for the reductive envelope and i, j are as in Definition 1.15. The quotient map q restricted to the open subvariety X^{ss} is an enveloped quotient, and there is an open subvariety $X/\!\!/_\circ U$ of $\overline{G \times^U X}//G$ containing $q(X^{ss})$ which is an inner enveloping quotient of X by the linear action of U. Moreover there is an ample line bundle L_U on $X/\!\!/_\circ U$ which pulls back to a tensor power $L^{\otimes k}$ of the line bundle L for some $k > 0$ and extends to an ample line bundle on $\overline{G \times^U X}//G$.

If moreover $\overline{G \times^U X}$ is normal and provides a fine strong reductive envelope for the linear U-action on X, then $X^{\overline{s}} = X^s$ and $X^{ss} = X^{nss}$.

So there is a diagram of quasi-projective varieties

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} X^{\overline{s}} & \subseteq & X^s & \subseteq & X^{Ms} & \subseteq & X^{ss} & \subseteq & X^{\overline{ss}} = X^{nss} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ X^{\overline{s}}/U & \subseteq & X^s/U & \subseteq & X^{Ms}/U & \subseteq & X/\!\!/_\circ U & \subseteq & \overline{G \times^U X}//G \end{array}$$

where all the inclusions are open and all the vertical morphisms are restrictions of the GIT quotient map $\pi : (\overline{G \times^U X})^{ss} \to \overline{G \times^U X}//G$, and each except the last is a restriction of the map of schemes $q : X^{nss} \to \mathrm{Proj}(\hat{\mathcal{O}}_L(X)^U))$ associated to the inclusion $\hat{\mathcal{O}}_L(X)^U \subseteq \hat{\mathcal{O}}_L(X)$. Here $\overline{G \times^U X}//G$ is a projective variety if the reductive envelope is ample but, even then, the

inner enveloping quotient $X/\!\!/_\circ U$ is not necessarily projective, and (even if the ring of invariants $\hat{\mathcal{O}}_L(X)^U$ is finitely generated so that $X/\!\!/_\circ U = \mathrm{Proj}(\hat{\mathcal{O}}_L(X)^U)$ is projective) the morphisms $X^{ss} \to X/\!\!/_\circ U$ and $X^{\overline{ss}} \to \overline{G \times^U X}/\!/G$ are not necessarily surjective.

Now suppose that H is a linear algebraic groups H which may be neither unipotent nor reductive [2, 7]. When H acts linearly on a projective variety X with respect to an ample line bundle L, the naively semistable and (finitely generated) semistable sets X^{nss} and $X^{ss} = X^{ss,fg}$, enveloped and enveloping quotients and inner enveloping quotients

$$q : X^{ss} \to q(X^{ss}) \subseteq X/\!\!/_\circ H \subseteq X \wr H$$

are defined in [2] exactly as for the unipotent case, and when H is reductive then $X^{nss} = X^{ss,fg} = X^{ss}$ coincides with the semistable set as defined at Definition 1.1, while the enveloped, enveloping and inner enveloping quotients all coincide with the GIT quotient as defined at Definition 1.1. However the definition in [2] of the stable set X^s is more complicated and combines (and extends) the unipotent and reductive cases.

Definition 1.17. *Let H be a linear algebraic group acting on an irreducible variety X and $L \to X$ a linearisation for the action. The* stable locus *is the open subset*

$$X^s = \bigcup_{f \in I^s} X_f$$

of X^{ss}, where $I^s \subseteq \bigcup_{r>0} H^0(X, L^{\otimes r})^H$ is the subset of H-invariant sections satisfying the following conditions:

(1) the open set X_f is affine;
(2) the action of H on X_f is closed with all stabilisers finite groups; and
(3) the restriction of the U-enveloping quotient map

$$q_U : X_f \to \mathrm{Spec}((S^U)_{(f)})$$

is a principal U-bundle for the action of U on X_f.

If it is necessary to indicate the group H we may write $X^{s,H}$ or $X^{s(H)}$ and $X^{ss,H}$ or $X^{ss(H)}$ for X^s and X^{ss}.

In general even when the algebra of invariants $\bigoplus_{k\geq 0} H^0(X, L^{\otimes k})^H$ on X is finitely generated, the morphism $X \to X \wr H$ may not be surjective, so it is hard to study the geometry of $X \wr H$. If, however, we are lucky enough to find a $G \times R$-equivariant projective completion $\overline{G \times_U X}$ with a linearisation on L such that for sufficiently divisible N the line bundle L'_N is ample and the boundary $\overline{G \times_U X} \setminus G \times_U X$ is unstable for L'_N, then we have a situation which is almost as well behaved as for reductive group actions on projective varieties with ample linearisations.

Definition 1.18. *If $\overline{G \times^U X}$ is a $G \times R$-equivariant reductive envelope, let $X^{\overline{ss}} = X \cap \overline{G \times_U X}^{ss,G\times R}$ and $X^{\overline{s}} = X \cap \overline{G \times_U X}^{s,G\times R}$ where X is embedded in $G \times_U X$ in the obvious way as $x \mapsto [1, x]$.*

Theorem 1.19. ([14] 5.3.1 and 5.3.5). *Let X be a normal projective variety acted on by a linear algebraic group $H = U \rtimes R$ where U is the unipotent radical of H and let L be a very ample linearisation of the H action defining an embedding $X \subseteq \mathbb{P}^n$. Let $H \to G$ be an U-faithful homomorphism into a reductive subgroup G of $\mathrm{SL}(n+1;\mathbb{C})$ with respect to an ample line bundle L. Let $(\overline{G \times^U X}, L)$ be any fine $G \times R$-equivariant reductive envelope. Then*

$$X^{\overline{s}} \subseteq X^s \subseteq X^{ss} \subseteq X^{\overline{ss}} = X^{nss}.$$

The stable sets $X^{\overline{s}}$ and X^s admit quasi-projective geometric quotients by the action of H. The quotient map q restricted to the open subvariety X^{ss} is an enveloped quotient with $q : X^{ss} \to X \wr H$ an enveloping quotient. There is an open subvariety $X /\!\!/_\circ H$ of $\overline{G \times^U X}//(G \times R)$ which is an inner enveloping quotient of X by the linear action of H. Moreover there is an ample line bundle L_U on $X /\!\!/_\circ H$ which pulls back to a tensor power $L^{\otimes k}$ of the line bundle L for some $k > 0$ and extends to an ample line bundle on $\overline{G \times^U X}//(G \times R)$.

If furthermore $\overline{G \times^U X}$ is normal and provides a fine strong $G \times R$-equivariant reductive envelope, then $X^{\overline{s}} = X^s$ and $X^{ss} = X^{nss}$.

This theorem gives us good control over the geometry of $X \wr H$ when we can find a sufficiently well behaved $G \times R$-equivariant reductive envelope. Unfortunately finding such a reductive envelope is not easy in general, and may not be possible. There is, however, one situation when it is easy: when the additive group $U = \mathbb{G}_a$ acts linearly on a projective space $\mathbb{P}^n$. Then we can use Jordan normal form to extend the U-action to a linear action of $\mathrm{SL}(2)$, and it follows that the algebra of U invariants is finitely generated (the Weitzenböck Theorem). Moreover we can understand the geometry of the enveloping quotient $X \wr U$ by identifying it with a classical GIT quotient $(\mathbb{P}^n \times \mathbb{P}^2)// \mathrm{SL}(2)$.

The basic idea behind this paper is to exploit this fact by finding a sequence of normal unipotent subgroups $U_1 \leqslant U_2 \leqslant \ldots \leqslant U$ of H with $\dim U_j = j$ and using induction on the dimension of U. However the lack of surjectivity for the quotient map $q : (\mathbb{P}^n)^{ss,\mathbb{G}_a} \to \mathbb{P}^n \wr \mathbb{G}_a$ (which reflects the fact that here $G/U = \Bbbk \setminus \{0\}$ is quasi-affine but not affine) causes difficulties for the inductive argument. In order to make the induction work, we need to ensure that, when $U_1 \cong \mathbb{G}_a$ is normal in H, the complement of the enveloped quotient $q((\mathbb{P}^n)^{ss,U_1})$ in $\mathbb{P}^n \wr U_1$ is unstable for the induced linear action of H/U_1. This is the role of the one-parameter subgroup $\mathbb{G}_m$ in this paper.

To complete this section we will state four more results needed for the proof of Theorem 0.1, with a proof of the first for lack of a suitable reference, though it is doubtless well known.

Lemma 1.20. *Let H be a unipotent linear algebraic group with normal subgroup N such that the projection $H \to H/N$ splits and let X be an affine H-variety. Suppose X has the structure of a principal N-bundle, and the quotient X/N is a principal H/N-bundle, for the canonical action of H/N on X. Then X is a principal H-bundle.*

Proof. Let $\pi_N : X \to X/N$ be the quotient map for the N-action on X and $\pi_{H/N} : X/N \to (X/N)/(H/N)$ the quotient map for the H/N-action on X/N. Also let $H_1 \subseteq H$ be a subgroup that splits the projection $H \to H/N$, so that

$$N \rtimes H_1 \xrightarrow{\cong} H, \quad (n; h) \mapsto nh$$

where multiplication in the semi-direct product is given by $(n_1; h_1)\cdot(n_2; h_2) = (n_1 h_1 n_2 h_1^{-1}; h_1 h_2)$. Note that the composition $\pi_{H/N} \circ \pi_N : X \to (X/N)/(H/N) = X/H$ is a geometric quotient for the H-action on X. Because H and N are unipotent the quotients $\pi_{H/N}$ and π_H are locally trivial in the Zariski topology [37, Proposition 14], so by choosing sufficiently fine open covers it suffices to treat the case where X and X/N are trivial bundles for H and H_1, respectively, where we identify H_1 with H/N in the natural way. So let $X = N \times (X/N)$ and $(X/N) = H_1 \times (X/H)$, with the N-action on X (respectively, H_1-action on X/N) induced by left multiplication on N (respectively, H_1) and the quotient maps π_N and $\pi_{H/N}$ given by projecting to the second factor

in both cases. Also let

$$s_N : N \times (X/N) \to N, \quad s_{H_1} : H_1 \times (X/H) \to H_1$$

be the projections to the first factors, and let

$$\sigma : X/H \to N \times H_1 \times (X/H), \quad z \mapsto (e, e, z)$$

be the obvious section to π (note π is the projection to the factor X/H). Given $x \in X$, there are unique $n \in N$ and $h \in H_1$ such that $x = nh\sigma(\pi(x))$. The assignments

$$\phi_N : X \to N, \quad x = nh\sigma(\pi(x)) \mapsto n,$$
$$\phi_{H_1} : X \to H_1, \quad x = nh\sigma(\pi(x)) \mapsto h$$

are morphisms of varieties: for each $x \in X$ we have

$$\phi_{H_1}(x) = s_{H_1}(\pi_N(x)), \quad \phi_N(x) = s_N(x)(s_N(\phi_{H_1}(x)\sigma(\pi(x))))^{-1}.$$

It is clear that ϕ_N is N-equivariant and ϕ_{H_1} is N-invariant, and also that ϕ_{H_1} is H_1-equivariant and $\phi_N(hx) = h\phi_N(x)h^{-1}$ for all $x \in X$ and $h \in H_1$. Therefore

$$X \xrightarrow{\cong} H \times (X/H), \quad x \mapsto (\phi_N(x)\phi_{H_1}(x), \pi(x))$$

defines an H-equivariant isomorphism, where $H \times (X/H)$ is the trivial H-bundle with base X/H. □

The following result is Lemma 3.3.1 of [2].

Lemma 1.21. *Suppose H is a linear algebraic group, N is a normal subgroup of H and X is an H-variety (not necessarily assumed irreducible). Suppose all the stabilisers for the restricted action $N \curvearrowright X$ are finite and this action has a geometric quotient $\pi : X \to X/N$. Note that H/N acts canonically on X/N. Then*

(1) for all the H/N-orbits in X/N to be closed, it is necessary and sufficient that all the H-orbits in X are closed;
(2) given $y \in X/N$, the stabiliser $\mathrm{Stab}_{H/N}(y)$ is finite if, and only if, $\mathrm{Stab}_H(x)$ is finite for some (and hence all) $x \in \pi^{-1}(y)$; and
(3) if H/N is reductive and X/N is affine, then X/N has a geometric H/N-quotient if, and only if, all H-orbits in X are closed.

The next result is taken from [1].

Proposition 1.22. [1, Theorem 3.12] *Suppose X is an affine variety acted upon by a unipotent group U and a locally trivial quotient $X \to X/U$ exists. Then X/U is affine if, and only if, $X \to X/U$ is a trivial U-bundle.*

Finally, the following result comes from Corollary 3.1.20 of [2].

Proposition 1.23. *Suppose H is a linear algebraic group, X an irreducible H-variety and $L \to X$ a linearisation. If the enveloping quotient $X \sslash H$ is projective, then for suitably divisible integers $c > 0$ the algebra of invariants $\bigoplus_{k \geq 0} H^0(X, L^{\otimes ck})^H$ is finitely generated and the enveloping quotient $X \sslash H$ is the associated projective variety; moreover the line bundle $L^{\otimes c}$ induces an ample line bundle $L_{[H]}^{\otimes c}$ on $X \sslash H$ such that the natural structure map*

$$\bigoplus_{k \geq 0} H^0(X, L^{\otimes ck})^H \to \bigoplus_{k \geq 0} H^0(X \sslash H, L_{[H]}^{\otimes ck})$$

is an isomorphism.

2. The results when semistability coincides with stability

We assume that $\mathcal{L}$ is a very ample (rational) linearisation with respect to a line bundle $L \to X$ for an action of a linear algebraic group H with graded unipotent radical U on an irreducible projective variety X, and that there is an extension of this linearisation to a linearisation of $\hat{H} = H \rtimes \mathbb{G}_m$. We let $S = \bigoplus_{k\geq 0} H^0(X, L^{\otimes k})$. Note that, because X is irreducible, for any subgroup $H_0 \subseteq \hat{H}$ and any H_0-invariant section f of a positive tensor power of $L \to X$ we have a canonical identification $(S^{H_0})_{(f)} = \mathcal{O}(X_f)^{H_0}$; we will make implicit use of this identification throughout this section. We may use any of the following equivalent notation for the stable locus of $H_0 \curvearrowright L \to X$ (as well as using similar notation for the semistable locus etc.): $X^{s,H_0} = X^{s,H_0,L} = X^{s,H_0,\mathcal{L}} = X^{s(H_0,L)} = X^{s(H_0,\mathcal{L})} = X^{s(H_0)}$.

Let $\omega_{\min}$ be the minimal weight for the $\mathbb{G}_m$-action on $V = H^0(X, L)^*$ and let $V_{\min}$ be the weight space of weight $\omega_{\min}$ in V. (Note that, equivalently, $\omega_{\min}$ is the minimal $\mathbb{G}_m$-weight for the action of $\mathbb{G}_m$ on fibres of L^* over $\mathbb{G}_m$-fixed points.)

Definition 2.1. *Define*

$$Z_{\min} = X \cap \mathbb{P}(V_{\min}) = \left\{ x \in X \;\middle|\; \begin{array}{c} x \text{ is a } \mathbb{G}_m\text{-fixed point and} \\ \mathbb{G}_m \text{ acts on } L^*|_x \text{ with weight } \omega_{\min} \end{array} \right\}$$

and

$$X^0_{\min} = \{x \in X \mid p(x) \in Z_{\min}\} \quad \textit{where} \quad p(x) = \lim_{\substack{t \to 0 \\ t \in \mathbb{G}_m}} t \cdot x \quad \textit{for } x \in X.$$

For the results of this section, it will be necessary to require the following assumption on the $\hat{U}$-linearisation $L \to X$:

($\mathfrak{C}^*$) $$\mathrm{Stab}_U(z) = \{e\} \text{ for every } z \in Z_{\min}.$$

We are aiming to prove Theorem 2.3 below. Theorem 0.1 will follow from this combined with classical GIT by quotienting in stages by the action of a linear algebraic group H. We can first consider the action of $\hat{U} = U \rtimes \mathbb{G}_m$ and then the reductive group $R = H/U = \hat{H}/\hat{U}$; however, in order to obtain a Hilbert–Mumford description of the (semi)stable locus for the $\hat{H}$-action, in Theorem 2.3 we do not simply consider the action of $\hat{U}$ but more generally a linear action of a semi-direct product $\hat{U}_T = U \rtimes T$ where T is a torus containing a one-parameter subbgroup $\mathbb{G}_m$ whose adjoint action on the Lie algebra of U has only strictly positive weights. We will apply this when T is a maximal torus of $\hat{H}/U$.

We will identify rational characters on the torus T with (rational) elements of $(\mathrm{Lie}T)^*$. Fix a (rational) inner product on $\mathrm{Lie}T$ and use it to identify $(\mathrm{Lie}T)^*$ with $\mathrm{Lie}T$; let $\|\cdot\|$ denote the induced norm on $\mathrm{Lie}T$ and $(\mathrm{Lie}T)^*$.

Remark 2.2. Suppose that $\hat{U}_T = U \rtimes T$ acts linearly on X, where T is a torus containing a one-parameter subbgroup $\mathbb{G}_m$ whose adjoint action on the Lie algebra of U has only strictly positive weights. Let χ_0 be a rational character of T such that $\chi_0|_{\mathbb{G}_m} = \omega_{\min}$. By classical variation of GIT [13, 39] there is $\epsilon > 0$ such that if χ is a rational character of T satisfying $\|\chi - \chi_0\| < \epsilon$ and $\chi|_{\mathbb{G}_m} > \omega_{\min}$ then $X^{ss,\mathbb{G}_m,\chi} = X^{s,\mathbb{G}_m,\chi} = X^{ss,\mathbb{G}_m}_{\min+}$ is independent of χ (and

equals $X^0_{\min} \setminus Z_{\min}$). In general $X^{ss,T,\chi}$ and $X^{s,T,\chi}$ may depend on χ near χ_0. If, however, we fix a complementary subtorus T_0 to $\mathbb{G}_m$ in T so that $T = T_0 \times \mathbb{G}_m$ and require also that the kernel of $\chi - \chi_0$ contains T_0, then $X^{ss,T,\chi}$ and $X^{s,T,\chi}$ are independent of χ and we can write them as $X^{ss,T}_{\min+}$ and $X^{s,T}_{\min+}$. This will be the case when U is the unipotent radical of a linear algebraic group H with grading given by $\hat{H} = H \rtimes \mathbb{G}_m$, and T is the product of a maximal torus in R with $\mathbb{G}_m$; then $T \cong (T \cap R) \times \mathbb{G}_m$.

Theorem 2.3. *Let X be an irreducible projective variety acted upon by a unipotent group U and let $L \to X$ be a very ample linearisation. Suppose the linearisation extends to a linearisation of a semi-direct product $\hat{U}_T = U \rtimes T$ of U where T is a torus containing a one-parameter subbgroup $\mathbb{G}_m$ whose adjoint action on the Lie algebra of U has only strictly positive weights and which satisfies condition ($\mathfrak{C}^*$). Then*

(1) we have $X^0_{\min} \subseteq X^{s,U}$, with the restriction of the enveloping quotient map for $U \curvearrowright L \to X$ defining a locally trivial U-quotient $X^0_{\min} \to X^0_{\min}/U$.

Suppose furthermore that χ_0 is a rational character of T (lifting to a rational character of $\hat{U}_T$ with trivial restriction to U) such that $\chi_0|_{\mathbb{G}_m} = \omega_{\min}$. Then there exists $\epsilon > 0$ such that if the $\hat{U}_T$-linearisation $\hat{U}_T \curvearrowright L \to X$ is twisted by a rational character χ satisfying $\|\chi - \chi_0\| < \epsilon$ and $\chi|_{\mathbb{G}_m} > \omega_{\min}$, where $\omega_{\min}$ is the minimal weight for the $\mathbb{G}_m$-action on $V = H^0(X, L)^*$, then

(2) there are equalities $X^0_{\min} \setminus (U \cdot Z_{\min}) = X^{ss,\hat{U},\chi} = X^{s,\hat{U},\chi} = X^{s,\hat{U}}_{\min+}$ for the action of $\hat{U} = U \rtimes \mathbb{G}_m$, and

$$X^{ss,\hat{U}_T,\chi} = \bigcap_{u \in U} uX^{ss,T,\chi}, \qquad X^{s,\hat{U}_T,\chi} = \bigcap_{u \in U} uX^{s,T,\chi};$$

(3) the enveloping quotient $X \sslash \hat{U}_T$ is a projective variety and for suitably divisible integers $c > 0$ the ring of invariants $\bigoplus_{k \geq 0} H^0(X, L^{\otimes kc})^{\hat{U}_T}$ for this twisted linearisation is finitely generated, with

$$X \sslash \hat{U}_T = \mathrm{Proj}(\bigoplus_{k \geq 0} H^0(X, L^{\otimes kc})^{\hat{U}_T});$$

and

(4) the enveloping quotient map $q_{\hat{U}} : X^{\mathrm{ss},\hat{U},\chi} \to X \sslash \hat{U}$ is a geometric quotient for the $\hat{U}$-action on $X^{\mathrm{ss},\hat{U},\chi}$, while the enveloping quotient map $q_{\hat{U}_T} : X^{\mathrm{ss},\hat{U}_T,\chi} \to X \sslash \hat{U}_T$ is a categorical quotient for the $\hat{U}_T$-action on $X^{\mathrm{ss},\hat{U},\chi}$, with $q_{\hat{U}_T}(x) = q_{\hat{U}_T}(y)$ for $x, y \in X^{\mathrm{ss},\hat{U}_T,\chi}$ if and only if the closures of the $\hat{U}_T$-orbits of x and y meet in $X^{\mathrm{ss},\hat{U}_T,\chi}$.

Remark 2.4. Under the hypotheses of Theorem 2.3, $UZ_{\min}$ is a closed subvariety of the open subvariety $X^0_{\min}$ of X, and $Z_{\min}$ is a geometric quotient for the action of U (and of $\hat{U}$) on this closed subvariety via the morphism $p : X^0_{\min} \to Z_{\min}$ defined at Definition 2.1.

Remark 2.5. The proof of Theorem 2.3(1) will in fact show *without* using the condition ($\mathfrak{C}^*$) that $X^{s,U}$ contains the open subvariety

$$\{x \in X^0_{\min} | \, \mathrm{Stab}_U(p(x)) = \{e\}\}$$

of $X^0_{\min}$.

As a corollary to Theorem 2.3, by applying standard arguments of classical GIT for the reductive group $R = H/U \cong \hat{H}/\hat{U}$, we will obtain the following version for the action of $\hat{H}$ on X, from which Theorem 0.1 will follow.

Theorem 2.6. *Let X be an irreducible projective variety acted upon by a linear algebraic group H with unipotent radical U and let $L \to X$ be a very ample linearisation. Suppose that $H = U \rtimes R$ and that the linearisation extends to a linearisation of a semi-direct product $\hat{H} = H \rtimes \mathbb{G}_m$ where the adjoint action of $\mathbb{G}_m$ on the Lie algebra of U has only strictly positive weights and $\mathbb{G}_m$ centralises R. Suppose also that condition ($\mathfrak{C}^*$) is satisfied. Let T be a maximal torus of R, so that $T \times \mathbb{G}_m$ is a maximal torus of $\hat{H}$. Let χ_0 be a rational character of $\hat{H}$ such that $\chi_0|_{\mathbb{G}_m} = \omega_{\min}$. Then there exists $\epsilon > 0$ such that if the $\hat{H}$-linearisation is twisted by a rational character χ satisfying $\|\chi - \chi_0\| < \epsilon$ and $\chi|_{\mathbb{G}_m} > \omega_{\min}$, where $\omega_{\min}$ is the minimal weight for the $\mathbb{G}_m$-action on $V = H^0(X, L)^*$, then*

$$X^{\mathrm{ss},\hat{H},\chi} = \bigcap_{h \in \hat{H}} hX^{ss,T\times\mathbb{G}_m,\chi}, \qquad X^{s,\hat{H},\chi} = \bigcap_{h \in \hat{H}} hX^{s,T\times\mathbb{G}_m,\chi},$$

and the enveloping quotient map $q_{\hat{H}} : X^{\mathrm{ss},\hat{H},\chi} \to X \mathbin{/\!\!/} \hat{H}$ is a categorical quotient for the $\hat{H}$-action on $X^{\mathrm{ss},\hat{H},\chi}$, with $q_{\hat{H}}(x) = q_{\hat{H}}(y)$ for $x, y \in X^{\mathrm{ss},\hat{H},\chi}$ if and only if the closures of the $\hat{H}$-orbits of x and y meet in $X^{\mathrm{ss},\hat{H},\chi}$.

Remark 2.7. Applying Theorems 2.3 and 2.6 with X replaced by $X \times \mathbb{P}^1$ with respect to the tensor power of the linearisation $L \to X$ with $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(M)$ for $M >> 1$, we find that condition ($\mathfrak{C}^*$) is still satisfied with

$$(X \times \mathbb{P}^1)^0_{\min} = X^0_{\min} \times \Bbbk$$

and $p_{X\times\mathbb{P}^1} : X^0_{\min} \times \Bbbk \to Z_{\min} \times \{0\}$ is given by $p_{X\times\mathbb{P}^1}(x, t) = (p(x), 0)$. We also have
(i) the projective variety $(X \times \mathbb{P}^1) \mathbin{/\!\!/} \hat{H}$ is a categorical quotient by $\hat{H}$ of a $\hat{H}$-invariant open subset of $X \times \Bbbk$, which is the inverse image in $(X \times \mathbb{P}^1)^{s,\hat{U}}_{\min +}$ of the R-semistable subset of

$$(X \times \mathbb{P}^1) \mathbin{/\!\!/} \hat{U} = (X \times \mathbb{P}^1)^{s,\hat{U}}_{\min +}/\hat{U} = (X^0_{\min} \times \Bbbk \setminus UZ_{\min} \times \{0\})/\hat{U} = X^0_{\min}/U \sqcup (X^0_{\min} \setminus UZ_{\min})/\hat{U},$$

while
(ii) $(X \times \mathbb{P}^1) \mathbin{/\!\!/} \hat{H}$ contains as an open subset a geometric quotient by H of an H-invariant open subset $X^{\hat{s},H}$ of X, which is the intersection with $X \times \{[1 : 1]\}$ of the inverse image in $(X \times \mathbb{P}^1)^{s,\hat{U}}_{\min +}$ of the R-stable subset of

$$(X \times \mathbb{P}^1) \mathbin{/\!\!/} \hat{U} = ((X^0_{\min} \times \Bbbk^*) \sqcup (X^{s,\hat{U}}_{\min +} \times \{0\}))/\hat{U} \cong (X^0_{\min}/U) \sqcup (X^{s,\hat{U}}_{\min +}/\hat{U})$$

and
(iii) the geometric quotient $X^{\hat{s},H}/H$ and its projective completion $(X \times \mathbb{P}^1) \mathbin{/\!\!/} \hat{H}$ can be described using Hilbert–Mumford criteria and S-equivalence, by combining the description of $(X \times \mathbb{P}^1) \mathbin{/\!\!/} \hat{U}$ as the geometric quotient $(X \times \mathbb{P}^1)^{s,\hat{U}}_{\min +}/\hat{U}$ with classical GIT as in §1 for the induced linear action of the reductive group $R = H/U$ on $(X \times \mathbb{P}^1) \mathbin{/\!\!/} \hat{U}$.

Definition 2.8. *Suppose that $T \leq R \leq H$ is a maximal torus of H, so that $T \times \mathbb{G}_m$ is a maximal torus of $\hat{H}$. By classical variation of GIT [13, 39] there is some $\epsilon > 0$ such that if χ is a rational character of $\hat{H}$ with kernel containing H and $\omega_{\min} < \chi_{\mathbb{G}_m} < \omega_{\min} + \epsilon$ then after twisting the linearisation by χ the semistable and stable loci for the linear action of $T \times \mathbb{G}_m$ on X (respectively $X \times \mathbb{P}^1$) are independent*

of the choice of χ. Let $X^{ss,T\times\mathbb{G}_m}_{\min+}$ and $X^{s,T\times\mathbb{G}_m}_{\min+}$ denote the semistable and stable loci for the linear action of $T\times\mathbb{G}_m$ on X, and let $X^{\hat{s}s,T}_{\min+}$ and $X^{\hat{s},T}_{\min+}$ be the open subvarieties of X such that $X^{\hat{s}s,T}_{\min+}\times\{[1:1]\}$ and $X^{\hat{s},T}_{\min+}\times\{[1:1]\}$ are the intersections with $X\times\{[1:1]\}$ of the semistable and stable loci for the linear action of $T\times\mathbb{G}_m$ on $X\times\mathbb{P}^1$ with respect to the tensor power of L with $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(M)$ for $M>>1$. Note that for such a linearisation we have $(X\times\mathbb{P}^1)^{ss,T\times\mathbb{G}_m}_{\min+}\subseteq X\times\mathbb{k}$.

The next lemma will also follow from the previous results applied to $X\times\mathbb{P}^1$.

Lemma 2.9. *(a) $X^{\hat{s},H}=X\setminus H(X\setminus X^{\hat{s},T}_{\min+})=\bigcap_{h\in H}hX^{\hat{s},T}_{\min+}$ is the complement of the H-sweep of the complement of the T-hat-stable locus $X^{\hat{s},T}_{\min+}$.*

(b) There is a surjective $\hat{H}$-invariant morphism $\hat{\phi}$ onto the projective completion $(X\times\mathbb{P}^1)\wr\hat{H}$ of the geometric quotient $X^{\hat{s},H}/H$ from the open subvariety

$$(X\times\mathbb{k})^{ss,\hat{H}}_{\min+}=\bigcap_{h\in H}h\ (X\times\mathbb{P}^1)^{ss,T\times\mathbb{G}_m}_{\min+}$$

of $X\times\mathbb{k}$.

(c) When (x,s) and (y,t) lie in $(X\times\mathbb{k})^{ss,\hat{H}}$ then $\hat{\phi}(x,s)=\hat{\phi}(y,t)$ if and only if the closures of the $\hat{H}$-orbits of (x,s) and (y,t) meet in $(X\times\mathbb{k})^{\hat{s}s,H}$.

Due to its length, the proof of Theorem 2.3 will be presented in Section 4, where we shall argue by establishing intermediate results to aid readability. We first take a moment to sketch out the crux of the argument and establish some preliminaries.

If $\xi\in\operatorname{Lie}U$ is a $\mathbb{G}_m$-weight vector, then it has positive weight $\ell>0$, say. If W is any representation of $\hat{U}$, then ξ defines a derivation $\xi:W\to W$ and any weight vector in W of weight $\omega\in\mathbb{Z}$ gets sent to a weight vector of weight $\omega+\ell$ under ξ. In particular, if $W_{\max}$ denotes the $\mathbb{G}_m$-weight space in W of maximal possible weight, then we have

$$W_{\max}\subseteq\bigcap_{\xi\in\operatorname{Lie}U}\ker(\xi:W\to W)=W^U.$$

Now, given a linearisation $\hat{U}\curvearrowright L\to X$ of $\hat{U}$, let $H^0(X,L)_{\max}$ be the $\mathbb{G}_m$-weight space in $H^0(X,L)$ of maximal possible weight (which is equal to $-\omega_{\min}$, in our previously defined notation). The open subset $X^0_{\min}$ is covered by the affine open subvarieties X_σ, with $\sigma\in H^0(X,L)_{\max}$. Each X_σ is invariant under the $\hat{U}$-action, because $H^0(X,L)_{\max}\subseteq H^0(X,L)^U$ and σ is a $\mathbb{G}_m$-weight vector, and if we choose each σ to be a weight vector for the action of T, as we may, then X_σ is invariant under the $\hat{U}_T$-action. This cover of $X^0_{\min}$ by open affines X_σ enjoys a prominent rôle in the proof of Theorem 2.3.

Remark 2.10. By choosing the affine opens X_σ covering $X^0_{\min}$ carefully, we can generalise Theorem 2.3 by weakening the hypothesis ($\mathfrak{C}^*$). Choose a normal series $U=U^{(0)}\geqslant U^{(1)}\geqslant\cdots\geqslant U^{(s)}=\{e\}$ such that each subquotient $U^{(j)}/U^{(j+1)}$ is abelian (for example the derived series of U). Then the hypothesis $\mathfrak{C}^*$ can be weakened to

($\mathfrak{C}^{**}$) $\qquad\dim\operatorname{Stab}_{U^{(j)}}(z)=\min_{x\in X}\dim\operatorname{Stab}_{U^{(j)}}(x)$ for every $z\in Z_{\min}$ and $j\in\{0,1,\ldots,s\}$.

For Theorem 2.3 to still hold, 'geometric quotient' must replace 'locally trivial quotient' and stability in Mumford's sense must replace stability in the modern sense (proper stability in Mumford's sense) in its statement.

To modify the proof to apply in these circumstances, we observe that if U is abelian then each $\mathrm{Stab}_U(z)$ has a complementary subgroup U' in U with $U' \cap \mathrm{Stab}_U(z) = \{e\}$ and $U = U'\,\mathrm{Stab}_U(z)$, and that if $d_0^U = \min_{x\in X} \dim \mathrm{Stab}_U(x)$ then condition $\mathfrak{C}^{**}$ implies that $\dim \mathrm{Stab}_U(x) = d_0^U$ for every $x \in X^0_{\min}$; the latter is true since $\mathbb{G}_m$ normalises U and so $\dim \mathrm{Stab}_U(p(x)) \geqslant \dim \mathrm{Stab}_U(x)$ for any $x \in X$, where $p(x)$ is the limit of $t \cdot x$ as $t \to 0$ with $t \in \mathbb{G}_m$. We can define a $\hat{U}_T$-equivariant morphism from $X^0_{\min}$ to the Grassmannian $\mathrm{Grass}(\mathrm{d}_0^{\mathrm{U}}, \mathrm{LieU})$ of d_0^U-dimensional subspaces of LieU by

$$x \mapsto \mathrm{Lie}\,\mathrm{Stab}_{\mathrm{U}}(\mathrm{p(x)}).$$

If $x \in X^0_{\min}$ we can choose a $\mathbb{G}_m$-invariant complementary subgroup $U' \leqslant U$ such that $\mathrm{Stab}_U(p(x)) \cap U' = \{e\}$ and $U'\,\mathrm{Stab}_U(p(x)) = U$. The condition of complementarity to U' defines an affine open subvariety of $\mathrm{Grass}(\mathrm{d}_0, \mathrm{LieU})$ and thus an open affine in $X^0_{\min}$ where quotienting by U is equivalent to quotienting by U', and where $\mathrm{Stab}_{U'}(x) = \mathrm{Stab}_U(x) \cap U' = \{e\}$.

The proof of Theorem 2.3, which will be carried out in Section 4, proceeds as follows. We first establish $X^0_{\min} \subseteq X^{s,U}$ (Theorem 2.3 (1)). This is done inductively, using the philosophy of taking quotients in stages. More precisely, by diagonalising the action of $\mathbb{G}_m$-action on $\mathrm{Lie}\,U$ and using the exponential map we may choose a subnormal series

$$1 = U_0 \trianglelefteq U_1 \trianglelefteq \cdots \trianglelefteq U_m = U$$

which is preserved by each automorphism in the family $\lambda : \mathbb{G}_m \to \mathrm{Aut}(U)$ and such that each successive quotient $U_{j+1}/U_j \cong \mathbb{G}_a$, with λ acting on $\mathrm{Lie}(U_{j+1}/U_j)$ with positive weight. We will inductively show that each X_σ (with $\sigma \in H^0(X, L)_{\max}$) has a (locally) trivial U_j-quotient that is affine, using a combination of ($\mathfrak{C}^*$) and Lemma 1.20.

This results in a locally trivial U-quotient $q_U : X^0_{\min} \to X^0_{\min}/U$. We then use a sufficiently divisible power of $L \to X$ to embed $X^0_{\min}/U$ into a projective space $\mathbb{P}$, in a $\mathbb{G}_m$-equivariant manner. By twisting the linearisation on $L \to X$ by an appropriate rational character χ of $\hat{U}$, we obtain a $\mathbb{G}_m$-linearisation $\mathcal{L}'$ over the closure $\overline{X^0_{\min}/U}$ of $X^0_{\min}/U$ in $\mathbb{P}$, which pulls back to a positive tensor power of the twisted rational linearisation $L^{(\chi/c)} \to X$ and has the properties that

$$\overline{X^0_{\min}/U}^{s(\mathcal{L}')} = \overline{X^0_{\min}/U}^{\mathrm{ss}(\mathcal{L}')}$$

and

$$q_U^{-1}(\overline{X^0_{\min}/U}^{s(\mathcal{L}')}) = X^0_{\min} \setminus (U \cdot Z_{\min}) \subseteq X^{s(L^{(\chi/c)})}.$$

These equalities and inclusions are proved using reductive GIT, especially the Hilbert–Mumford criteria. From here it is then straightforward to show that $X^0_{\min} \setminus (U \cdot Z_{\min})$ has a projective geometric $\hat{U}$-quotient under the enveloping quotient map $q : X^{\mathrm{ss}(\hat{U})} \to X \nslash \hat{U}$, isomorphic to $\overline{X^0_{\min}/U} /\!\!/'_{\mathcal{L}} \mathbb{G}_m$, and that $\bigcap_{u\in U} uX^{ss,T}_{\min+}$ has a projective geometric $\hat{U}$-quotient under the enveloping quotient map $q : X^{\mathrm{ss}(\hat{U}_T)} \to X \nslash \hat{U}_T$. The rest of Theorem 2.3 then follows by standard results of non-reductive GIT.

3. The U-sweep of $Z_{\min}$ and stabilisers of maximal dimension

It is useful to gather together here some results which do not require the condition ($\mathfrak{C}^*$) that semistability coincides with stability for the $\hat{U}$-action, but which, when this condition is satisfied, tell us that $UZ_{\min}$ is a closed subvariety of $X^0_{\min}$.

Definition 3.1. *Let U be a graded unipotent group and let $\hat{U} = U \rtimes \mathbb{G}_m$ be the extension of U by $\mathbb{G}_m$ which defines the grading. Suppose that $\hat{U}$ acts linearly on an irreducible projective variety X with respect to a very ample line bundle L. If $d \geq 0$ let*

$$Z^d_{\min} = \{z \in Z_{\min} \mid \dim \mathrm{Stab}_U(z) = d\},$$

and let $d_{\max}$ be the maximal value of d such that $Z^d_{\min} \neq \emptyset$. Recall that $p : X^0_{\min} \to Z_{\min}$ is the $\mathbb{G}_m$-invariant morphism

$$x \mapsto \lim_{\substack{t \to 0 \\ t \in \mathbb{G}_m}} t \cdot x \ .$$

Lemma 3.2. *If $x \in X^0_{\min}$ and $u \in \hat{U}$, then $p(ux) = p(x)$. If $x \in Z_{\min}$ and $u \in U$ then $p(x) = x$ and*

$$ux \in Z_{\min} \quad \textit{iff} \quad u \in \mathrm{Stab}_U(x).$$

Proof: By definition p is $\mathbb{G}_m$-invariant and restricts to the identity on $Z_{\min}$. If $u = \exp(\xi)$ for some $\xi \in \mathrm{Lie}\, U$ which is a weight vector for the action of $\mathbb{G}_m$, then this follows by choosing coordinates on $\mathbb{P}(H^0(X, L)^*)$ with respect to which the action of $\mathbb{G}_m$ is diagonal and the infinitesimal action of ξ is in Jordan form. Moreover p is $\mathbb{G}_m$-invariant and $Z_{\min}$ is fixed pointwise by $\mathbb{G}_m$, so U, $\mathrm{Stab}_U(x)$ and $\{u \in U \mid up(x) \in Z_{\min}\}$ are all invariant under conjugation by $\mathbb{G}_m$, and the general result follows. □

Lemma 3.3. *$UZ^{d_{\max}}_{\min} \cap Z_{\min} = Z^{d_{\max}}_{\min}$, and if $x \in Z_{\min}$ then $T_x(UZ^{d_{\max}}_{\min}) \cap T_x Z_{\min} = T_x Z^{d_{\max}}_{\min}$.*

Proof: This follows from the proof of Lemma 3.2. □

Lemma 3.4. *The U-sweep $UZ^{d_{\max}}_{\min} = \hat{U}Z^{d_{\max}}_{\min}$ of $Z^{d_{\max}}_{\min}$ is a closed subvariety of $X^0_{\min}$.*

Proof: By definition $X^0_{\min}$ is the open stratum of the Bialynicki-Birula stratification of X associated to the $\mathbb{G}_m$-action (cf. [9, 25]). Thus the restriction to $X^0_{\min} \setminus Z_{\min} = X^{s,\mathbb{G}_m}_{\min+} = X^{ss,\mathbb{G}_m}_{\min+}$ of the $\mathbb{G}_m$-invariant morphism $p : X^0_{\min} \to Z_{\min}$ factors through a projective morphism from the geometric quotient $(X^0_{\min} \setminus Z_{\min})/\mathbb{G}_m = X/\!/\mathbb{G}_m$ to $Z_{\min}$. Moreover the fibres of $p : X^0_{\min} \to Z_{\min}$ can be identified with the affine cone associated to the fibre of the morphism from this geometric quotient to $Z_{\min}$. When X is nonsingular then the fibres of $p : X^0_{\min} \to Z_{\min}$ are affine spaces and the fibres of the geometric quotient $(X^0_{\min} \setminus Z_{\min})/\mathbb{G}_m$ over $Z_{\min}$ are weighted projective spaces, and in general this is true for the ambient projective space defined by the linearisation.

Since $\mathbb{G}_m$ normalises U and fixes $Z_{\min}$ pointwise, it follows from Lemma 3.2 that $p(UZ^{d_{\max}}_{\min}) = Z^{d_{\max}}_{\min}$, and if $x \in Z^{d_{\max}}_{\min}$ then the fibre over x of $p : UZ^{d_{\max}}_{\min} \to Z^{d_{\max}}_{\min}$ is isomorphic to $U/\mathrm{Stab}_U(x)$. This is an affine space of dimension $\dim U - d_{\max}$ on which $\mathbb{G}_m$ acts with strictly positive weights, so the induced morphism

$$(UZ^{d_{\max}}_{\min} \setminus Z^{d_{\max}}_{\min})/\mathbb{G}_m \to Z^{d_{\max}}_{\min}$$

is a weighted projective bundle. In particular it follows that the embedding $(UZ^{d_{\max}}_{\min} \setminus Z^{d_{\max}}_{\min})/\mathbb{G}_m \to (X^0_{\min} \setminus Z_{\min})/\mathbb{G}_m$ is closed, and hence so is the corresponding embedding of affine cones $UZ^{d_{\max}}_{\min} \to X^0_{\min}$. □

Corollary 3.5. *When condition $(\mathfrak{C}^*)$ is satisfied, the U-sweep $UZ_{\min}$ of $Z_{\min}$ is a closed subvariety of $X^0_{\min}$.*

Proof: This follows immediately from Lemma 3.4 since $Z_{\min}^{d_{\max}} = Z_{\min}$ when condition ($\mathfrak{C}^*$) is satisfied. □

Remark 3.6. Once we have proved Theorem 2.3(1), we will know that when condition ($\mathfrak{C}^*$) is satisfied then $X^0_{\min}$ has a geometric quotient $X^0_{\min}/U$. It will be useful for the proof of Theorem 2.3(2) to know when a point of $X^0_{\min}/U$ represented by $x \in X^0_{\min}$ is fixed under the action of a one-parameter subgroup $\lambda : \mathbb{G}_m \to \hat{U}_T/U \cong T$, and which points of $X^0_{\min}/U$ are fixed by the action of T. Every such one-parameter subgroup is conjugate to a one-parameter subgroup of T, so without loss of generality we may assume $\lambda : \mathbb{G}_m \to T$. If $\lambda(\mathbb{G}_m) \subseteq \hat{U}$ then $x \in UZ_{\min}$, so let us assume that $\lambda(\mathbb{G}_m) \not\subseteq \hat{U}$. This one-parameter subgroup fixes the orbit $Ux \in X^0_{\min}/U$ if and only if $\lambda(\mathbb{G}_m) \subseteq U.\operatorname{Stab}_{\hat{U}_T}(x)$, or equivalently $\xi \in \operatorname{Lie}U + \operatorname{Lie}\operatorname{Stab}_{\hat{U}_T}(x)$, where ξ generates $\operatorname{Lie}\lambda(\mathbb{G}_m)$. Since p is U-invariant and T-equivariant, $\operatorname{Lie}\operatorname{Stab}_{\hat{U}_T}(x) \subseteq \operatorname{Lie}U + \operatorname{Lie}\operatorname{Stab}_T(p(x))$, and since by assumption $\xi \in \operatorname{Lie}T$, it follows that $\xi \in \operatorname{Lie}\operatorname{Stab}_T(p(x))$. We are assuming that $\xi \notin \operatorname{Lie}\hat{U}$, so p(x) is fixed by a two-dimensional subtorus of T containing the one-parameter subgroup $\mathbb{G}_m$ of $\hat{U}$ which lies in T.

This argument also shows that the fixed point set $(X^0_{\min}/U)^T$ for the induced action of T on $X^0_{\min}/U$ is $UZ^T_{\min}/U$, where $Z^T_{\min}$ is the fixed point set for T acting on $Z_{\min}$.

Remark 3.7. After we have proved Theorem 2.3(1), we will want to consider the situation when condition ($\mathfrak{C}^*$) is not satisfied. Then there will exist $x \in X^0_{\min}$ such that $\dim \operatorname{Stab}_U(x) > 0$, and we will be interested in the closed subvariety of $X^0_{\min}$ where $\dim \operatorname{Stab}_U(x)$ is maximal. By Lemma 3.2 the morphism $p : X^0_{\min} \to Z_{\min}$ is $\hat{U}$-invariant, so if $z \in Z_{\min}$ its stabiliser $\operatorname{Stab}_U(z)$ acts on the fibre $p^{-1}(z)$ of p. Since $\mathbb{G}_m$ normalises U we have $\operatorname{Stab}_U(tx) = \operatorname{Stab}_U(x)$ when $x \in X^0_{\min}$ and $t \in \mathbb{G}_m$, so taking the limit as $t \to 0$ it follows that $\operatorname{Stab}_U(x) \subseteq \operatorname{Stab}_U(p(x))$. Thus the maximal value $d_{\max}$ of the dimension of $\operatorname{Stab}_U(z)$ for $z \in Z_{\min}$ is equal to the maximal value of the dimension of $\operatorname{Stab}_U(x)$ for $x \in X^0_{\min}$, and

$$\{x \in X^0_{\min} \mid \dim \operatorname{Stab}_U(x) = d_{\max}\} \subseteq p^{-1}(Z^{d_{\max}}_{\min}).$$

Moreover to find $\{x \in X^0_{\min} \mid \dim \operatorname{Stab}_U(x) = d_{\max}\}$ it suffices to consider the action of $\operatorname{Stab}_U(z)$ on the fibre $p^{-1}(z)$ of p for $z \in Z^{d_{\max}}_{\min}$.

4. Proof of Theorem 2.3

This section provides the proof of Theorem 2.3 as outlined in Section 2.

Suppose we are given a linearisation $\hat{U} \curvearrowright L \to X$ satisfying ($\mathfrak{C}^*$). We first set about showing that $X^0_{\min} \subseteq X^{s,U}$ (Theorem 2.3 (1)). The proof will rely on using the following lemma in an inductive argument.

Lemma 4.1. [11, Lemma 4.7.5] *Suppose X is an affine variety with an action of $\mathbb{G}_a$ and let $\xi \in \operatorname{Lie}(\mathbb{G}_a)$. If there is $f \in \mathcal{O}(X)$ such that $\xi(f) = 1 \in \mathcal{O}(X)$, then X is a trivial $\mathbb{G}_a$-bundle.*

Recall that $X^0_{\min}$ is the union of basic affine opens X_σ with $\sigma \in H^0(X, L)_{\max}$. Given nonzero $\sigma \in H^0(X, L)_{\max}$, we can embed X into a projective space $\mathbb{P}^n \cong \mathbb{P}(H^0(X, L)^*)$ via L using a basis of $n + 1$ linear sections which are weight vectors for the $\mathbb{G}_m$-action, and which includes σ. Then X_σ is contained in an affine coordinate patch $\mathbb{A}^n = (\mathbb{P}^n)_\sigma$ such that the action of $\mathbb{G}_m$ on $\mathbb{A}^n$ is diagonal, with all weights ≥ 0; or equivalently, $\mathbb{G}_m$ acts on $\mathcal{O}(X_\sigma)$ with all weights ≤ 0. Note also that each point $x \in X_\sigma$ has a limit point in $X_\sigma \cap Z_{\min}$ under the action of $t \in \mathbb{G}_m$ as $t \to 0$.

By considering the action of $\hat{U_1} = U_1 \rtimes \mathbb{G}_m$ on X_σ, one is therefore naturally led to the following lemma.

Lemma 4.2. *Let X be an affine variety with action of $\hat{\mathbb{G}_a} = \mathbb{G}_a \rtimes \mathbb{G}_m$, where $\mathbb{G}_m$ acts on $\mathrm{Lie}(\mathbb{G}_a)$ with strictly positive weight, and let ξ be a generator of $\mathrm{Lie}(\mathbb{G}_a)$. Suppose $\mathbb{G}_m$ acts on $\mathcal{O}(X)$ with weights less than or equal to 0. Then every point in X has a limit in X under the action of $t \in \mathbb{G}_m$ as $t \to 0$; let Z be the set of such limit points in X. If $\mathrm{Stab}_{\mathbb{G}_a}(z) = \{e\}$ for each $z \in Z$, then there is $f \in \mathcal{O}(X)$ such that $\xi(f) = 1 \in \mathcal{O}(X)$.*

Proof. We first show that every point in X has a limit under the action of $t \in \mathbb{G}_m$, as $t \to 0$. Fix $x \in X$. To say that $\lim_{t\to 0} t \cdot x$ exists in X means that the morphism $\phi_x : \mathbb{G}_m \to X$, $\phi_x(t) = t \cdot x$, extends to a morphism $\phi_x : \Bbbk \to X$ under the usual open inclusion $\mathbb{G}_m \subseteq \Bbbk$ (and then $\lim_{t\to 0} t \cdot x := \phi_x(0)$). This is equivalent to saying that the pullback homomorphism $(\phi_x)^\# : \mathcal{O}(X) \to \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{G}_m) = \Bbbk[t, t^{-1}]$ factors through the localisation map $\Bbbk[t] \to \Bbbk[t, t^{-1}]$. But if $a \in \mathcal{O}(X)$ is a $\mathbb{G}_m$-weight vector of weight $m \leq 0$ then

$$(\phi_x)^\#(a)(t) = a(t \cdot x) = (t^{-1} \cdot a)(x) = t^{-m}a(x)$$

with $-m \geq 0$. Since $\mathcal{O}(X)$ is generated by such weight vectors, we see that $(\phi_x)^\# : \mathcal{O}(X) \to \Bbbk[t, t^{-1}]$ indeed factors through $\Bbbk[t] \to \Bbbk[t, t^{-1}]$. Let Z be the set of limit points in X.

Using the $\mathbb{G}_m$-action, write $\mathcal{O}(X) = \bigoplus_{m\leq 0} W_m$ as a negatively graded algebra, where $W_m \subseteq \mathcal{O}(X)$ is the subspace of weight vectors in $\mathcal{O}(X)$ of weight $m \leq 0$. Suppose $\mathbb{G}_m$ acts on ξ with weight $\ell > 0$. Then we have

$$\xi(W_m) \begin{cases} = 0 & \text{if } m > -\ell, \\ \subseteq W_0 & \text{if } m = -\ell, \\ \subseteq \bigoplus_{m<0} W_m & \text{if } m < -\ell. \end{cases}$$

Let $\tilde{W} = \xi(W_{-\ell})$ be the image of the weight space $W_{-\ell}$ under ξ, and consider the vector subspace

$$I := \tilde{W} \oplus \bigoplus_{m<0} W_m.$$

We claim that I is a $\hat{\mathbb{G}_a}$-stable ideal of $\mathcal{O}(X)$. Indeed, I is $\mathbb{G}_m$-stable and closed under the action of ξ by construction, so we see immediately that it is stable under the $\hat{\mathbb{G}_a}$-action. Let $f \in I$ and $a \in \mathcal{O}(X)$. We need to show that $af \in I$, for which we may assume that $a \in W_p$ for some $p \leq 0$, without loss of generality. Now if $p < 0$, then because multiplication respects the grading we have $af \in \bigoplus_{m<0} W_m \subseteq I$. So suppose $p = 0$. Write $f = \tilde{f} + g$ where $\tilde{f} \in \tilde{W}$ and $g \in \bigoplus_{m<0} W_m$, so $af = a\tilde{f} + ag$. Then $ag \in \bigoplus_{m<0} W_m \subseteq I$. Furthermore there is $h \in W_{-\ell}$ such that $\xi(h) = \tilde{f}$, and because $\xi(a) = 0$ we therefore have $\xi(ah) = a\tilde{f}$, with $ah \in W_{-\ell}$, thus $a\tilde{f} \in \tilde{W} \subseteq I$. Hence $af \in I$, and the claim is established.

To finish the proof, we will show that $I = \mathcal{O}(X)$. We may find a non-trivial $\mathbb{G}_m$-invariant complementary subspace W' of W_0 such that $\mathcal{O}(X) = W' \oplus I$ as vector spaces. It is easy to see that

$$Z = \{x \in X \mid f(x) = 0 \text{ for all } f \in \textstyle\bigoplus_{m<0} W_m\}$$

and so the subvariety $V(I) := \{x \in X \mid f(x) = 0 \text{ for all } f \in I\}$ defined by I is contained in Z. Suppose now, for a contradiction, that I is a proper ideal of $\mathcal{O}(X)$ and $\mathfrak{m}$ is a maximal ideal of $\mathcal{O}(X)$ that contains I, and so $\mathfrak{m}$ defines a point in $V(I)$. Given $a \in \mathfrak{m}$, write $a = a' + f$ with

$a' \in W'$ and $f \in I$. Since $I \subseteq \mathfrak{m}$ we have $a' \in \mathfrak{m}$, and since $a' \in W' \subseteq \mathcal{O}(X)^{\hat{\mathbb{G}_a}}$ and I is stable under the $\hat{\mathbb{G}_a}$-action, we have $\hat{\mathbb{G}_a} \cdot a' \subseteq \mathfrak{m}$. So $\mathfrak{m}$ is stable under the $\hat{\mathbb{G}_a}$-action. But then $\mathfrak{m}$ defines a point of $V(I) \subseteq Z$ that is fixed by $\hat{\mathbb{G}_a}$, which is a contradiction. Hence $I = \mathcal{O}(X)$. In particular, the constant function $1 \in W_0 = \tilde{W}$, so there is $f \in \mathcal{O}(X)$ such that $\xi(f) = 1$. □

We are now in a position to prove

Proposition 4.3. (Theorem 2.3 (1)) *Assume that condition ($\mathfrak{C}^*$) is satisfied. For each nonzero $\sigma \in H^0(X, L)_{\max}$, the natural map $X_\sigma \to \operatorname{Spec}(\mathcal{O}(X_\sigma)^U)$ is a trivial U-quotient for the U-action on X_σ. Thus, $X^0_{\min} \subseteq X^{s,U}$ and the restriction of the enveloping quotient map for $U \curvearrowright L \to X$ restricts to define a locally trivial U-quotient of $X^0_{\min}$.*

Proof. As discussed above, we may choose a subnormal series

$$1 = U_0 \trianglelefteq U_1 \trianglelefteq \cdots \trianglelefteq U_m = U$$

which is preserved by each automorphism in the one-parameter subgroup $\mathbb{G}_m$ of $\operatorname{Aut}(U)$ and such that each successive quotient $U_{j+1}/U_j \cong \mathbb{G}_a$, with $\mathbb{G}_m$ acting on $\operatorname{Lie}(U_{j+1}/U_j)$ with strictly positive weight. We will prove that $X_\sigma \to \operatorname{Spec}(\mathcal{O}(X_\sigma)^{U_j})$ is a trivial U_j-quotient by induction on j, for $1 \leq j \leq m$.

For the base case, let $\xi_1 \in \operatorname{Lie}(U_1)$ be non-zero. As observed before Lemma 4.2, the affine subset X_σ satisfies the conditions needed to apply Lemma 4.2 with respect to the semi-direct product $\hat{U_1} = U_1 \rtimes \mathbb{G}_m$, so there is $f \in \mathcal{O}(X)$ such that $\xi_1(f) = 1$. It follows from Lemma 4.1 that the natural map $X_\sigma \to \operatorname{Spec}(\mathcal{O}(X_\sigma)^{U_1})$ is a trivial U_1-quotient.

For the induction step, suppose the canonical map $q_j : X_\sigma \to \operatorname{Spec}(\mathcal{O}(X_\sigma)^{U_j})$ is a trivial U_j-quotient, for $1 \leq j \leq m-1$. The action of $U_{j+1} \rtimes \mathbb{G}_m$ on X_σ descends to an action of the induced semi-direct product $(U_{j+1}/U_j) \rtimes \mathbb{G}_m$ on $\operatorname{Spec}(\mathcal{O}(X_\sigma)^{U_j})$. Fixing a $\mathbb{G}_m$-weight vector $\xi_{j+1} \in \operatorname{Lie}(U_{j+1}) \setminus \operatorname{Lie}(U_j)$, we obtain a generator of $\operatorname{Lie}(U_{j+1}/U_j) = \operatorname{Lie}(U_{j+1})/\operatorname{Lie}(U_j)$ which acts on $\mathcal{O}(X_\sigma)^{U_j}$ by restricting the action of ξ_{j+1} on $\mathcal{O}(X_\sigma)$ to the subring $\mathcal{O}(X_\sigma)^{U_j}$. It is immediate that all weights for the natural $\mathbb{G}_m$-action on $\mathcal{O}(X_\sigma)^{U_j}$ are non-positive so, by Lemma 4.2, given a point $y \in \operatorname{Spec}(\mathcal{O}(X_\sigma)^{U_j})$ the limit of y under the natural action of $t \in \mathbb{G}_m$ as $t \to 0$ exists. If $y = q_j(x)$ for $x \in X_\sigma$, then because q_j is $\mathbb{G}_m$-equivariant we have

$$\lim_{t\to 0} t \cdot y = \lim_{t\to 0} q_j(t \cdot x) = q_j\left(\lim_{t\to 0} t \cdot x\right),$$

and thus all points in $\operatorname{Spec}(\mathcal{O}(X_\sigma)^{U_j})$ have limits in $q_j(X_\sigma \cap Z_{\min})$. Let $z \in X_\sigma \cap Z_{\min}$ and suppose $u \in U_{j+1}$ is such that $(uU_j) \in \operatorname{Stab}_{U_{j+1}/U_j}(q_j(z))$. Then there is $\tilde{u} \in U_j$ such that $u^{-1}\tilde{u}z = z$. Since $\operatorname{Stab}_U(z)$ is trivial, we conclude that $u = \tilde{u} \in U_j$, so $uU_j = eU_j$. Hence we may apply Lemma 4.2 to the action of $(U_{j+1}/U_j) \rtimes \mathbb{G}_m$ on $\operatorname{Spec}(\mathcal{O}(X_\sigma)^{U_j})$ to conclude that there is $f \in \mathcal{O}(X_\sigma)^{U_j}$ such that $\xi_{j+1}(f) = 1$. By Lemma 4.1, the natural map $\operatorname{Spec}(\mathcal{O}(X_\sigma)^{U_j}) \to \operatorname{Spec}(\mathcal{O}(X_\sigma)^{U_{j+1}})$ is a trivial U_{j+1}/U_j-bundle. Since the projection $U_{j+1} \to U_j$ splits, the compositon

$$X_\sigma \to \operatorname{Spec}(\mathcal{O}(X_\sigma)^{U_j}) \to \operatorname{Spec}(\mathcal{O}(X_\sigma)^{U_{j+1}})$$

is a principal U_{j+1}-bundle by Lemma 1.20, which is in fact trivial by Proposition 1.22. This establishes the induction step.

Therefore $X_\sigma \to \operatorname{Spec}(\mathcal{O}(X_\sigma)^U)$ is a trivial U-quotient. The rest of the statement of the proposition follows immediately from the definition of the stable locus for $U \curvearrowright L \to X$. □

Note that this argument gives us the following more general result, which does not require condition ($\mathfrak{C}^*$).

Proposition 4.1. Let X be an irreducible projective variety acted upon by a unipotent group U and let $L \to X$ be a very ample linearisation. Suppose the linearisation extends to a linearisation of a semi-direct product $\hat{U}_T = U \rtimes T$ of U where T is a torus containing a one-parameter subbgroup $\mathbb{G}_m$ whose adjoint action on the Lie algebra of U has only strictly positive weights. Then we have

$$\{x \in X^0_{\min} | \operatorname{Stab}_U(p(x)) = \{e\}\} \subseteq X^{s,U},$$

with the restriction of the enveloping quotient map defining a locally trivial U-quotient of open subvarieties $\{x \in X^0_{\min} | \operatorname{Stab}_U(p(x)) = \{e\}\} \to \{x \in X^0_{\min} | \operatorname{Stab}_U(p(x)) = \{e\}\}/U$.

Having established (1) of Theorem 2.3, we now turn to proving statements (2–4) of the same theorem. So assume from now on that $X^0_{\min} \neq U \cdot Z_{\min}$. Also let $q_U : X^{\mathrm{ss}(U,L)} \to X \sslash U$ be the enveloping quotient map for the linearisation $U \curvearrowright L \to X$. As noted above, we have $X^0_{\min} \subseteq X^{s,U}$, so the enveloping quotient map restricts to a geometric quotient

$$q_U : X^0_{\min} \to X^0_{\min}/U \subseteq X \sslash U,$$

which can locally be described as $X_\sigma \to \operatorname{Spec}(\mathcal{O}(X_\sigma)^U)$, for $\sigma \in H^0(X, L)_{\max}$. Let us fix a basis $\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_\ell$ of $H^0(X, L)_{\max}$. Each of the algebras $\mathcal{O}(X_{\sigma_i})^U$ is finitely generated over $\Bbbk$, so we may find $s > 0$ such that

$$W := H^0(X, L^{\otimes s})^U$$

defines an enveloping system adapted to the subset $\mathcal{S} = \{\sigma_1^s, \ldots, \sigma_\ell^s\}$ (see the proof of [2] Proposition 3.1.18, 1); this means that each of the $\Bbbk$-algebras $(S^U)_{(\sigma_i^s)} = (S^U)_{(\sigma_i)}$ (where $S = \bigoplus_{k \geq 0} H^0(X, L^{\otimes k})$) has generating set given by $\{f/(\sigma_i^s) \mid f \in H^0(X, L^{\otimes s})^U\}$. For each $i = 1, \ldots, \ell$, let Σ_i denote the section in $H^0(\mathbb{P}(W^*), \mathcal{O}(1))$ corresponding to σ_i^s under the identification $H^0(\mathbb{P}(W^*), \mathcal{O}(1)) = H^0(X, L^{\otimes s})^U$. The inclusion $W \hookrightarrow H^0(X, L^{\otimes s})$ defines a morphism

$$\phi : X^0_{\min} \to \mathbb{P}(W^*)$$

which descends to a locally closed immersion

$$\overline{\phi} : X^0_{\min}/U \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}(W^*)$$

such that each of the restrictions $\overline{\phi} : \operatorname{Spec}(\mathcal{O}(X_{\sigma_i})^U) \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}(W^*)_{\Sigma_i}$ is a closed immersion (this is because the pullback maps $\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{P}(W^*)_{\Sigma_i}) \to \mathcal{O}(X_{\sigma_i})^U$ are surjective, by definition of W). Furthermore, the canonical $\mathbb{G}_m$-linearisation on $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(W^*)}(1) \to \mathbb{P}(W^*)$ is compatible with the restricted linearisation $\mathbb{G}_m \curvearrowright L^{\otimes s} \to X^0_{\min}$ under ϕ, and the embedding $\overline{\phi} : X^0_{\min}/U \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}(W^*)$ is equivariant with respect to the canonically induced $\mathbb{G}_m$-action on $X^0_{\min}/U$. Let $\overline{X^0_{\min}/U}$ be the closure of $X^0_{\min}/U$ inside $\mathbb{P}(W^*)$ via $\overline{\phi}$ and, by abuse of notation, let $\overline{\phi} : X^0_{\min}/U \hookrightarrow \overline{X^0_{\min}/U}$ be the induced open immersion.

Let $(W^*)_{\min}$ be the weight space of minimal possible weight for the natural $\mathbb{G}_m$-action on W^*, let $\mathbb{P}((W^*)_{\min})$ be the associated linear subspace of $\mathbb{P}(W^*)$ and let $\mathbb{P}(W^*)^0_{\min}$ be the open subset of points in $\mathbb{P}(W^*)$ that flow to $\mathbb{P}((W^*)_{\min})$ under the action of $t \in \mathbb{G}_m$, as $t \to 0$.

Lemma 4.4. *Assume that condition ($\mathfrak{C}^*$) is satisfied. Then the locally closed immersion $\overline{\phi} : X^0_{\min}/U \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}(W^*)$ has image contained in $\mathbb{P}(W^*)^0_{\min}$, and the induced embedding $X^0_{\min}/U \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}(W^*)^0_{\min}$ is a closed immersion.*

Proof. We introduce some notation. Given a tuple $K = (k_1, \dots, k_n) \in \mathbb{N}^n$ of non-negative integers such that $k_1 + \cdots + k_n = s$, let $\sigma^K := \sigma_1^{k_1} \cdots \sigma_n^{k_n}$ and let Σ_K be the section in $H^0(\mathbb{P}(W^*), \mathcal{O}(1))$ that corresponds to σ^K under the identification $H^0(\mathbb{P}(W^*), \mathcal{O}(1)) = H^0(X, L^{\otimes s})^U$. Observe that the maximal weight space $H^0(\mathbb{P}(W^*), \mathcal{O}(1))_{\max}$ for the $\mathbb{G}_m$-action on $H^0(\mathbb{P}(W^*), \mathcal{O}(1))$ is spanned by the Σ_K with $K = (k_1, \dots, k_n)$ running over all tuples in $\mathbb{N}^n$ such that $k_1 + \cdots + k_n = s$, thus $\mathbb{P}(W^*)^0_{\min}$ is covered by the associated affine open subsets $\mathbb{P}(W^*)_{\Sigma_K}$. Because $q_U : X^0_{\min} \to X^0_{\min}/U$ is surjective, we also have

$$(\overline{\phi})^{-1}(\mathbb{P}(W^*)_{\Sigma_K}) = q_U(\phi^{-1}(\mathbb{P}(W^*)_{\Sigma_K})) = q_U(X_{\sigma^K}) = \operatorname{Spec}(\mathcal{O}(X_{\sigma^K})^U).$$

In particular, choosing K with i-th entry equal to s and zero in each other entry (so that $\Sigma_K = \Sigma_i$), we see that $(\overline{\phi})^{-1}(\mathbb{P}(W^*)_{\Sigma_K}) = \operatorname{Spec}(\mathcal{O}(X_{\sigma_i})^U$, which cover $X^0_{\min}/U$ as i runs from 1 to n. Hence, the image of $X^0_{\min}/U$ under $\overline{\phi}$ is contained in $\mathbb{P}(W^*)^0_{\min}$.

For each tuple $K = (k_1, \dots, k_n)$ (with $k_1 + \cdots + k_n = s$), we claim that the restriction

$$\overline{\phi} : \operatorname{Spec}(\mathcal{O}(X_{\sigma^K})^U) \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}(W^*)_{\Sigma_K}$$

is a closed immersion of affine varieties. Note that showing this is enough to prove the lemma, because closed immersions are local on the base and the $\mathbb{P}(W^*)_{\Sigma_K}$ cover $\mathbb{P}(W^*)^0_{\min}$. To prove the claim, it is equivalent to show that each pullback $(\overline{\phi})^{\#} : \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{P}(W^*)_{\Sigma_K}) \to \mathcal{O}(X_{\sigma^K})^U$ is surjective. Under the usual identifications $(\operatorname{Sym}^\bullet W)_{(\Sigma_K)} = \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{P}(W^*)_{\Sigma_K})$ and $(S^U)_{(\sigma^K)} = \mathcal{O}(X_{\sigma^K})^U$, this amounts to showing that the homomorphism

$$\psi_K : (\operatorname{Sym}^\bullet W)_{(\Sigma_K)} \to (S^U)_{(\sigma^K)},$$

induced by $\frac{f}{\Sigma_K} \mapsto \frac{f}{\sigma^K}$ for $f \in W = H^0(X, L^{\otimes s})^U$, is surjective.

To this end, after relabelling if necessary we may assume, without loss of generality, that $K = (k_1, \dots, k_p, 0, \dots, 0)$, with $1 \le p \le n$ and $k_i > 0$ for $i = 1, \dots, p$. Then as subalgebras of the field of rational functions $\Bbbk(X) = S_{((0))}$, we have

$$(S^U)_{(\sigma^K)} = (S^U)_{(\sigma_1 \cdots \sigma_p)} = (S^U)_{(\sigma_1)}\left[\tfrac{\sigma_1}{\sigma_2}, \dots, \tfrac{\sigma_1}{\sigma_p}\right]$$

where the last ring is the subalgebra generated by $(S^U)_{(\sigma_1)}$ and the rational functions $\frac{\sigma_1}{\sigma_2}, \dots, \frac{\sigma_1}{\sigma_p}$ (which are regular on X_{σ^K}). Observe that

$$\frac{\sigma_1^{k_1 - 1}\sigma_2^{k_2} \cdots \sigma_p^{k_p}\sigma_i}{\sigma^K} = \frac{\sigma_i}{\sigma_1} \in (S^U)_{(\sigma_1)}, \quad i = 2, \dots, p,$$

and (where $\widehat{\sigma_i^{k_i}}$ means 'omit $\sigma_i^{k_i}$' in what follows)

$$\frac{\sigma_1^{k_1} \cdots \widehat{\sigma_i^{k_i}} \cdots \sigma_p^{k_p}\sigma_i^{k_i - 1}\sigma_1}{\sigma^K} = \frac{\sigma_1}{\sigma_i} \quad i = 2, \dots, p.$$

Also, for each $f \in H^0(X, L^{\otimes s})^U$ we have

$$\frac{f}{\sigma^K} \cdot \left(\frac{\sigma_2}{\sigma_1}\right)^{k_2} \cdots \left(\frac{\sigma_p}{\sigma_1}\right)^{k_p} = \frac{f}{\sigma_1^s} \in (S^U)_{(\sigma_1)}.$$

and by the choice of $s > 0$ the algebra $(S^U)_{(\sigma_1)}$ is generated by the rational functions $\frac{f}{\sigma_1^s}$ for $f \in H^0(X, L^{\otimes s})^U$. We thus see that the image of ψ_K contains $(S^U)_{(\sigma_1)}$, along with the extra

generators $\frac{\sigma_1}{\sigma_2}, \ldots, \frac{\sigma_1}{\sigma_p}$, and so conclude that ψ_K is surjective, as claimed. This completes the proof. □

Since U is a normal subgroup of $\hat{H}$ and R centralises the one-parameter subgroup $\mathbb{G}_m$, this entire set-up is acted on by $H/U = R$. Recall the weaker version ($\mathfrak{C}^*_H$) of ($\mathfrak{C}^*$):

($\mathfrak{C}^*_H$) $$\mathrm{Stab}_U(z) = \{e\} \text{ for every } z \in Z^{ss,R}_{\min}$$

where $Z^{ss,R}_{\min}$ is the semistable locus for the induced linear action of $R = H/U$ on $Z_{\min}$. The proof of Lemma 4.4, using Proposition 4.1 instead of Proposition 4.3, gives us

Lemma 4.5. *Assume that $\hat{H}$ acts linearly on X and that condition ($\mathfrak{C}^*_H$) is satisfied. Then the open subvariety $\{x \in X^0_{\min} | p(x) \in Z^{ss,R}_{\min}\}$ of X has a geometric quotient by the action of U and there is a closed immersion*

$$\{x \in X^0_{\min} \mid p(x) \in Z^{ss,R}_{\min}\}/U \to \{y \in \mathbb{P}(W^*)^0_{\min} \mid p_W(y) \in Z(\mathbb{P}(W^*)^{ss,R}_{\min}\}/U$$

where p_W and $Z(\mathbb{P}(W^*)^{ss,R}_{\min}$ are the analogues of p and $Z^{ss,R}_{\min}$ for the action of $\hat{H}/U \cong R \times \mathbb{G}_m$ on $\mathbb{P}(W^*)$.

From Lemma 4.4 it follows that the morphism $\overline{\phi}$ induces a T-equivariant isomorphism of quasi-projective varieties $\overline{\phi} : X^0_{\min}/U \xrightarrow{\cong} \overline{X^0_{\min}/U} \cap \mathbb{P}(W^*)^0_{\min}$. Let us now use this identification freely for the rest of the argument, writing

$$X^0_{\min}/U = \overline{X^0_{\min}/U} \cap \mathbb{P}(W^*)^0_{\min}.$$

Any point in $X^0_{\min}/U$ has a limit under the action of $t \in \mathbb{G}_m$, as $t \to 0$, contained in the closed subset $\overline{X^0_{\min}/U} \cap \mathbb{P}((W^*)_{\min}) \subseteq \overline{X^0_{\min}/U} \cap \mathbb{P}(W^*)^0_{\min}$ under this isomorphism. In particular, given $x \in X^0_{\min}$ the point $q_U(x)$ lies in $\overline{X^0_{\min}/U} \cap \mathbb{P}((W^*)_{\min})$ if, and only if,

$$q_U(x) = \lim_{t\to 0} t \cdot q_U(x) = q_U\left(\lim_{t\to 0} t \cdot x\right).$$

Thus, for each $x \in X^0_{\min}$, we have

(1) $$q_U(x) \in \overline{X^0_{\min}/U} \cap \mathbb{P}((W^*)_{\min}) \iff x \in U \cdot Z_{\min}.$$

By assumption we have $X^0_{\min} \neq U \cdot Z_{\min}$, so as a consequence of (1) we may conclude that $\mathbb{P}((W^*)_{\min}) \neq \mathbb{P}(W^*)^0_{\min}$ and hence that the $\mathbb{G}_m$-action on $W = H^0(X, L^{\otimes s})^U$ has at least two distinct weights. Note that the maximum weight for the $\mathbb{G}_m$-action on $H^0(X, L^{\otimes s})^U$ is equal to $-s\omega_{\min}$. Let $\epsilon > 0$ be a rational number such that $-s(\omega_{\min} + \epsilon)$ lies strictly between $-s\omega_{\min}$ and the next largest weight for the $\mathbb{G}_m$-action on W (which must be at most $-s\omega_{\min} - 1$). Let χ be the rational character of $\hat{U}$ of weight $\omega_{\min} + \epsilon$ and consider the rational $\mathbb{G}_m$-linearisation $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(W^*)}(1)^{(s\chi)} \to \mathbb{P}(W^*)$. The rational weights of $H^0(\mathbb{P}(W^*), \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(W^*)}(1)^{(s\chi)})^*$ are arranged such that the minimal weight is less than 0 and the next smallest weight is greater than 0, so it follows immediately from the Hilbert–Mumford criteria that the stable locus for $\mathbb{G}_m \curvearrowright \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(W^*)}(1)^{(s\chi)} \to \mathbb{P}(W^*)$ is equal to the semistable locus, which is equal to $\mathbb{P}(W^*)^0_{\min} \setminus \mathbb{P}((W^*)_{\min})$, and that the semistable locus of the induced action of T is contained in that for $\mathbb{G}_m$. Let

$$M = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(W^*)}(1)^{(s\chi)}|_{\overline{X^0_{\min}/U}} \to \overline{X^0_{\min}/U}$$

be the restriction of the rational $\mathbb{G}_m$-linearisation $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(W^*)}(1)^{(s\chi)} \to \mathbb{P}(W^*)$ to $\overline{X^0_{\min}/U}$. Then by restriction of (semi)stable loci we have

$$\begin{aligned}\overline{X^0_{\min}/U}^{s(M)} =& \overline{X^0_{\min}/U} \cap \mathbb{P}(W^*)^{s(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(W^*)}(1)^{(s\chi)})} \\ =& \overline{X^0_{\min}/U} \cap \mathbb{P}(W^*)^{\mathrm{ss}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(W^*)}(1)^{(s\chi)})} \\ =& \overline{X^0_{\min}/U}^{\mathrm{ss}(M)},\end{aligned}$$

which are furthermore equal to

$$(\overline{X^0_{\min}/U} \cap \mathbb{P}(W^*)^0_{\min}) \setminus (\overline{X^0_{\min}/U} \cap \mathbb{P}((W^*)_{\min}) = (X^0_{\min}/U) \setminus ((X^0_{\min}/U) \cap \mathbb{P}((W^*)_{\min})).$$

By (1) we therefore have

$$q_U^{-1}\left(\overline{X^0_{\min}/U}^{s(M)}\right) = X^0_{\min} \setminus (U \cdot Z_{\min}).$$

Let $L^{(\chi)} \to X$ be the rational $\hat{U}$-linearisation obtained by twisting the linearisation $L \to X$ by the rational character χ. Note that the rational $\mathbb{G}_m$-linearisations $L^{(s\chi)} \to X^0_{\min}$ and $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(W^*)}(1)^{(s\chi)} \to \mathbb{P}(W^*)$ are compatible via $\phi : X^0_{\min} \to \mathbb{P}(W^*)$.

Lemma 4.6. *There exists $\epsilon > 0$ such that if the $\hat{H}$-linearisation is twisted by a rational character χ satisfying $\|\chi - \chi_0\| < \epsilon$ and $\chi|_{\mathbb{G}_m} > \omega_{\min}$, where $\omega_{\min}$ is the minimal weight for the $\mathbb{G}_m$-action on $V = H^0(X, L)^*$, then*

$$\phi^{-1}(\mathbb{P}(W^*)^{\mathrm{ss},T,\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(W^*)}(1)^{(s\chi)}}) = \bigcap_{u\in U} uX^{ss,T,\chi}$$

and

$$\phi^{-1}(\mathbb{P}(W^*)^{s,T,\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(W^*)}(1)^{(s\chi)}}) = \bigcap_{u\in U} uX^{s,T,\chi}.$$

Proof. When $T = \mathbb{G}_m$ this follows immediately from the preceding arguments. In general we combine these with Remark 3.6. By the Hilbert–Mumford criteria for classical GIT we know that (semi)stability for T is equivalent to (semi)stability for every choice of one-parameter subgroup $\lambda : \mathbb{G}_m \to T$, and for this we study limits $\lim_{t\to 0} \lambda(t)y$. It suffices to understand these limits in an arbitrarily small neighbourhood of the T-fixed point set: y is semistable (stable) if and only if 0 lies in the (interior of) the convex hull of the weights with which T acts on the fibres of L^* over the T-fixed point set ($\overline{Ty}^T$ in the closure of the orbit of y. This convex hull is a convex polyhedron whose faces near any vertex are determined by the weights with which T acts on the Zariski tangent space to $\overline{Ty}$ at a corresponding point of ($\overline{Ty}^T$. When $\epsilon > 0$ is sufficiently small we have seen that $X^{ss,T,\chi} \subseteq X^0_{\min}$, and the result follows as in Remark 3.6. □

Proposition 4.7. *We have*

$$\bigcap_{u\in U} uX^{s,\mathbb{G}_m}_{\min+} = X^0_{\min} \setminus UZ_{\min} \subseteq X^{s(\hat{U},L^{(\chi)})}$$

and

$$\bigcap_{u\in U} uX^{ss,T}_{\min+} \subseteq X^{\mathrm{ss}(\hat{U}_T,L^{(\chi)})}.$$

Proof. By Lemma 4.6 we have the equality

$$\bigcap_{u\in U} uX^{s,\mathbb{G}_m}_{\min+} = X^0_{\min} \setminus UZ_{\min} = \phi^{-1}(\mathbb{P}(W^*)^{\mathrm{ss}(\mathbb{G}_m,\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(W^*)}(1)^{(s\chi)})}).$$

We will show that

$$\phi^{-1}(\mathbb{P}(W^*)^{\mathrm{ss}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(W^*)}(1)^{(s\chi)})}) \subseteq X^{s,\hat{U},L^{(\chi)}}.$$

Suppose F is contained in $H^0(\mathbb{P}(W^*),\mathcal{O}(d))^{\mathbb{G}_m}$, with $d>0$. Then we may regard F as a linear combination of degree d monomials in $\mathbb{G}_m$-weight vectors in W, and each such monomial must be $\mathbb{G}_m$-invariant. So, in covering $\mathbb{P}(W^*)^{\mathrm{ss}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(W^*)}(1)^{(s\chi)})}$ by open subsets of the form $\mathbb{P}(W^*)_F$, we may assume that F is an invariant monomial of weight vectors, without loss of generality. Note also that such a monomial must be divisible by some $\Sigma_K \in H^0(\mathbb{P}(W^*),\mathcal{O}(1))_{\max} = H^0(X,L^{\otimes s})_{\max}$. It follows that $\phi^*F \in H^0(X,(L^{(\chi)})^{\otimes ds})^{\hat{U}}$ is equal to $g\sigma$, with $\sigma \in H^0(X,L)_{\max}$ and $g\in H^0(X,(L^{(\chi)})^{\otimes(ds-1)})^U$. In particular, the map $q_U : X_{\phi^*F} \to \mathrm{Spec}(\mathcal{O}(X_{\phi^*F})^U)$ is equal to the canonical morphism

$$X_{g\sigma} \to \mathrm{Spec}((\mathcal{O}(X_\sigma)^U)_a),$$

where $(\mathcal{O}(X_\sigma)^U)_a$ is the localisation of $\mathcal{O}(X_\sigma)^U$ at the function $a=\frac{g}{\sigma^{ds-1}}$. This must be a locally trivial U-quotient, being the restriction of the locally trivial quotient $q_U : X_\sigma \to \mathrm{Spec}(\mathcal{O}(X_\sigma)^U)$. Since $\mathrm{Spec}(\mathcal{O}(X_{\phi^*F})^U)$ maps into $\mathbb{P}(W^*)^{\mathrm{ss}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(W^*)}(1)^{(s\chi)})}$ under the embedding $\overline{\phi}$, by restriction we see that the action of $\mathbb{G}_m$ on $\mathrm{Spec}(\mathcal{O}(X_{\phi^*F})^U)$ is closed with all stabilisers finite. The same is true for the $\mathbb{G}_m$-action on X_{ϕ^*F} by Lemma 1.21. Finally, the open set X_{ϕ^*F} is affine because $L^{(\chi)} \to X$ is ample as a line bundle, so we conclude that $X_{\phi^*F} \subseteq X^{s(\hat{U},L^{(\chi)})}$. Thus $\phi^{-1}(\mathbb{P}(W^*)^{\mathrm{ss}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(W^*)}(1)^{(s\chi)})})$ is contained in $X^{s(\hat{U},L^{(\chi)})}$, as desired. A similar argument using T-weight vectors shows that $\bigcap_{u\in U} uX^{ss,T}_{\min+} \subseteq X^{\mathrm{ss}(\hat{U}_T,L^{(\chi)})}$. □

We are now in a position to complete the proof of Theorem 2.3. Recall that if $\hat{U}_T$ acts on an algebra A then $A^{\hat{U}} = (A^U)^{\mathbb{G}_m}$ and $A^{\hat{U}_T} = (A^U)^T$. The GIT quotient

$$\pi_{\mathbb{G}_m} : \overline{X^0_{\min}/U}^{\mathrm{ss}(M)} \to \overline{X^0_{\min}/U}/\!\!/_M\mathbb{G}_m$$

is a geometric quotient for the $\mathbb{G}_m$-action on $\overline{X^0_{\min}/U}^{s(M)} = \overline{X^0_{\min}/U}^{\mathrm{ss}(M)}$, and hence the composition

$$\bigcap_{u\in U} uX^{s,\mathbb{G}_m}_{\min+} = X^0_{\min} \setminus UZ_{\min} \xrightarrow{q_U} \overline{X^0_{\min}/U}^{s(M)} \xrightarrow{\pi_{\mathbb{G}_m}} \overline{X^0_{\min}/U}/\!\!/_M\mathbb{G}_m$$

provides a geometric quotient for the $\hat{U}$-action on $\bigcap_{u\in U} uX^{s,\mathbb{G}_m}_{\min+}$, with projective quotient

$$(\bigcap_{u\in U} uX^{s,\mathbb{G}_m}_{\min+})/\hat{U} \cong \overline{X^0_{\min}/U}/\!\!/_M\mathbb{G}_m.$$

Furthermore, by Proposition 4.7 we know that $\bigcap_{u\in U} uX^{s,\mathbb{G}_m}_{\min+}$ is an open $\hat{U}$-stable subset of the stable locus $X^{s(L^{(\chi)})}$ for the rational linearisation $\hat{U} \curvearrowright L^{(\chi)} \to X$, so by uniqueness of geometric quotients we may identify $(\bigcap_{u\in U} uX^{s,\mathbb{G}_m}_{\min+})/\hat{U}$ with the image of $\bigcap_{u\in U} uX^{s,\mathbb{G}_m}_{\min+}$ under the enveloping quotient map $q : X^{\mathrm{ss}(L^{(\chi)})} \to X\!\sslash_{L^{(\chi)}}\hat{U}$. Note that, because X is irreducible and the enveloping quotient map is dominant, $(\bigcap_{u\in U} uX^{s,\mathbb{G}_m}_{\min+})/\hat{U}$ is a dense open subscheme of $X\!\sslash_{L^{(\chi)}}\hat{U}$. On the other hand, the quotient $(\bigcap_{u\in U} uX^{s,\mathbb{G}_m}_{\min+})/\hat{U}$ is projective, thus universally

closed over $\operatorname{Spec}\Bbbk$, and so $(\bigcap_{u\in U} uX^{s,\mathbb{G}_m}_{\min+})/\hat{U}$ is also a closed subscheme of $X\wr_{L^{(\chi)}}\hat{U}$, since the latter is separated over $\operatorname{Spec}\Bbbk$ [36, Tag 01W0]. Hence $(\bigcap_{u\in U} uX^{s,\mathbb{G}_m}_{\min+})/\hat{U} = X\wr_{L^{(\chi)}}\hat{U}$. Because $\bigcap_{u\in U} uX^{s,\mathbb{G}_m}_{\min+} \subseteq X^{s(L^{(\chi)})}$ it follows that $X^{s(L^{(\chi)})}/\hat{U} = X\wr_{L^{(\chi)}}\hat{U}$. Also, a consequence of the definition of the stable locus $X^{s(L^{(\chi)})}$ is that it satisfies $q^{-1}(q(X^{s(L^{(\chi)})})) = X^{s(L^{(\chi)})}$, so we in fact have

$$\bigcap_{u\in U} uX^{s,\mathbb{G}_m}_{\min+} = X^{s(L^{(\chi)})} = X^{\mathrm{ss}(L^{(\chi)})},$$

and that the enveloping quotient $q : X^{\mathrm{ss}(L^{(\chi)})} \to X\wr_{L^{(\chi)}}\hat{U}$ is a geometric quotient for the $\hat{U}$-action on $X^{\mathrm{ss}(L^{(\chi)})}$. Since $X\wr_{L^{(\chi)}}\hat{U} = \overline{X^0_{\min}/U}/\!\!/_M\mathbb{G}_m$ and $X\wr_{L^{(\chi)}}\hat{U}_T = (X\wr_{L^{(\chi)}}\hat{U})//(T/\mathbb{G}_m)$, a similar argument using Lemma 4.6 and Remark 3.6 shows that $X^{\mathrm{ss}(\hat{U}_T)} = \bigcap_{u\in U} uX^{ss,T}_{\min+}$ and $X^{s(\hat{U}_T)} = \bigcap_{u\in U} uX^{s,T}_{\min+}$, which is Theorem 2.3 (2). It also shows that the enveloping quotient map $q : X^{\mathrm{ss}(\hat{U}_T,L^{(\chi)})} \to X\wr_{L^{(\chi)}}\hat{U}_T$ is surjective and is a categorical quotient for the $\hat{U}_T$-action on $X^{\mathrm{ss}(\hat{U}_T,L^{(\chi)})}$, proving (4) of Theorem 2.3. Finally, by Proposition 1.23, for sufficiently divisible $r > 0$ the ring of invariants $\bigoplus_{k\geq 0} H^0(X,(L^{(\chi)})^{\otimes kr})^{\hat{U}_T}$ for the $\hat{U}_T$-linearisation $(L^{(\chi)})^{\otimes r} \to X$ is a finitely generated $\Bbbk$-algebra, which gives (3) of Theorem 2.3. This completes the proof of Theorem 2.3.

The following corollary gives us Theorem 2.6.

Corollary 4.8. *Let X be an irreducible projective variety acted upon by a linear algebraic group H with unipotent radical U and let $L \to X$ be a very ample linearisation. Suppose that $H = U \rtimes R$ where the reductive group R has a central one-parameter subgroup $\mathbb{G}_m$ which acts on the Lie algebra of U with only strictly positive weights, and that condition ($\mathfrak{C}^*$) is satisfied. Let χ_0 be a rational character of H such that $\chi_0|_{\mathbb{G}_m} = \omega_{\min}$. Then there exists $\epsilon > 0$ such that if the H-linearisation $H \curvearrowright L \to X$ is twisted by a rational character χ with $\|\chi - \chi_0\| < \epsilon$ whose restriction to $\mathbb{G}_m$ is strictly greater than $\omega_{\min}$, where $\omega_{\min}$ is the minimal weight for the $\mathbb{G}_m$-action on $V = H^0(X,L)^*$, then*

$$X^{\mathrm{ss},H,\chi} = \bigcap_{h\in H} hX^{ss,T,\chi}, \qquad X^{s,H,\chi} = \bigcap_{h\in H} hX^{s,T,\chi},$$

and the enveloping quotient map $q_H : X^{\mathrm{ss},H,\chi} \to X\wr H$ is a categorical quotient for the H-action on $X^{\mathrm{ss},H,\chi}$, with $q_H(x) = q_H(y)$ for $x,y \in X^{\mathrm{ss}(H)}$ if and only if the closures of the H-orbits of x and y meet in $X^{\mathrm{ss},H,\chi}$.

Proof. Let T be a maximal torus of R containing the central one-parameter subgroup $\mathbb{G}_m$, and let $\hat{U} = U \rtimes \mathbb{G}_m$ and $\hat{U}_T = U \rtimes T$. Then $X\wr H = (X\wr\hat{U})//(R/\mathbb{G}_m)$ where $X\wr\hat{U}$ is a geometric quotient of $\bigcap_{u\in U} uX^{s,\mathbb{G}_m}_{\min+}$ by $\hat{U}$, and $X^{\mathrm{ss},H,\chi}$ and $X^{s,H,\chi}$ are the pre-images in $\bigcap_{u\in U} uX^{s,\mathbb{G}_m}_{\min+}$ of the (semi)stable loci for the action of the reductive group $R/\mathbb{G}_m$ on $X\wr\hat{U}$. By Theorem 2.3 the pre-images in $\bigcap_{u\in U} uX^{s,\mathbb{G}_m}_{\min+}$ of the (semi)stable loci for the action of $T/\mathbb{G}_m$ on $X\wr\hat{U}$ are $X^{\mathrm{ss},\hat{U}_T,\chi} = \bigcap_{u\in U} uX^{ss,T,\chi}$ and $X^{s,\hat{U}_T,\chi} = \bigcap_{u\in U} uX^{s,T,\chi}$. So by the Hilbert–Mumford criteria for the action of the reductive group $R/\mathbb{G}_m$ on $X\wr\hat{U}$, we have

$$X^{ss,H,\chi} = \bigcap_{r\mathbb{G}_m\in R/\mathbb{G}_m} rX^{ss,\hat{U}_T,\chi} = \bigcap_{r\in R}\bigcap_{u\in U} ruX^{ss,T,\chi} = \bigcap_{h\in H} hX^{ss,T,\chi}$$

and similarly $X^{s,H,\chi} = \bigcap_{h\in H} hX^{s,T,\chi}$. The result now follows from classical GIT applied to the action of $R/\mathbb{G}_m$ on the geometric quotient $X \sslash \hat{U}$. □

Remark 4.9. It is enough in Corollary 4.8 to assume condition ($\mathfrak{C}^*_H$) instead of condition ($\mathfrak{C}^*$). To prove this version we use Lemma 4.5 and work with the closure

$$\overline{\{x \in X^0_{\min} \mid p(x) \in Z^{ss,R}_{\min}\}/U}$$

in $\mathbb{P}(W^*)$ of the geometric quotient $\{x \in X^0_{\min} \mid p(x) \in Z^{ss,R}_{\min}\}/U$, replacing $X \sslash \hat{U}$ with the classical GIT quotient

$$\overline{\{x \in X^0_{\min} \mid p(x) \in Z^{ss,R}_{\min}\}/U}//\mathbb{G}_m \subseteq \mathbb{P}(W^*)//\mathbb{G}_m.$$

Quotienting by the reductive group $R/\mathbb{G}_m$, this gives us

$$X \sslash H = \overline{\{x \in X^0_{\min} \mid p(x) \in Z^{ss,R}_{\min}\}/U}//R \subseteq \mathbb{P}(W^*)//R,$$

and if $\epsilon > 0$ is chosen sufficiently small then

$$\mathbb{P}(W^*)^{ss,R,\chi} \subseteq \{y \in \mathbb{P}(W^*)^0_{\min} \mid p_W(y) \in Z(\mathbb{P}(W^*))^{ss,R,\chi}_{\min}\}$$

so $\overline{\{x \in X^0_{\min} \mid p(x) \in Z^{ss,R}_{\min}\}/U} \cap \mathbb{P}(W^*)^{ss,R,\chi} \subseteq \{x \in X^0_{\min} \mid p(x) \in Z^{ss,R}_{\min}\}/U$ by Lemma 4.5. Thus

$$X^{ss,H,\chi} \to \overline{(\{x \in X^0_{\min} \mid p(x) \in Z^{ss,R}_{\min}\}/U)}//R = X \sslash H$$

is surjective and is a categorical quotient for the action of H.

Remark 4.10. As noted at Remarks 0.5 and 2.10, the hypothesis in Theorem 2.3 that $\mathrm{Stab}_U(z) = \{e\}$ for every $z \in Z_{\min}$ (condition $\mathfrak{C}^*$) can be weakened, when the action of U is such that the stabiliser in U of $x \in X$ is always strictly positive-dimensional. Provided that for every $z \in Z_{\min}$ the dimension of $\mathrm{Stab}_U(z)$ is equal to the generic (or minimum) dimension $d_{\min}$ of $\mathrm{Stab}_U(x)$ for $x \in X$, and that $\mathrm{Stab}_U(z)$ has a complementary subgroup U' in U with $U' \cap \mathrm{Stab}_U(z) = \{e\}$ and $U = U'\,\mathrm{Stab}_U(z)$, then the conclusions of Theorem 2.3 and thus Theorem 0.1 still hold. For observe that this condition implies that $\dim \mathrm{Stab}_U(x) = d_{\min}$ for every $x \in X^0_{\min}$, since $\mathbb{G}_m$ normalises U and so $\dim \mathrm{Stab}_U(p(x)) \geqslant \dim \mathrm{Stab}_U(x)$ for any $x \in X$, where $p(x)$ is the limit of $t \cdot x$ as $t \to 0$ with $t \in \mathbb{G}_m$. We can define a $\hat{U}$-invariant morphism from $X^0_{\min}$ to the Grassmannian $\mathrm{Grass}(\mathrm{d_{min}}, \mathrm{LieU})$ of $d_{\min}$-dimensional subspaces of LieU by

$$x \mapsto \mathrm{Lie\,Stab_U(x)}.$$

If $x \in X^0_{\min}$ we choose a $\mathbb{G}_m$-invariant complementary subgroup $U' \leqslant U$ such that $\mathrm{Stab}_U(x) \cap U' = \{e\}$ and $U'\,\mathrm{Stab}_U(x) = U$. The condition of complementarity to U' defines an affine open subvariety of $\mathrm{Grass}(\mathrm{d_{min}}, \mathrm{LieU})$ and thus an open subset of $X^0_{\min}$ where quotienting by U is equivalent to quotienting by U', and where $\mathrm{Stab}_{U'}(x) = \mathrm{Stab}_U(x) \cap U' = \{e\}$.

The condition that $\mathrm{Stab}_U(z)$ has a complementary subgroup U' in U with $U' \cap \mathrm{Stab}_U(z) = \{e\}$ and $U = U'\,\mathrm{Stab}_U(z)$ is always satisfied when the unipotent group U is abelian. Thus as described in Remark 2.10 the proof of Theorem 2.3 can be extended to cover the more general case when for every $z \in Z_{\min}$ the dimension of $\mathrm{Stab}_U(z)$ is equal to the generic (or minimum) dimension $d_{\min}$ of $\mathrm{Stab}_U(x)$ for $x \in X$, provided that the same is true for every subgroup $U^{(j)}$ in the derived series for U. Here the derived series can be replaced with any series $U = U^{(0)} \geqslant U^{(1)} \geqslant \cdots \geqslant U^{(s)} = \{e\}$ of normal subgroups with abelian subquotients.

Remark 4.11. By using Jordan canonical form to classify representations of semi-direct products $\mathbb{G}_a \rtimes \mathbb{G}_m$ when $\mathbb{G}_m$ acts on Lie $\mathbb{G}_a$ with strictly positive weight (cf. [2] §5 and [3] §2), the proof of Theorem 2.3 can also be extended to allow the requirement of well-adaptedness for the rational character χ to be weakened, provided that the condition $(\mathfrak{C}^*)$ is strengthened appropriately (cf. Remark 0.6). Let $X^{\mathbb{G}_m}$ be the $\mathbb{G}_m$–fixed point set in X. We can weaken the requirement that the rational character χ should be well adapted if we strengthen the condition $(\mathfrak{C}^*)$ to become

$(\mathfrak{C}^*_j)$ $\quad \mathrm{Stab}_U(z) = \{e\}$ whenever $z \in X^{\mathbb{G}_m}$ and $\mathbb{G}_m$ acts on $L^*|_z$ with weight at most ω_j.

Under this stronger hypothesis we can allow $\chi = \omega_j + \epsilon$ where $\epsilon > 0$ is sufficiently small.

In particular if the action of $\mathbb{G}_a \rtimes \mathbb{G}_m$ extends to an action of $\mathrm{GL}(2)$ (up to an appropriate cover), then we can allow any rational character $\chi < 0$. In the situation of the Popov-Pommerening conjecture [34, 35] when U is a subgroup of a reductive group G and is normalized by a maximal torus T of G which contains $\mathbb{G}_m$, then U is spanned by one-parameter subgroups $\lambda : \mathbb{G}_a \to U$ normalised by T such that the inclusion of each $\mathbb{G}_a \rtimes \mathbb{G}_m$ in G extends to a homomorphism from (a finite cover of) $\mathrm{GL}(2)$ to G.

5. Partial desingularisations of reductive GIT quotients

Let us consider the classical situation when G is a reductive group acting linearly on an irreducible projective variety X with respect to an ample linearisation L.

Suppose that X has some stable points but also has semistable points which are not stable. In [26] it is described how one can blow up X^{ss} along a sequence of G-invariant closed subvarieties to obtain a G-invariant morphism $\psi : \tilde{X}^{ss} \to X^{ss}$ where $\tilde{X}$ is an irreducible projective variety acted on linearly by G such that $\tilde{X}^{ss} = \tilde{X}^s$ and ψ restricts to an isomorphism over X^s. The induced birational morphism $\psi_G : \tilde{X}//G \to X//G$ of the geometric invariant theoretic quotients is an isomorphism over the geometric quotient X^s/G. It can be regarded as a partial desingularisation of $X//G$ in the sense that if X is nonsingular then the centres of the blow-ups can be taken to be nonsingular, and $\tilde{X}//G$ has only orbifold singularities (it is locally isomorphic to the quotient of a nonsingular variety by a finite group action) whereas the singularities of $X//G$ are in general much more serious. Even when X is singular, we can regard the birational morphism $\tilde{X}//G \to X//G$ as resolving (most of) the contribution to the singularities of $X//G$ coming from the group action.

The set $\tilde{X}^{ss}$ can be obtained from X^{ss} as follows. There exist semistable points of X which are not stable if and only if there exists a non-trivial connected reductive subgroup of G fixing a semistable point. In fact the closure in X^{ss} of the G-orbit of any $x \in X^{ss}$ contains a unique G-orbit which is closed in X^{ss}; this closed orbit has a reductive stabiliser, so a subgroup of G of maximal dimension among those occurring as stabilisers of semistable points of X is reductive. Let $r > 0$ be the maximal dimension of a (reductive) subgroup of G fixing a point of X^{ss} and let $\mathcal{R}(r)$ be a set of representatives of conjugacy classes of all connected reductive subgroups R of dimension r in G such that

$$Z^{ss}_R = \{x \in X^{ss} : R \text{ fixes } x\}$$

is non-empty. Then

$$\bigcup_{R \in \mathcal{R}(r)} GZ^{ss}_R$$

is a disjoint union of closed subvarieties of X^{ss}. Furthermore

$$GZ_R^{ss} \cong G \times^{N_R} Z_R^{ss}$$

where N_R is the normaliser of R in G (so GZ_R^{ss} is nonsingular if X is nonsingular). The action of G on X^{ss} lifts to an action on the blow-up $X_{(1)}$ of X^{ss} along $\bigcup_{R\in\mathcal{R}(r)} GZ_R^{ss}$ which can be linearised so that the complement of $X_{(1)}^{ss}$ in $X_{(1)}$ is the proper transform of the subset $\phi^{-1}(\phi(GZ_R^{ss}))$ of X^{ss} where $\phi : X^{ss} \to X//G$ is the quotient map (see [26] 7.17). Here we use the linearisation with respect to (a tensor power of) the pullback of the ample line bundle L on X perturbed by a sufficiently small multiple of the exceptional divisor $E_{(1)}$. This will give us an ample line bundle on the blow-up $\psi_{(1)} : X_{(1)} \to X^{ss}$, and (by the Hilbert–Mumford criteria for (semi)stability) if the perturbation is sufficiently small it will have the property that

$$\psi_{(1)}^{-1}(X^s) \subseteq X_{(1)}^s \subseteq X_{(1)}^{ss} \subseteq \psi_{(1)}^{-1}(X^{ss}) = X_{(1)},$$

and $\psi_{(1)}$ restricts to an isomorphism from $X_{(1)}^s \setminus E_{(1)}$ to X^s. Moreover no point of $X_{(1)}^{ss}$ is fixed by a reductive subgroup of G of dimension at least r, and a point in $X_{(1)}^{ss}$ is fixed by a reductive subgroup R of dimension less than r in G if and only if it belongs to the proper transform of the subvariety Z_R^{ss} of X^{ss}.

Remark 5.1. More precisely, in [26] X is blown up along the closure $\overline{\bigcup_{R\in\mathcal{R}(r)} GZ_R^{ss}}$ of $\bigcup_{R\in\mathcal{R}(r)} GZ_R^{ss}$ in X (or in a projective completion of X^{ss} with a G-equivariant morphism to X which is an isomorphism over X^{ss}; in the case when X is nonsingular so that $\bigcup_{R\in\mathcal{R}(r)} GZ_R^{ss}$ is also nonsingular, or when we replace X by the ambient projective space $\mathbb{P}(H^0(X,L)^*)$, we can resolve the singularities of $\overline{\bigcup_{R\in\mathcal{R}(r)} GZ_R^{ss}}$ by a sequence of blow-ups along nonsingular G-invariant subvarieties of the complement of X^{ss} and then blow up along the proper transform of $\overline{\bigcup_{R\in\mathcal{R}(r)} GZ_R^{ss}}$). We end up with a projective variety $\bar{X}_{(1)}$ and blow-down map $\bar{\psi}_{(1)} : \bar{X}_{(1)} \to X$ restricting to $\psi_{(1)} : X_{(1)} \to X$ where $\bar{\psi}_{(1)}^{-1}(X^{ss}) = X_{(1)}$. We can then choose a sufficiently small perturbation of the pullback to $\bar{X}_{(1)}$ of the linearisation on X such that
(i) we get an ample linearisation of the$G$-action on the projective variety $\bar{X}_{(1)}$ for which

$$\bar{\psi}_{(1)}^{-1}(X^s) \subseteq \bar{X}_{(1)}^s \subseteq \bar{X}_{(1)}^{ss} \subseteq \bar{\psi}_{(1)}^{-1}(X^{ss}) = X_{(1)},$$

and
(ii) the restriction of the linearisation to $X_{(1)}$ is obtained from the pullback of L by perturbing by a sufficiently small multiple of the exceptional divisor $E_{(1)}$

We can now apply the same procedure to $X_{(1)}^{ss}$ to obtain $X_{(2)}^{ss}$ such that no reductive subgroup of G of dimension at least $r-1$ fixes a point of $X_{(2)}^{ss}$. Under the assumption that $X^s \neq \emptyset$, if we repeat this process enough times, we obtain $X_{(0)}^{ss} = X^{ss}, X_{(1)}^{ss}, X_{(2)}^{ss}, \dots, X_{(r)}^{ss}$ such that no reductive subgroup of G of positive dimension fixes a point of $X_{(r)}^{ss}$, and we set $\tilde{X}^{ss} = X_{(r)}^{ss}$. Equivalently we can construct a sequence

$$X_{(R_0)}^{ss} = X^{ss}, X_{(R_1)}^{ss}, \dots, X_{(R_\tau)}^{ss} = \tilde{X}^{ss}$$

where $R_1, \dots, R_\tau$ are connected reductive subgroups of G with

$$r = \dim R_1 \geq \dim R_2 \geq \cdots \dim R_\tau \geq 1,$$

and if $1 \leq l \leq \tau$ then $X_{(R_l)}$ is the blow up of $X^{ss}_{(R_{l-1})}$ along its closed nonsingular subvariety $GZ^{ss}_{R_l} \cong G \times^{N_l} Z^{ss}_{R_l}$, where N_l is the normaliser of R_l in G. Similarly $\tilde{X}//G = \tilde{X}^{ss}/G$ can be obtained from $X//G$ by blowing up along the proper transforms of the images $Z_R//N$ in $X//G$ of the subvarieties GZ^{ss}_R of X^{ss} in decreasing order of $\dim R$.

The blow-down morphism $\psi_G : \tilde{X}//G \to X//G$ restricts to an isomorphism over the geometric quotient X^s/G, so both $\tilde{X}//G$ and $X//G$ can be regarded as projective completions of X^s/G.

Remark 5.2. Associated to the linear action of G on X and an invariant inner product on the Lie algebra of G, there is a stratification

$$X = \bigsqcup_{\beta \in \mathcal{B}} S_\beta$$

of X by locally closed subvarieties S_β, indexed by a partially ordered finite subset $\mathcal{B}$ of a positive Weyl chamber for the reductive group G, such that

(i) $S_0 = X^{ss}$,

and for each $\beta \in \mathcal{B}$

(ii) the closure of S_β is contained in $\bigcup_{\gamma \geqslant \beta} S_\gamma$, and

(iii) $S_\beta \cong G \times^{P_\beta} Y^{ss}_\beta$

where

$$\gamma \geqslant \beta \text{ if and only if } \gamma = \beta \text{ or } \|\gamma\| > \|\beta\|$$

and P_β is a parabolic subgroup of G acting on a projective subvariety $\overline{Y}_\beta$ of X with an open subset Y^{ss}_β which is determined by the action of a Levi subgroup $L_\beta = \mathrm{Stab}_G(\beta)$ of P_β with respect to a suitably twisted linearisation [25].

Here the original linearisation for the action of G on $L \to X$ is restricted to the action of the parabolic subgroup P_β over $\overline{Y}_\beta$, and then twisted by a rational character of P_β for the central one-parameter subgroup $\mathbb{G}_m$ determined by β of the Levi subgroup $L_\beta = \mathrm{Stab}_G(\beta)$ of P_β. This one-parameter subgroup $\mathbb{G}_m$ acts by conjugation with all weights strictly positive on the Lie algebra of the unipotent radical of P_β. In the notation of [25] Z_β denotes $Z_{\min}$ (defined as at Definition 2.1) for the action of P_β on $\overline{Y}_\beta$, while Y_β plays the role of $X^0_{\min}$; also $p_\beta : Y_\beta \to Z_\beta$ corresponds to $p : X^0_{\min} \to Z_{\min}$ and $Y^{ss}_\beta = (p_\beta)^{-1}(Z^{ss}_\beta)$. Here Z^{ss}_β (corresponding to $Z^{ss,R}_{\min}$ in the notation of this paper) is the semistable locus for the action of L_β on Z_β, twisted by the rational character β so that the one-parameter subgroup $\mathbb{G}_m$ acts on Z_β with weight 0.

Since $S_\beta = GY^{ss}_\beta \cong G \times^{P_\beta} Y^{ss}_\beta$, in order to construct a quotient by G of an open subset of an unstable stratum S_β, we can study the linear action on $\overline{Y}_\beta$ of the parabolic subgroup P_β, twisting the linearisation by a well adapted rational character.

Remark 5.3. The partial desingularisation construction of a linear action of G on X has been described under the assumption that $X^s \neq \emptyset$; this is the situation considered in [26]. We can also consider the situation when $X^s = \emptyset$. There are several different cases.

X is irreducible, so if $X^{ss} =$ then in the notation of Remark 5.1 there is an unstable stratum S_β with $\beta \neq 0$ which is a non-empty open subvariety of X and thus $X = \overline{S_\beta}$. Then, as discussed in Remark 5.2, using GIT to construct a quotient of a non-empty open subvariety of X reduces to non-reductive GIT for the action of the parabolic subgroup P_β on $\overline{Y_\beta}$.

If $X^s = \emptyset$ but X^{ss} is non-empty then we can attempt to apply the inductive partial desingularisation procedure to X^{ss}. There are different ways in which this procedure can terminate.

It might be the case that $X^{ss} = GZ_R^{ss} \cong G \times^{N_R} Z_R^{ss}$ for a positive-dimensional connected reductive subgroup R of G, where N_R is the normaliser of R in G. Then N_R and its quotient group N_R/R are also reductive, and

$$X//G \cong Z_R//N_R \cong Z_R//(N_R/R)$$

where Z_R is the closed subvariety of X which is the fixed point set for the action of R. So we can apply induction on the dimension of G to study this case. Note that if in addition $Z_R^s \neq \emptyset$ where

$$Z_R^s = \{x \in Z_R : x \text{ is stable for the induced linear action of } N_R/R \text{ on } Z_R\},$$

then we have $X^{Ms} = GZ_R^s$ and this is precisely the situation in which $X^{Ms} \neq \emptyset = X^s$; that is, stability in Mumford's original sense differs from proper stability in Mumford's sense, which is stability in modern terminology (cf. Remarks 1.3 and 1.11).

If $GZ_R^{ss} \neq X^{ss} \neq X^s = \emptyset$ for every positive-dimensional connected reductive subgroup R of G, then we can perform the first blow-up in the partial desingularisation construction to obtain $\psi_{(1)} : X_{(1)} \to X^{ss}$ such that $X_{(1)}^{ss} \subseteq X_{(1)}$ and $X_{(1)}^s = \emptyset$ as above (since $X_{(1)}^s$ is open and $X_{(1)}^s \setminus E_{(1)} = X^s = \emptyset$, where $E_{(1)}$ is the exceptional divisor).

If $X_{(1)}^{ss} = \emptyset$ then $X_{(1)}$ has a dense open subvariety S_β for $\beta \neq 0$ and we are reduced to studying non-reductive GIT for a parabolic subgroup P_β of G as discussed above for the case when $X^{ss} = \emptyset$. If $X_{(1)}^{ss} = GZ_{(1),R}^{ss}$ for a positive dimensional connected reductive subgroup R of G, where $Z_{(1),R}^{ss} = \{x \in X_{(1)}^{ss} : R \text{ fixes } x\}$, then we can use induction on the dimension of G as discussed above for the case when the corresponding statement is true of X^{ss}. Otherwise we can repeat the process, until it terminates in one of these two ways.

6. When stability and semistability do not coincide for the unipotent radical

Now let us return to a linear action of a group H which is not necessarily reductive; as before we will suppose that H is a linear algebraic group over $\Bbbk$ with graded unipotent radical U, in the sense that there is a semidirect product $\hat{H} = H \rtimes \mathbb{G}_m$ of H by $\mathbb{G}_m$ with subgroup $\hat{U} = U \rtimes \mathbb{G}_m$ where the conjugation action of $\mathbb{G}_m$ on U is such that all the weights of the induced $\mathbb{G}_m$-action on the Lie algebra of U are strictly positive, while the induced conjugation action of $\mathbb{G}_m$ on $R = H/U$ is trivial.

We also assume as before that $\hat{H}$ acts linearly on an irreducible projective variety X with respect to a very ample line bundle L, and that $\chi : \hat{H} \to \mathbb{G}_m$ is a rational character of $\hat{H}$ with kernel containing H which is well adapted for the linear action of $\hat{U}$.

In §2 and §4 we considered the good case when semistability coincides with stability for the $\hat{U}$-action on X, in the sense that condition ($\mathfrak{C}^*$) is satisfied, or equivalently that every $x \in X_{\min}^0$ has trivial stabiliser in U. We now want to show that if we only assume that there exists some $x \in X_{\min}^0$ with trivial stabiliser[1] in U, then there is an analogue of the partial desingularisation construction described in §5 which allows us to blow X up along a sequence of $\hat{H}$-invariant closed subvarieties to obtain an $\hat{H}$-invariant morphism $\psi : \tilde{X} \to X$ where $\tilde{X}$ is an irreducible projective variety acted on linearly by $\hat{H}$ satisfying condition ($\mathfrak{C}^*$) and $\tilde{X} /\!\!/ \hat{H} = \tilde{X}^s/\hat{H}$. Here $\tilde{X} /\!\!/ \hat{H}$ is a projective variety with an open subvariety which is a geometric quotient by $\hat{H}$ of an open subvariety of X, over which ψ restricts to an isomorphism.

[1]This weaker condition can itself be removed: see Remark 6.18.

In fact before constructing $\tilde{X}$ we will first blow X up along a sequence of $\hat{H}$-invariant closed subvarieties to obtain an $\hat{H}$-invariant morphism $\psi : \hat{X} \to X$ where $\hat{X}$ is an irreducible projective variety acted on linearly by $\hat{H}$ satisfying condition ($\mathfrak{C}^*$), for which the enveloping quotient $\hat{X} /\!\!/ \hat{H}$ is a categorical quotient but not necessarily a geometric quotient of $\hat{X}^{ss}$ by $\hat{H}$. We can then blow $\hat{X}$ up along a further sequence of $\hat{H}$-invariant closed subvarieties to obtain $\tilde{X}$.

In §4 we proved Theorems 2.3 and 2.6, from which Theorem 0.1 follows. Thus we know that when the linear action of $\hat{U}$ on X satisfies the condition that 'semistability coincides with stability' in the sense of ($\mathfrak{C}^*$), and moreover the linearisation is twisted by a suitable rational character of $\hat{H}$ (with kernel H) so that it is well adapted, then when $c > 0$ is a sufficiently divisible integer we have

(i) the algebras of invariants $\oplus_{m=0}^{\infty} H^0(X, L^{\otimes cm})^{\hat{U}}$ and

$$\oplus_{m=0}^{\infty} H^0(X, L^{\otimes cm})^{\hat{H}} = (\oplus_{m=0}^{\infty} H^0(X, L^{\otimes cm})^{\hat{U}})^R$$

are finitely generated, and

(ii) the enveloping quotient $X /\!\!/ \hat{U}$ is the projective variety associated to the algebra of invariants $\oplus_{m=0}^{\infty} H^0(X, L^{\otimes cm})^{\hat{U}}$ and is a geometric quotient of the open subset $X^{s,\hat{U}}_{\min +}$ of X by $\hat{U}$, while

(iii) the enveloping quotient $X /\!\!/ \hat{H}$ is the projective variety associated to the algebra of invariants $\oplus_{m=0}^{\infty} H^0(X, L^{\otimes cm})^{\hat{H}}$ and is the classical GIT quotient of $X /\!\!/ \hat{U}$ by the induced action of $R = H/U \cong \hat{H}/\hat{U}$ with respect to the linearisation induced by a sufficiently divisible tensor power of L.

By applying these results to an appropriate linear action of $\hat{H}$ on $X \times \mathbb{P}^1$, we obtain an H-invariant open subvariety $X^{\hat{s},H}$ of X with a geometric quotient $X^{\hat{s},H}/H$ by H which can be identified with an open subvariety of $(X \times \mathbb{P}^1) /\!\!/ \hat{H}$ (see Remark 2.7 and Lemma 2.9 for more details).

When we have proved Theorem 0.7 and shown how to construct $\hat{X}$ and $\tilde{X}$ satisfying ($\mathfrak{C}^*$), we will know that (i), (ii) and (iii) apply to the actions of $\hat{H}$ on $\hat{X}$ and $\tilde{X}$, and also on $\hat{X} \times \mathbb{P}^1$ and $\tilde{X} \times \mathbb{P}^1$, appropriately linearised. This will give us an H-invariant open subvariety $X^{\hat{s},H}$ of X with a geometric quotient $X^{\hat{s},H}/H$ by H which can be identified with open subvarieties of the projective varieties $(\hat{X} \times \mathbb{P}^1) /\!\!/ \hat{H}$ and $(\tilde{X} \times \mathbb{P}^1) /\!\!/ \hat{H}$.

Remark 6.1. This open subvariety $X^{\hat{s},H}$ of X corresponds to the complement of the exceptional divisors in the intersection of $\hat{X} \times \{[1 : 1]\}$ with the $\hat{H}$-stable locus in $\hat{X} \times \mathbb{P}^1$, and in the intersection of $\tilde{X} \times \{[1 : 1]\}$ with the $\hat{H}$-stable locus in $\tilde{X} \times \mathbb{P}^1$. These correspond exactly, as the pre-image of the stable locus X^s in the partial desingularisation construction $\psi : \tilde{X}^{ss} \to X^{ss}$ of [26] for a reductive action is exactly the complement of the exceptional divisors in $\tilde{X}^{ss} = \tilde{X}^s$.

In order to prove Theorem 0.7, just as for Theorem 0.1, the main hurdle is to deal with the action of $\hat{U}$; the rest will follow using well known methods from reductive GIT (including the partial desingularisation construction of [26] described in the last section) once the case when $H = U$ is unipotent is completed. Thus our next aim is to prove

Theorem 6.2. *Let U be a graded unipotent group and let $\hat{U} = U \rtimes \mathbb{G}_m$ be the extension of U by $\mathbb{G}_m$ which defines the grading. Suppose that $\hat{U}$ acts linearly on an irreducible projective variety X with respect to a very ample line bundle L, and that $\mathrm{Stab}_U(x) = \{e\}$ for generic $x \in X$.*

Then there is a sequence of blow-ups of X along $\hat{U}$-invariant projective subvarieties resulting in a projective variety $\hat{X}$ with a linear action of $\hat{U}$ (with respect to a power of an ample line bundle given by perturbing the pullback of L by small multiples of the exceptional divisors for the blow-ups) which satisfies the condition ($\mathfrak{C}^*$), so that Theorem 0.1 applies.

Once we have proved Theorem 6.2, and have shown that the sequence of blow-ups is sufficiently canonically defined that the $\hat{U}$ invariant subvarieties along which we blow up are in fact $\hat{H}$-invariant, then Theorem 0.7 will follow. Moreover by applying Theorem 0.7 to the action of $\hat{H}$ on $\hat{X} \times \mathbb{P}^1$ as above we will obtain a projective variety $(\hat{X} \times \mathbb{P}^1) \wr \hat{H}$ which is a categorical quotient by $\hat{H}$ of a $\hat{H}$-invariant open subset of $\hat{X} \times \mathbb{k}$ and contains as an open subset a geometric quotient of an H-invariant open subset $X^{\hat{s},H}$ of X by H, where the geometric quotient $X^{\hat{s},H}/H$ and (if $X^{\hat{s},H} \neq \emptyset$) its projective completion $(\hat{X} \times \mathbb{P}^1) \wr \hat{H}$ have descriptions as above in terms of Hilbert–Mumford criteria, S-equivalence and the explicit blow-up construction used to obtain $\hat{X}$ from X.

For this construction we will adopt a modification of the strategy described in §5 for the reductive case when $X^{ss} \neq X^s \neq \emptyset$. The first step in the strategy described in §5 is to blow X^{ss} up along the closed subvariety consisting of the semistable points with maximal dimensional stabiliser. In the case of a linear $\hat{H}$-action for which condition ($\mathfrak{C}^*$) is not satisfied, but with generic U-stabiliser in X trivial, we first blow $X^0_{\min}$ up along its subvariety consisting of points in $X^0_{\min}$ with maximal dimensional stabiliser in U. This subvariety is closed in $X^0_{\min}$ by the upper semi-continuity of the dimension of the stabiliser, and is $\hat{H}$-invariant since U is a normal subgroup of $\hat{H}$. Equivalently we can blow X itself up along the closure of this subvariety to obtain a projective variety $X_{(1)}$ with a blow-down map $\psi_{(1)} : X_{(1)} \to X$ with $(X_{(1)})^0_{\min} \subseteq \psi^{-1}_{(1)}(X^0_{\min})$.

The induced action of $\hat{H}$ on $X_{(1)}$ is linear with respect to an ample line bundle which is (a tensor power of) the pullback $\psi^*_{(1)}L$ perturbed by a sufficiently small rational multiple $\epsilon_{(1)} > 0$ of the exceptional divisor $E_{(1)}$, and if $\epsilon_{(1)} > 0$ is small enough then it follows from the Hilbert–Mumford criteria for the action of $\mathbb{G}_m$ that $\psi_{(1)}$ restricts to a morphism

$$\psi_{(1)} : (X_{(1)})^0_{\min} \to X^0_{\min}.$$

The crucial results are Propositions 6.15 and 6.16 below, which tell us that the dimension of the stabiliser in U of any $x \in (X_{(1)})^0_{\min}$ is strictly less than the maximal dimension of a U-stabiliser in $X^0_{\min}$. Thus repeating this process finitely many times will result in an $\hat{H}$-invariant blow-up

$$\hat{\psi} : \hat{X} \to X$$

such that the induced linear action of $\hat{H}$ on $\hat{X}$ satisfies ($\mathfrak{C}^*$). This means that if $\hat{Z}_{\min}$ plays the role of $Z_{\min}$ for $\hat{X}$, then $\hat{X}^0_{\min} \setminus U\hat{Z}_{\min}$ has a geometric quotient

$$q^{\hat{X}}_{\hat{U}} : \hat{X}^0_{\min} \setminus U\hat{Z}_{\min} \to (\hat{X}^0_{\min} \setminus U\hat{Z}_{\min})/\hat{U}$$

by $\hat{U}$ which is a projective variety $\hat{X} \wr \hat{U}$ with an induced ample line bundle $\hat{L}_{\hat{U}}$ and linear action of $R = H/U \cong \hat{H}/\hat{U}$. Moreover we can construct $\hat{X} \wr \hat{H}$ as a reductive GIT quotient $(\hat{X} \wr \hat{U})//R$ for an appropriate linearisation of the R-action on $\hat{X} \wr \hat{U}$ with respect to the line bundle $\hat{L}_{\hat{U}}$.

More precisely, for a well-adapted linearisation with respect to an ample line bundle $\hat{L}$ on $\hat{X}$ which is a (tensor power of a) suitable perturbation of $\hat{\psi}^*L$ by a small rational linear combination of the proper transforms of the exceptional divisors, the $\hat{H}$-action on $\hat{X}$ satisfies
(i) if $c > 0$ is a sufficiently divisible integer then the algebras of invariants $\oplus_{m=0}^{\infty} H^0(\hat{X}, \hat{L}^{\otimes cm})^{\hat{U}}$ and

$$\oplus_{m=0}^{\infty} H^0(\hat{X}, \hat{L}^{\otimes cm})^{\hat{H}} = (\oplus_{m=0}^{\infty} H^0(\hat{X}, \hat{L}^{\otimes cm})^{\hat{U}})^R$$

are finitely generated, and
(ii) the enveloping quotient $\hat{X} /\!\!/ \hat{U}$ is the projective variety associated to the algebra of invariants $\oplus_{m=0}^{\infty} H^0(\hat{X}, \hat{L}^{\otimes cm})^{\hat{U}}$ and provides a geometric quotient $q_{\hat{U}}^{\hat{X}} : \hat{X}^{s,\hat{U}}_{\min +} \to \hat{X} /\!\!/ \hat{U}$ of the open subvariety $\hat{X}^{s,\hat{U}}_{\min +} = \hat{X}^0_{\min} \setminus U\hat{Z}_{\min}$ of $\hat{X}$ by $\hat{U}$, while
(iii) the enveloping quotient $\hat{X} /\!\!/ \hat{H}$ is the projective variety associated to the algebra of invariants $\oplus_{m=0}^{\infty} H^0(\hat{X}, \hat{L}^{\otimes cm})^{\hat{H}}$ and is the classical GIT quotient of $\hat{X} /\!\!/ \hat{U}$ by the induced action of $R = H/U \cong \hat{H}/\hat{U}$ with respect to the linearisation induced by a sufficiently divisible tensor power of $\hat{L}$.

In addition we can apply the partial desingularisation construction of [26] as described in §5 to the action of R on $\hat{X} /\!\!/ \hat{U} = (\hat{X}^0_{\min} \setminus U\hat{Z}_{\min})/\hat{U}$, or equivalently blow $\hat{X}$ up along the closure of the subvariety

$$\{x \in \hat{X}^0_{\min} \setminus U\hat{Z}_{\min} \mid q_{\hat{U}}^{\hat{X}}(x) \in (\hat{X} /\!\!/ \hat{U})^{ss,R} \text{ and } \dim \mathrm{Stab}_{\hat{H}}(x) \text{ is maximal among such } x\},$$

and repeat until we obtain a morphism $\tilde{\psi} : \tilde{X} \to \hat{X} \to X$ restricting to $\tilde{X}^0_{\min} \to \hat{X}^0_{\min} \to X^0_{\min}$ and a partial desingularisation $\tilde{X} /\!\!/ \hat{H} \to \hat{X} /\!\!/ \hat{H}$, where $\tilde{X} /\!\!/ \hat{H} = \tilde{X}^{s,\hat{H}}/\hat{H}$ is a geometric quotient of an open subvariety $\tilde{X}^{s,\hat{H}}$ of $\tilde{X}$.

Remark 6.3. We have $\hat{H}$-invariant open subvarieties of $X^0_{\min}$ which are isomorphic to the complement of the exceptional divisors in $\hat{X}^{ss,\hat{H}}$ and in $\tilde{X}^{ss,\hat{H}} = \tilde{X}^{s,\hat{H}}$ via the restrictions of $\hat{\psi}$ and $\tilde{\psi}$; each has a geometric quotient by the action of $\hat{U}$ and a categorical quotient (which is geometric in the case of $\tilde{X}$) by the action of $\hat{H}$.

Similarly replacing X with $X \times \mathbb{P}^1$ we have an $\hat{H}$-invariant open subvariety $X^{\hat{s},H}$ of $X^0_{\min}$ with a geometric quotient

$$X^{\hat{s},H}/H \cong (X^{\hat{s},H} \times (\mathbb{P}^1 \setminus \{0, \infty\}))/\hat{H}$$

which can be identified via the restrictions of $\hat{\psi}$ and $\tilde{\psi}$ with open subvarieties of the projective varieties $(\hat{X} \times \mathbb{P}^1) /\!\!/ \hat{H}$ and $(\tilde{X} \times \mathbb{P}^1) /\!\!/ \hat{H}$. Here $X^{\hat{s},H}$ corresponds under $\hat{\phi}$ to the complement of the exceptional divisors for the blow-up $\hat{X}$ of X in

$$\{x \in \hat{X}^0_{\min} \mid q_{\hat{U}}^{\hat{X} \times \mathbb{P}^1}(x, [1:1]) \in ((\hat{X} \times \mathbb{P}^1) /\!\!/ \hat{U})^{s,R}\},$$

and similarly for $\tilde{\phi}$ (see Remark 6.1).

We will see that even when the generic U-stabiliser in X is not trivial, so that $X^{\hat{s},U} = \emptyset$, we can adapt the construction to obtain a geometric quotient $X^{M\hat{s},U}/U$ by U of a non-empty $\hat{H}$-invariant open subvariety $X^{M\hat{s},U}$ (the Mumford-hat-stable locus) in X, with a projective completion $(\hat{X} \times \mathbb{P}^1) /\!\!/ \hat{U}$ and an induced ample R-linearisation with classical GIT quotient $((\hat{X} \times \mathbb{P}^1) /\!\!/ \hat{U})//R = (\hat{X} \times \mathbb{P}^1) /\!\!/ \hat{H} \supseteq X^{M\hat{s},H}/H$.

Remark 6.4. It follows from their construction that when the actions of $\hat{H}$ are appropriately linearised then the quotients $(\hat{X} \times \mathbb{P}^1) \wr \hat{H}$ and $(\tilde{X} \times \mathbb{P}^1) \wr \hat{H}$ are naturally isomorphic to the quotients $(\widehat{X \times \mathbb{P}^1}) \wr \hat{H}$ and $(\widetilde{X \times \mathbb{P}^1}) \wr \hat{H}$.

Before stating and proving the crucial Propositions 6.15 and 6.16, we need to introduce some notation. Recall that

$$\omega_{\min} = \omega_0 < \omega_1 < \ldots < \omega_{\max}$$

are the weights with which the one-parameter subgroup $\mathbb{G}_m$ of $\hat{U}$ acts on the fibres of L^* over points of X fixed by $\mathbb{G}_m$.

Definition 6.5. *Let* $R = \{\omega_{\min}, \omega_{\min}+1, \ldots, \omega_{\max}\}$ *and* $D^U = \{d^U_{\min}, d^U_{\min}+1, \ldots, d^U_{\max}\}$ *where*

$$d^U_{\min} = d_{\min} = \min\{\dim \mathrm{Stab}_U(x) \mid x \in X^0_{\min}\}$$

and

$$d^U_{\max} = d_{\max} = \max\{\dim \mathrm{Stab}_U(x) \mid x \in X^0_{\min}\}.$$

For $r \in R$ *let*

$$Z_{((r))} = \{x \in X^0_{\min} \mid \mathbb{G}_m \text{ acts on the fibre of } L^* \text{ over } \lim_{t\to\infty} tx \text{ with weight} \leqslant r\}$$

so that $Z_{((\omega_{\min}))} = Z_{\min}$ *and* $Z_{((\omega_{\max}))} = X$. *For* $\delta \in D^U$ *let*

$$\Delta_U^{>\delta} = \{x \in X^0_{\min} \mid \dim \mathrm{Stab}_U(x) > \delta\} \text{ and } \Delta_U^{\geqslant\delta} = \{x \in X^0_{\min} \mid \dim \mathrm{Stab}_U(x) \geqslant \delta\}$$

. Define $r : D^U \to R$ *and* $\delta : R \to D^U$ *by*

$$r(\delta) = \min\{r \in R \mid \exists\, x \in Z_{((r))} \text{ with } \dim \mathrm{Stab}_(x) \leqslant \delta\} = \min\{r \in R \mid Z_{((r))} \not\subseteq \Delta_U^{>\delta}\}$$

and

$$\delta(r) = \min\{\dim \mathrm{Stab}_U(x) \mid x \in Z_{((r))}\}.$$

Remark 6.6. Note that $r(d^U_{\max}) = \omega_{\min}$ and $\delta(\omega_{\max}) = d^U_{\min}$. Also if $\delta, \tilde{\delta} \in D^U$ and $r, \tilde{r} \in R$ then

$$\delta \leq \tilde{\delta} \text{ implies that } r(\delta) \geq r(\tilde{\delta})$$

and $r \leq \tilde{r}$ implies that $Z_{((r))} \subseteq Z_{((\tilde{r}))}$ which implies that $\delta(r) \geq \delta(\tilde{r})$. Moreover $\delta(r(\delta)) \leq \delta$ and there is some $x \in Z_{((r))}$ such that $\dim \mathrm{Stab}_U(x) \leq \delta(r)$, so $r(\delta(r)) \leq r$.

Remark 6.7. $p : X^0_{\min} \to Z_{\min}$ restricts to $p : \Delta_U^{\geqslant d^U_{\max}} \to Z^{d^U_{\max}}_{\min} = Z_{\min} \cap \Delta_U^{\geqslant d^U_{\max}}$ with fibre over $z \in Z^{d^U_{\max}}_{\min}$ given by the fixed point set of $\mathrm{Stab}_U(z)$ acting on $p^{-1}(z)$ (cf. Remark 3.7).

Definition 6.8. *Define* $r_1, \ldots, r_J \in R$ *and* $\delta_0, \delta_1, \ldots, \delta_J \in D^U$ *recursively by the following rules:*

$$\delta_0 = d^U_{\max}$$

and if $\delta_j > d^U_{\min}$ *then*

$$r_{j+1} = r(\delta_j - 1) \quad \text{and} \quad \delta_{j+1} = \delta(r_{j+1}),$$

whereas if $\delta_j = d^U_{\min}$ *then set* $J = j$ *and stop the recursion.*

Now suppose that $x \in X^0_{\min} \setminus UZ_{\min}$; *then we can consider the jet of the rational curve* $\overline{\mathbb{G}_m x}$ *at* $p(x) = \lim_{t\to 0} tx$. *The multiplicative group* $\mathbb{G}_m$ *acts on the curve with a fixed point at* $p(x)$ *and thus defines a grading on the jet; for* $0 \leq j \leq J$ *let* $p^{((r_j))}(x)$ *be the corresponding* r_j*th truncation of the jet, so that* $p^{((r_0))} = p$, *and let*

$$X_{r_0,r_1,\ldots,r_J} = \{x \in X^0_{\min} \mid p^{((r_j))}(x) \neq p^{((r_{j-1}))}(x) \text{ for } j = 1, \ldots, J\}.$$

Define the Mumford-hat-stable loci for U and H by

$$X^{M\hat{s},U} = \{x \in X_{r_0,r_1,\dots,r_J} \mid p^{((r_j))}(x) \notin p^{((r_j))}(\Delta_U^{\geqslant \delta_j}) \text{ for } j = 0,\dots,J\}$$

and

$$X^{M\hat{s},H} = \{x \in X_{r_0,r_1,\dots,r_J} \mid p(x) \in Z_{\min}^{s,R} \text{ and } p^{((r_j))}(x) \notin p^{((r_j))}(\Delta_U^{\geqslant \delta_j}) \text{ for } j = 0,\dots,J\},$$

where $Z_{\min}^{s,R}$ is the stable locus for the linear action of the reductive group R on $Z_{\min}$.

If $d_{\min}^U > 0$ define $X^{\hat{s},U} = \emptyset$ and $X^{\hat{s},H} = \emptyset$, and if $d_{\min}^U = 0$ define

$$X^{\hat{s},U} = X^{M\hat{s},U} \text{ and } X^{\hat{s},H} = X^{M\hat{s},H}.$$

Finally recall that $UZ_{\min}$ is $\hat{H}$-invariant and define

$$X^{\hat{s},\hat{U}} = X^{\hat{s},U} \setminus UZ_{\min} \text{ and } X^{\hat{s},H} = X^{\hat{s},H} \setminus UZ_{\min}.$$

Remark 6.9. Observe that if we replace the line bundle L with $L^{\otimes c}$ where $c > 0$ then the definitions of D^U, $\Delta_U^{>\delta}$ and $\Delta_U^{\geqslant\delta}$ are unaffected, while R, $\omega_{\min}$ and $\omega_{\max}$ are multiplied by c. Similarly J and $\delta_0, \delta_1, \dots, \delta_J$, as well as $X_{r_0,r_1,\dots,r_J}$, $X^{M\hat{s},U}$ and $X^{M\hat{s},H}$ are unchanged, while $r : D^U \to R$ and $r_1, \dots, r_J$ are multiplied by c.

Note also that $J = 0$ if and only if the dimension of $\mathrm{Stab}_U(x)$ is constant for $x \in X_{\min}^0$. Otherwise $\delta_0 = d_{\max}^U > d_{\min}^U$ and $\delta_1 = \delta(r(\delta_0 - 1)) \leq \delta_0 - 1 < \delta_0$. Similarly if $\delta_j > d_{\min}^U$ then $\delta_{j+1} = \delta(r(\delta_j - 1)) \leq \delta_j - 1 < \delta_j$. Thus the process must terminate with $\delta_J = d_{\min}^U$ for some $J \geq 0$, and then

$$d_{\min}^U = \delta_J < \delta_{J-1} < \cdots < \delta_1 < \delta_0 = d_{\max}^U.$$

Remark 6.10. The truncated jet $p^{((r_j))}(x)$ corresponds to the projection of the rational curve $\overline{\mathbb{G}_m x}$ at $p(x) = \lim_{t\to 0} tx$ in $\mathbb{P}(H^0(X.L)^*)$ onto the projectivised sum of weight spaces for the action of $\mathbb{G}_m$ with weight at most r_j, which when $X = \mathbb{P}(H^0(X.L)^*)$ is $Z_{((r_j))}$. Thus

$$X_{r_0,r_1,\dots,r_J} = \bigcap_{0\leq j\leq J} \bigcup_{\sigma \in H^0(X,L)^{-r_j}} X_\sigma$$

where $H^0(X,L)^{-r_j}$ is the weight space with weight $-r_j$ for the $\mathbb{G}_m$-action on $H^0(X,L)$.

Definition 6.11. *If $d_{\max}^U = d_{\min}^U$ let $X_{(1)} = X_{\min}^0$ and let $\bar{X}_{(1)} = X$. If $d_{\max}^U > d_{\min}^U$ let $\psi_{(1)} : X_{(1)} \to X_{\min}^0$ be the blow-up of $X_{\min}^0$ along the closed subvariety $\Delta_U^{\geqslant d_{\max}}$ with exceptional divisor $E_{(1)}$, and let $\bar{\psi}_{(1)} : \bar{X}_{(1)} \to X$ be the blow-up of X along the closure $\overline{\Delta_U^{\geqslant d_{\max}}}$ of $\Delta_U^{\geqslant d_{\max}}$ in X (or in a blow-up of X along a sequence of closed $\hat{U}$-invariant subvarieties of the complement of $X_{\min}^0$, cf. Remark 5.1).*

Proposition 6.12. *Suppose that $d_{\max}^U > d_{\min}^U$. There are perturbations $\mathcal{L}_{(1),\epsilon}$, for $\epsilon > 0$ sufficiently small and rational, of the pullback to $\bar{X}_{(1)}$ of the given linearisation $\mathcal{L}$ of the action of $\hat{U}$ on X, which are ample linearisations of the induced action of $\hat{U}$ on $\bar{X}_{(1)}$ with the following properties:*
(i) their restrictions to $X_{(1)}$ are perturbations of the pullback of $\mathcal{L}$ by arbitrarily small rational multiples ϵ of the exceptional divisor $E_{(1)}$;
(ii) the induced subvarieties $X_{(1)}^0$ and $Z_{(1)}$ of $\bar{X}_{(1)}$ defined as $X_{\min}^0$ and $Z_{\min}$ with X replaced with $\bar{X}_{(1)}$ using the linearisation $\mathcal{L}_{(1),\epsilon}$ for $\epsilon > 0$ sufficiently small are contained in the open subvariety $X_{(1)}$ of $\bar{X}_{(1)}$ and are independent of ϵ;
(iii) $\psi_{(1)}(Z_{(1)}) \subseteq Z_{\min}$;
(iv) if $x \in X_{(1)}^0$ then $\mathrm{Stab}_U(x) \leq \mathrm{Stab}_U(\psi_{(1)}(x))$ and so $\dim \mathrm{Stab}_U(x) \leq d_{\max}$.

Proof: This follows from the arguments of [26] (cf. Remark 5.1). By embedding X in the projective space $\mathbb{P}(H^0(X, L^{\otimes r})^*)$ for $r >> 1$ we can assume that X is a projective space on which U acts linearly, and thus that $Z_{\min}$, $X^0_{\min}$ and $\Delta_U^{\geqslant d_{\max}}$ are all nonsingular $\hat{H}$-invariant locally closed subvarieties of X. By upper semi-continuity of stabiliser dimension, $\Delta_U^{\geqslant d_{\max}}$ is closed in $X^0_{\min}$, so we can resolve the singularities of its closure $\overline{\Delta_U^{\geqslant d_{\max}}}$ in X by a sequence of blow-ups along nonsingular $\hat{U}$-invariant closed subvarieties in the complement of $X^0_{\min}$ and then blow up along the resulting proper transform of $\overline{\Delta_U^{\geqslant d_{\max}}}$ to get $\bar{X}_{(1)}$. It follows that $\bar{\psi}^{-1}(X^0_{\min})$ is the blow-up of $X^0_{\min}$ along $\Delta_U^{\geqslant d_{\max}}$. Moreover as in [26] we can find an ample linearisation of the action of $\hat{U}$ on $\bar{X}_{(1)}$ which is a (positive tensor power of a) perturbation of $\bar{\psi}^*_{(1)}(\mathcal{L})$ by a linear combination of the proper transforms of the exceptional divisors in these blow-ups. Properties (i)-(iv) then follow exactly as in [26]. □

Definition 6.13. *Let $\bar{X}_{(0)} = X$ and define $\psi_{(j)} : X_{(j)} \to X^0_{(j-1)} = (\bar{X}_{(j-1)})^0_{\min}$ and $\bar{\psi}_{(j)} : \bar{X}_{(j)} \to \bar{X}_{(j-1)}$ recursively on $j \geq 1$ by replacing X with $\bar{X}_{(j-1)}$ and replacing (1) with (j) throughout Definition 6.11 and Proposition 6.12.*

Remark 6.14. In this recursive definition $X_{(j)}$ is the blow-up of $(\bar{X}_{(j-1)})^0_{\min}$ along its closed subvariety

$$\{x \in (\bar{X}_{(j-1)})^0_{\min} \mid \dim \mathrm{Stab}_U(x) = d^U_{\max}(\bar{X}_{(j-1)})\}$$

where $d^U_{\max}(\bar{X}_{(j-1)}) = \max\{\dim \mathrm{Stab}_U(x) \mid x \in (\bar{X}_{(j-1)})^0_{\min}\}$ is defined for $(\bar{X}_{(j-1)})$ as $d^U_{\max} = d^U_{\max}(X)$ is defined for X. It will follow from Propositions 6.15 and 6.16 below that if

$$d^U_{\max}(\bar{X}_{(j-1)}) > d^U_{\min}(\bar{X}_{(j-1)})$$

then $d^U_{\max}(\bar{X}_{(j)}) < d^U_{\max}(\bar{X}_{(j-1)})$. This will ensure that the process terminates with some $\bar{X}_{(j)} = \hat{X}$ with blow-dow morphism $\hat{\psi} : \hat{X} \to X$ such that the dimension of $\mathrm{Stab}_U(x)$ is constant (and equal to $d^U_{\min}$) for $x \in \hat{X}^0_{\min}$. If this constant dimension is 0 then the induced linear action of U on $\hat{X}$ satisfies the condition ($\mathfrak{C}^*$) and hence $\hat{X}^0_{\min} \setminus U\hat{Z}_{\min}$ has a geometric quotient by $\hat{U}$ which is a projective variety. This geometric quotient can be regarded as a projective completion of a geometric quotient by $\hat{U}$ of the $\hat{H}$-invariant open subvariety $X^{\hat{s},\hat{U}}$ of X.

If $d^U_{\min} > 0$ then we can still use Remark 6.18 below and this construction to find a geometric quotient by $\hat{U}$ of an $\hat{H}$-invariant open subvariety $X^{\hat{s},\hat{U}}$ of X, with a projective completion which is a geometric quotient by $\hat{U}$ of an $\hat{H}$-invariant open subvariety of a blow-up of X.

Proposition 6.15. *Suppose that $\delta_0 = d^U_{\max}$ is strictly greater than $d^U_{\min}$ and that $r_1 = r(\delta_0 - 1) = \omega_{\min}$, so that $Z_{\min} \not\subseteq \Delta_U^{\geqslant d^U_{\max}}$. Let $X^0_{(1)}$ and $Z_{(1)}$ be as in Proposition 6.12. Then*

(a) $Z_{(1)}$ is the proper transform of $Z_{\min}$ in $X_{(1)}$, and this is the blow-up $\tilde{Z}_{\min}$ of $Z_{\min}$ along $Z^{d^U_{\max}}_{\min} = Z_{\min} \cap \Delta_U^{\geqslant d^U_{\max}}$;

(b) no point z in this proper transform such that $y = \psi_{(1)}(z) \in Z^{d^U_{\max}}_{\min}$ is fixed by $\mathrm{Stab}_U(\psi_{(1)}(z))$;

(c) if $z \in Z_{(1)}$ then $\dim \mathrm{Stab}_U(z) < d^U_{\max}$;

(d) the complement of the exceptional divisor $E_{(1)}$ in $X^0_{(1)} \setminus UZ_{(1)}$ is identified via the blow-down map $\phi_{(1)}$ with the open subset

$$\{x \in X^0_{\min} \setminus UZ_{\min} \mid p(x) \notin Z^{d^U_{\max}}_{\min}\}$$

of $X^0_{\min} \setminus UZ_{\min}$*, where as before* $p(x)$ *is the limit* $\lim_{t\to 0} t\cdot x$ *with* $t \in \mathbb{G}_m$*.*

Proof: By assumption $Z_{\min}$ is not contained in the centre $\Delta_U^{\geqslant d^U_{\max}}$ of the blow-up. Hence $Z_{(1)}$ is the proper transform of $Z_{\min}$ in the blow-up $X_{(1)}$ of $X^0_{\min}$ along $\Delta_U^{\geqslant d^U_{\max}}$, which is the blow-up of $Z_{\min}$ along $Z^{d^U_{\max}}_{\min}$ by the proof of Lemma 3.4.

Now suppose that $z \in Z_{(1)} = \tilde{Z}_{\min}$ and that $y = \psi_{(1)}(z) \in Z^{d^U_{\max}}_{\min}$. Let $U' = \mathrm{Stab}_U(y)$. We want to prove that U' does not fix z. For this we can assume, as in the proof of Proposition 6.12, that X is a projective space with a linear action of $\hat{U}$. Then

$$(Z_{\min})^{U'} \subseteq Z^{d^U_{\max}}_{\min} \subseteq Z_{\min}$$

where $Z_{\min}$ and $(Z_{\min})^{U'}$ are linear subspaces of X fixed pointwise by $\mathbb{G}_m$, while $(Z_{\min})^{U'}$ is also fixed pointwise by U'. Recall the identity $p(ux) = x$ for all $x \in Z_{\min}$ and $u \in U$. We can find $0 \leq m_1 \leq m_2 \leq m_3$ and homogeneous coordinates $[x_0 : \ldots : x_{m_3}]$ on X such that $\mathbb{G}_m$ acts diagonally and $y = [0 : \ldots 0 : 1]$,

$$(Z_{\min})^{U'} = \{[x_0 : \ldots : x_{m_3}] \mid x_j = 0 \text{ for } j \leq m_2\} \text{ and } Z_{\min} = \{[x_0 : \ldots : x_{m_3}] \mid x_j = 0 \text{ for } j \leq m_1\},$$

while $p([x_0 : \ldots : x_{m_3}]) = [0 : \ldots : 0 : x_{m_1+1} : \ldots : x_{m_3}]$ and U' acts on X via matrices of the block form

$$\begin{pmatrix} A & B & 0 \\ 0 & I & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & I \end{pmatrix}.$$

By considering local coordinates x_j/x_{m_3} on X near y, we see that if $\xi \in T_y Z_{\min} \setminus T_y Z^{U'}_{\min}$, then there is some $u \in U'$ such that $u\xi - \xi \notin T_y Z_{\min}$. Any $z \in \psi^{-1}_{(1)}(y)$ can be represented by such a ξ and hence is not fixed by U', as required for (b).

(c) follows immediately from (b) and Proposition 6.12 (iv). Finally for (d) observe that if we set

$$p_{(1)}(x) = \lim_{t\to 0, t\in\mathbb{G}_m} t\cdot x$$

for $x \in \bar{X}_{(1)}$, then $p_{(1)}(x) \in Z_{(1)}$ implies $x \in X_{(1)}$, while if $x \in X_{(1)} \setminus (UZ_{(1)} \cup E_{(1)})$ then $p_{(1)}(x) \in Z_{(1)}$ if and only if $p(\phi_{(1)}(x)) \in Z_{\min} \setminus Z^{d^U_{\max}}_{\min}$. The result follows. □

Proposition 6.16. *Suppose that* $\delta_0 = d^U_{\max}$ *is strictly greater than* $d^U_{\min}$ *and that* $r_1 = r(\delta_0 - 1)$ *is strictly greater than* $\omega_{\min}$*, so that* $Z_{((r_1-1))} \subseteq \Delta_U^{\geqslant d^U_{\max}}$ *but* $Z_{((r_1))} \not\subseteq \Delta_U^{\geqslant d^U_{\max}}$*. Let* $X^0_{(1)}$ *and* $Z_{(1)}$ *be as in Proposition 6.12. Then*

(a) $Z_{(1)}$ *is the proper transform* $\tilde{Z}_{((r_1))}$ *of* $Z_{((r_1))}$ *in* $X_{(1)}$*, and this is the blow-up of* $Z_{((r_1))}$ *along* $Z_{((r_1))} \cap \Delta_U^{\geqslant d^U_{\max}}$*;*

(b) no point z *in this proper transform such that* $y = \psi_{(1)}(z) \in Z_{((r_1))} \cap \Delta_U^{\geqslant d^U_{\max}}$ *is fixed by the stabiliser* $\mathrm{Stab}_U(\psi_{(1)}(z))$ *of* $\psi_{(1)}(z)$*;*

(c) if $x \in X^0_{(1)}$ *then* $\dim \mathrm{Stab}_U(x) < d^U_{\max}$*;*

(d) the complement of the exceptional divisor $E_{(1)}$ *in* $X^0_{(1)} \setminus UZ_{(1)}$ *is identified via the blow-down map* $\psi_{(1)}$ *with the open subvariety*

$$\{x = \psi_{(1)}(y) \in X^0_{\min} \setminus (UZ_{\min} \cup E_{(1)}) \mid \ p^{((r_1))}(x) \notin p^{((r_1))}(\Delta_U^{\geqslant \delta_1})\}$$

of $X^0_{\min} \setminus UZ_{\min}$*, in the notation of Definition 6.8.*

Proof. The proof is essentially the same as that of Proposition 6.15 with the role of $Z_{\min}$ now played by $Z_{((r_1))}$. □

The following theorem, and therefore also Theorem 6.2, follow immediately from Propositions 6.15 and 6.16.

Theorem 6.17. *Suppose that U is a graded unipotent group with $\hat{U} = U \rtimes \mathbb{G}_m$ the extension of H by $\mathbb{G}_m$ which defines the grading. Suppose that $\hat{U}$ acts linearly on an irreducible projective variety X with respect to a very ample line bundle L, and that $\mathrm{Stab}_U(x) = \{e\}$ for generic $x \in X$. Then after repeating the blow-up construction of Definition 6.11 finitely many times, we obtain a projective variety $\hat{X}$ with a linear $\hat{U}$-action and a $\hat{U}$-equivariant birational morphism $\psi_{\hat{X}} : \hat{X} \to X$ and an ample linearisation which is a tensor power of a small perturbation of $\psi^*_{\hat{X}}(\mathcal{L})$ and satisfies condition ($\mathfrak{C}^*$).*

Proof. By Propositions 6.15 and 6.16 the blow-up construction terminates after finitely many steps with $\hat{X}$ satisfying the conditions required in Theorem 6.17. □

If H is a linear algebraic group over $\Bbbk$ with graded unipotent radical U and $\hat{H} = H \rtimes \mathbb{G}_m$ the extension of H by $\mathbb{G}_m$ which defines the grading, and if $\hat{H}$ acts linearly on an irreducible projective variety X with respect to a very ample line bundle L, and $\mathrm{Stab}_U(x) = \{e\}$ for generic $x \in X$, then since U and $\hat{U}$ are normal subgroups of $\hat{H}$ and the subgroup $\hat{U}/U \cong \mathbb{G}_m$ in $\hat{H}/U$ is central, $\hat{X}$ can be constructed from X in Theorem 6.17 in a $\hat{H}$-invariant way. Thus Theorem 0.7 follows by combining Theorems 6.17 and 0.1.

Remark 6.18. If we do not have the condition that $\mathrm{Stab}_U(x)$ is trivial for generic x here, so that $d^U_{\min} > 0$, then the blow-up construction will still terminate after finitely many steps with $\hat{X}$ not satisfying condition ($\mathfrak{C}^*$) but instead satisfying $\dim \mathrm{Stab}_U(x) = d^U_{\min}$ for every $x \in \hat{X}^0_{\min}$. Recall from Remark 4.10 that the hypothesis in Theorem 0.1 that ($\mathfrak{C}^*$) is satisfied can be weakened, when the action of U is such that the stabiliser in U of $x \in X$ is always strictly positive-dimensional. Indeed, as was observed in Remark 4.10, provided that $\dim \mathrm{Stab}_U(x) = d^U_{\min}$ for every $x \in \hat{X}^0_{\min}$, and that $\mathrm{Stab}_U(x)$ has a complementary subgroup U' in U with $U' \cap \mathrm{Stab}_U(x) = \{e\}$ and $U = U' \mathrm{Stab}_U(z)$, then the conclusions of Theorem 0.1 still hold, although now $X^{Ms,\hat{U}}_{\min +}$ would be better notation than $X^{s,\hat{U}}_{\min +}$, and $X^{Ms,\hat{H}}_{\min +}$ might be better notation than $X^{s,\hat{H}}_{\min +}$. Moreover as was also observed in Remark 4.10, the condition that $\mathrm{Stab}_U(z)$ has a complementary subgroup U' in U with $U' \cap \mathrm{Stab}_U(z) = \{e\}$ and $U = U' \mathrm{Stab}_U(z)$ is always satisfied when the unipotent group U is abelian. Thus as described in Remark 2.10 the proof of Theorem 0.1 can be extended to cover the more general case when $\dim \mathrm{Stab}_U(x) = d^U_{\min}$ for every $x \in \hat{X}^0_{\min}$, provided that the same is true for every subgroup $U^{(j)}$ in the derived series for U (or any series $U = U^{(0)} \geqslant U^{(1)} \geqslant \cdots \geqslant U^{(s)} = \{e\}$ of normal subgroups of $\hat{H}$ with abelian subquotients). Since each $U^{(j)}$ is a characteristic subgroup of $\hat{H}$, the blow-up construction for $U^{(j)}$ is $\hat{H}$-equivariant. So if need be the blow-up construction can be applied iteratively for each $U^{(j)}$, in order to obtain $\hat{X}$ with a linear $\hat{H}$-action for which the conclusions of Theorem 0.1 hold.

Remark 6.19. As in the reductive case described in [26] the projective variety $\tilde{X} /\!\!/ \hat{H} = \tilde{X}^{s,\hat{H}}_{\min +}/\hat{H}$ can be regarded as a partial desingularisation of $\hat{X} /\!\!/ \hat{H}$, in the sense that there is a morphism $\tilde{X} /\!\!/ \hat{H} \to \hat{X} /\!\!/ \hat{H}$ which resolves the singularities caused by the existence of strictly semistable

points for the action of the reductive group $R = H/U$ on $\hat{X} \wr \hat{U}$. However in contrast to the reductive case, it is not clear that we can find an ample $\hat{H}$-linearisation on $\hat{X}$ or $\tilde{X}$ (as a perturbation of the pullback of the linearisation $\mathcal{L}$) such that the blow-down map $\hat{\psi} : \hat{X} \to X$ or $\tilde{\psi} : \tilde{X} \to X$ induces a morphism $\hat{X} \wr \hat{H} \to X \wr \hat{H}$ or $\tilde{X} \wr \hat{H} \to X \wr \hat{H}$. In the reductive case the Hilbert–Mumford criteria can be used to prove this; in the non-reductive case it would ensure that $X \wr \hat{H}$ is projective (at least if there exists $z \in Z^{s,R}_{\min}$ with $\mathrm{Stab}_U(z) = \{e\}$).

Remark 6.20. It follows from Propositions 6.15 and 6.16 that in the situation of Theorem 6.17, if E is the exceptional divisor for the blow-down map $\psi_{\hat{X}} : \hat{X} \to X$, then $X^{\hat{s},\hat{U}} \setminus E$ is identified via $\psi_{\hat{X}}$ with the open subvariety $X^{\hat{s},\hat{U}}$ of $X^0_{\min} \setminus UZ_{\min}$. Applying this to the the induced action of $\hat{U}$ on $X \times \mathbb{P}^1$ with respect to the tensor power of the linearisation $L \to X$ with $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(M)$ for $M >> 1$ gives us the description in Definition 6.8 of the $\hat{H}$-invariant open subvariety $X^{\hat{s},H}$ of X whose geometric quotient by U is the open subvariety of $(\hat{X} \times \mathbb{P}^1) \wr \hat{U} = (\hat{X} \times \mathbb{P}^1)^{s,\hat{U}}/\hat{U}$ which is the complement of the exceptional divisor in the $R = H/U$-stable locus in $(\hat{X} \times \mathbb{P}^1) \wr \hat{U}$. Then $X^{\hat{s},H}$ has a geometric quotient $X^{\hat{s},H}/H$ which is an open subset of $X \widehat{\wr} H = (X \widehat{\wr} U)//R$ and its partial desingularisation $X \widetilde{\wr} H$.

Remark 6.21. There is some analogy between the action of $\hat{H}$ on $X \times \mathbb{P}^1$ (and on its blow-ups $\hat{X} \times \mathbb{P}^1$ and $\tilde{X} \times \mathbb{P}^1$ with GIT quotients which are projective completions of $X^{\hat{s},H}/H$) and the concept introduced in [14] of a reductive envelope for a linear action of a unipotent group U on a projective variety X. The ingredients for a reductive envelope are a reductive group G containing U as a subgroup and a projective completion of $G \times^U X$ (where $G \times^U X$ is the quotient of $G \times X$ by the diagonal action of U on the right on G and on the left on X) with a linear G-action restricting to the given linearisation for the U-action on X. Similarly, given a linear action of H on X, we could define a graded envelope to be given by a semi-direct product $\hat{H} = H \rtimes \mathbb{G}_m$ with $\mathbb{G}_m$ acting by conjugation on the Lie algebra of the unipotent radical U with all weights strictly positive, and inducing a central one-parameter subgroup of $R = H/U$, and a projective completion of $\hat{H} \times^H X$ with a linear $\hat{H}$-action restricting to the given linearisation for the H-action on X. When the action of H on X extends to an action of $\hat{H}$, then $\hat{H} \times^H X$ is naturally isomorphic to $\mathbb{G}_m \times X$, so the action of $\hat{H}$ on $X \times \mathbb{P}^1$ would give us a graded envelope for the action of H on X.

7. Examples

In this final section we consider some low dimensional examples which can be described very explicitly, and some applications to the construction of moduli spaces.

7.1. **Two points and a line in $\mathbb{P}^2$.** First let $X = (\mathbb{P}^2)^2 \times (\mathbb{P}^2)^*$ with elements (p, q, L) where p and q are points in $\mathbb{P}^2$ and L is a line in $\mathbb{P}^2$. Let X have the usual (left) action of the standard Borel subgroup $B = U \rtimes T$ of $\mathrm{SL}(3)$, consisting of upper triangular matrices, which is linear with respect to the product of $\mathcal{O}(1)$ for each of the three projective planes whose product is X. We represent points in $\mathbb{P}^2$ by column vectors, with the action of a matrix A given by pre-multiplication by A; we represent lines

$$L_{(a,b,c)} = \{[x : y : z] \in \mathbb{P}^2 | ax + by + cz = 0\}$$

by row vectors (a, b, c) and the action of A is given by post-multiplication by A^{-1}. The weights of the action of the maximal torus T of B on X lie in an irregular hexagon (which is their convex hull) in the dual of the Lie algebra of the maximal compact subgroup of T.

In order for a one-parameter subgroup $\lambda : \mathbb{G}_m \to T$ to satisfy the condition that all its weights for the adjoint action on $\mathrm{Lie}\,\mathrm{U}$ should be positive, we require its derivative to lie in the interior of the standard positive Weyl chamber $\mathfrak{t}_+$ for $\mathrm{SL}(3)$. The minimal weight for such a one-parameter subgroup acting on X then corresponds to the T-fixed point

$$z_{\min} = ([0, 0, 1], [0, 0, 1], L_{(1,0,0)})$$

where the points p and q coincide at $[0, 0, 1]$ and both lie on the line L which is defined by $x = 0$. The stabiliser in U of $z_{\min}$ is trivial, and the closure of its U-orbit is

$$\{(p, q, L) \in X | p = q \in L\},$$

while

$$X^0_{\min} = \{([x_1, y_1, z_1], [x_2, y_2, z_2], L_{(a,b,c)}) \in X | z_1 \neq 0 \neq z_2, a \neq 0\}$$

and by Theorem 2.3

$$X^0_{\min} \setminus Uz_{\min} = X^0_{\min} \setminus \overline{Uz_{\min}}$$

has a projective geometric quotient $X \wr B = (X^0_{\min} \setminus Uz_{\min})/B$ when the linearisation is twisted by a rational character χ in the interior of the hexagon near to the T-weight for $z_{\min}$.

Remark 7.1. Here U is a maximal unipotent subgroup of the reductive group $G = \mathrm{SL}(3)$ and the linear action of U on X extends to G. Therefore we know that the U-invariants on X are finitely generated and the projective variety $X \wr U$ can be described geometrically over $\mathbb{C}$ as the symplectic implosion (in the sense of [20], cf. also [27]) of X by the action of the maximal compact subgroup $K = \mathrm{SU}(3)$ of G. Thus if $\mu : X \to \mathrm{Lie}\,\mathrm{SU}(3)^*$ is the moment map for the action of $K = \mathrm{SU}(3)$ on X associated to the linearisation, and K_ξ is the stabiliser of $\xi \in \mathfrak{t}_+$ under the coadjoint action of K, then $X \wr U$ can be obtained from $\mu^{-1}(\mathfrak{t}_+)$ by collapsing on the boundary of $\mathfrak{t}_+$ via the equivalence relation given by $x \sim y$ if $\mu(x) = \mu(y) = \xi \in \mathfrak{t}_+$ and $x \in [K_\xi, K_\xi]y$. For compatibility with the conventions in this paper, we should replace $\mu^{-1}(\mathfrak{t}_+)$ with $\mu^{-1}(-\mathfrak{t}_+)$. Quotienting further by T to obtain $X \wr B$ gives us

$$\mu^{-1}(\chi)/(T \cap K)$$

for $\chi \in -\mathfrak{t}^o_+$, where $T \cap K$ is the usual maximal torus of $K = \mathrm{SU}(3)$ consisting of diagonal matrices. This fits with our previous description since

$$X^0_{\min} \setminus Uz_{\min} = B \times_{T\cap K} \mu^{-1}(\chi).$$

In order to find an example requiring a blow-up to achieve condition ($\mathfrak{C}^*$), let us consider the subgroup

$$U' = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \alpha & \beta \\ 0 & 1 & \gamma \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \in U \quad | \quad \gamma = 0 \right\}$$

of U, acting on the subvariety

$$Y = (\mathbb{P}^2)^3 \times (\mathbb{P}^1)^*$$

of $(\mathbb{P}^2)^3 \times (\mathbb{P}^2)^*$, where $(\mathbb{P}^1)^* = \{L_{(a,b,c)} \in (\mathbb{P}^2)^* | a = 0\}$. Here U' is normalised by the subgroup $\lambda' : \mathbb{G}_m \to U'$ given by

$$\lambda'(t) = \begin{pmatrix} t^2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & t^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & t^{-1} \end{pmatrix}$$

of T, with the weights of $\lambda'(\mathbb{G}_m)$ on the Lie algebra of U' both strictly positive, and the linear action of U' on Y extends to $\hat{U}' = U' \rtimes_{\lambda'} \mathbb{G}_m$. The subset $Z_{\min}$ of $Y^{\lambda'(\mathbb{G}_m)}$ where the $\mathbb{G}_m$-weight is minimal is

$$Z_{\min} = \{(p, q, r, L) \in Y | p, q, r \in L_{(1,0,0)}\}$$

corresponding to the points p, q and r all lying in the line defined by $x = 0$. We have

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & \alpha & \beta \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ y \\ z \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha y + \beta z \\ y \\ z \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$(0\, b\, c) \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \alpha & \beta \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = (0\, b\, c),$$

so the stabiliser in U' of $(p, q, r, L) \in Z_{\min}$ is trivial unless $p = q = r$ in which case it is one-dimensional. Thus $d^U_{\max} = 1$ and

$$Z^{d_{\max}}_{\min} = \{(p, q, r, L) \in Y | p = q = r \in L_{(1,0,0)}\},$$

while

$$\Delta^{\geqslant d^U_{\max}}_U = U' Z^{d_{\max}}_{\min} = \{(p, q, r, L) \in Y | p = q = r \neq [1, 0, 0]\}.$$

The blow-up $\hat{Y}$ of Y along the closure

$$\overline{\Delta^{\geqslant d^U_{\max}}_U} = \mathbb{P}^2 \times (\mathbb{P}^1)^*$$

of $\Delta^{\geqslant d^U_{\max}}_U$ in Y is the product of $(\mathbb{P}^1)^*$ with $(\mathbb{P}^2)^3$ blown up along its diagonal $\mathbb{P}^2$, with $\hat{Z}_{\min}$ the proper transform of $Z_{\min} = (\mathbb{P}^1)^3 \times (\mathbb{P}^1)^*$. So $\hat{Z}_{\min}$ is the blow-up of $Z_{\min} = (\mathbb{P}^1)^3 \times (\mathbb{P}^1)^*$ along $\mathbb{P}^1 \times (\mathbb{P}^1)^*$ embedded diagonally, while the exceptional divisor E is a $\mathbb{P}^3$-bundle over $\mathbb{P}^2 \times (\mathbb{P}^1)^*$. The open subset

$$Y^s = \{(p, q, L) \in Y | p, q, r \neq [1, 0, 0] \text{ and } p, q, r \text{ do not all coincide}\}$$

has a geometric quotient by $\hat{U}$ which has a projective completion

$$\hat{Y} \wr \hat{U} = Y^s / \hat{U} \ \sqcup \ E \wr \hat{U}.$$

7.2. **Moduli spaces of rank 2 bundles of fixed Harder–Narasimhan type over a nonsingular projective curve.** When G is a reductive group over $\Bbbk$, acting linearly on a projective variety X with respect to an ample line bundle L, then given an invariant inner product on the Lie algebra of G, there is a stratification

$$X = \bigsqcup_{\beta \in \mathcal{B}} S_\beta$$

of X by locally closed subvarieties S_β, indexed by a partially ordered finite subset $\mathcal{B}$ of a positive Weyl chamber for the reductive group G, such that $S_0 = X^{ss}$, and for each $\beta \in \mathcal{B}$ the closure of S_β is contained in $\bigcup_{\gamma \geqslant \beta} S_\gamma$. Moreover $S_\beta \cong G \times_{P_\beta} Y_\beta^{ss}$ where

$$\gamma \geqslant \beta \text{ if and only if } \gamma = \beta \text{ or } \|\gamma\| > \|\beta\|$$

and P_β is a parabolic subgroup of G acting on a projective subvariety $\overline{Y}_\beta$ of X with an open subset Y_β^{ss} which is determined by the action of the Levi subgroup of P_β with respect to a suitably twisted linearisation [25]. Here the original linearisation for the action of G on $L \to X$ is restricted to the action of the parabolic subgroup P_β over $\overline{Y}_\beta$, and then twisted by a rational character of P_β which is well adapted for a central one-parameter subgroup of the Levi subgroup of P_β acting with all weights strictly positive on the Lie algebra of the unipotent radical of P_β. Thus to construct a quotient by G of (an open subset of) any unstable stratum S_β (and thus to study the stack $[X/G]$ via this stratification), we can study the linear action on $\overline{Y}_\beta$ of the parabolic subgroup P_β, twisted appropriately, and apply the results of this paper.

In particular we can consider moduli spaces of sheaves of fixed Harder–Narasimhan type over a nonsingular projective variety W (cf. [21]). Moduli spaces of semistable pure sheaves on W of fixed Hilbert polynomial can be constructed as GIT quotients of linear actions of suitable special linear groups G on schemes Q (closely related to quot-schemes) which are G-equivariantly embedded in projective spaces [38]. These constructions can be chosen so that elements of Q which parametrise sheaves of a fixed Harder–Narasimhan type form a stratum in the stratification of Q associated to the linear action of G (at least modulo taking connected components of strata) [21]. Thus to construct and study moduli spaces of sheaves of fixed Harder–Narasimhan type over W we can study the associated linear actions of parabolic subgroups of these special linear groups G, appropriately twisted; for more details see [5]. However in these cases the condition that $\mathrm{Stab}_U(x) = \{e\}$ for generic x is rarely satisfied. The simplest non-trivial case is that of unstable vector bundles of rank 2 and fixed Harder–Narasimhan type over a nonsingular projective curve W (cf. [10]); then the blow-up construction terminates with the situation that for every $x \in X^0_{\min}$ the dimension of $\mathrm{Stab}_U(x)$ is equal to the generic dimension $d^U_{\min}$, and moreover, since U is commutative, each stabiliser $\mathrm{Stab}_U(x)$ has a complementary subgroup U' in U (cf. Remarks 4.10 and 6.18).

REFERENCES

[1] Aravind Asok and Brent Doran. On unipotent quotients and some $\mathbb{A}^1$-contractible smooth schemes. *Int. Math. Res. Pap. IMRP*, (2):Art. ID rpm005, 51, 2007.

[2] G. Bérczi, B. Doran, T. Hawes, F. Kirwan, Constructing quotients of algebraic varieties by linear algebraic group actions, arXiv:1512.02997.

[3] G. Bérczi, B. Doran, T. Hawes, F. Kirwan, Geometric invariant theory for graded unipotent groups and applications, arXiv:1601.00340.

[4] G. Bérczi, B. Doran, F. Kirwan, Graded linearisations, arXiv:1703.05226

[5] G. Bérczi, V. Hoskins, J. Jackson, F. Kirwan, Quotients of unstable subvarieties and moduli spaces of sheaves of fixed Harder-Narasimhan type II, in preparation.

[6] G. Bérczi, J. Jackson, F. Kirwan, Variation of non-reductive geometric invariant theory, in preparation.

[7] G. Bérczi, F. Kirwan, Graded unipotent groups and Grosshans theory, arXiv:1511.06983.

[8] G. Bérczi, F. Kirwan, Moment maps for graded unipotent quotients, in preparation.

[9] A. Bialynicki-Birula, Some theorems on actions of algebraic groups, Ann. of Math. (2), 98:480 497, 1973.

[10] L. Brambila-Paz, O. Mata, and N. Nitsure, Moduli stacks and moduli schemes for rank 2 unstable bundles, arXiv preprint arXiv:0911.2301, 2009.

[11] Jacques Dixmier. *Enveloping algebras*, volume 11 of *Graduate Studies in Mathematics*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1996. Revised reprint of the 1977 translation.
[12] I. Dolgachev, Lectures on invariant theory, London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series 296, Cambridge University Press, 2003.
[13] I. Dolgachev and Y. Hu, Variation of geometric invariant theory quotients, Publications Mathématiques de L'Institut des Hautes Scientifiques 87 (1998), 5–51. doi:10.1007/BF02698859
[14] B. Doran and F. Kirwan, Towards non-reductive geometric invariant theory, Pure Appl. Math. Q. 3 (2007), 61–105.
[15] A. Fauntleroy, Categorical quotients of certain algebraic group actions, Illinois Journal Math. 27 (1983), 115-124.
[16] A. Fauntleroy, Geometric invariant theory for general algebraic groups, Compositio Mathematica 55 (1985), 63-87.
[17] G.-M. Greuel and G. Pfister, Geometric quotients of unipotent group actions, Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) 67 (1993) 75-105.
[18] G.-M. Greuel and G. Pfister, Geometric quotients of unipotent group actions II, Singularities (Oberwolfach 1996), 27-36, Progress in Math. 162, Birkhauser, Basel 1998.
[19] F. Grosshans, The invariants of unipotent radicals of parabolic subgroups, Invent. Math. 73 (1983), 1–9.
[20] V. Guillemin, L. Jeffrey and R. Sjamaar, Symplectic implosion, Transformation Groups 7 (2002), 155 184.
[21] V. Hoskins and F. Kirwan, Quotients of unstable subvarieties and moduli spaces of sheaves of fixed Harder–Narasimhan type, Proceedings of the London Mathematical Society, 105(4):852890, 2012.
[22] J. Jackson, Oxford DPhil thesis, in preparation.
[23] E. Lerman, Symplectic cuts, Mathematical Research Letters 2 (1995), 247258
[24] S. Keel and S. Mori, Quotients by groupoids, Annals of Math. (2) **145** (1997), 193-213.
[25] F. Kirwan, Cohomology of quotients in symplectic and algebraic geometry. Mathematical Notes, 31. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1984.
[26] F. Kirwan, Partial desingularisations of quotients of nonsingular varieties and their Betti numbers. Ann. of Math. (2) 122 (1985), no. 1, 41-85.
[27] F. Kirwan, Quotients by non-reductive algebraic group actions, arXiv:0801.4607, in 'Moduli Spaces and Vector Bundles', Cambridge University Press.
[28] F. Kirwan, Symplectic implosion and non-reductive quotients, in 'Geometric Aspects of Analysis and Mechanics', Progress in Mathematics Vol 292, 2011, 213-256.
[29] J. Kollár, Quotient spaces modulo algebraic groups, *Ann. Math. (2)* **145** (1997), 33-79.
[30] S. Mukai, An introduction to invariants and moduli, Cambridge University Press 2003.
[31] S. Mukai, Geometric realization of T-shaped root systems and counterexamples to Hilbert's fourteenth problem. Algebraic transformation groups and algebraic varieties, 123-129, Encyclopaedia Math. Sci., 132, Springer, Berlin, 2004.
[32] D. Mumford, J. Fogarty and F. Kirwan, Geometric invariant theory, 3rd edition, Springer, 1994.
[33] P.E. Newstead, Introduction to moduli problems and orbit spaces, Tata Institute Lecture Notes, Springer, 1978.
[34] V. Popov, On Hilbert's theorem on invariants. Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR 249 (1979), no. 3, 551-555. English translation: Soviet Math. Dokl. 20 (1979), no. 6, 1318-1322 (1980).
[35] V. Popov and E. Vinberg, Invariant theory, Algebraic geometry IV, Encyclopaedia of Mathematical Sciences v. 55, 1994.
[36] The Stacks Project Authors. *Stacks Project*. http://stacks.math.columbia.edu, 2015.
[37] J. -P. Serre, Espaces fibrés algébriques, Séminaire C. Chevalley; 2e année: 1958. Anneaux de Chow et applications seminaire Claude Chevalley, 1-37.
[38] C. Simpson, Moduli of representations of the fundamental group of a smooth projective variety i. Publications Mathématiques de lIHES, 79(1):47129, 1994.
[39] M. Thaddeus, Geometric invariant theory and flips, Journal of Amer. Math. Soc. 1996.
[40] J. Winkelmann, Invariant rings and quasiaffine quotients, Math. Z. 244 (2003), 163-174.

(Bèrczi,Hawes,Kirwan) MATHEMATICAL INSTITUTE, OXFORD UNIVERSITY, OXFORD OX2 6GG, UK
E-mail address: berczi@maths.ox.ac.uk
E-mail address: thomas.hawes@maths.ox.ac.uk
E-mail address: kirwan@maths.ox.ac.uk

(Doran) DEPARTEMENT MATHEMATIK, ETH, 8092 ZÜRICH, SWITZERLAND
E-mail address: brent.doran@math.ethz.ch